

Asymptotically Unitary Equivalence and Asymptotically Inner Automorphisms

Huaxin Lin

Abstract

Let C be a unital AH-algebra and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ are two unital monomorphisms. We show that there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of A such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_t^* \varphi_1(a) u_t = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C$$

if and only if $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(C, A)$, $\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and a rotation related map $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$ associated with φ_1 and φ_2 is zero. In particular, an automorphism α on a unital separable simple C^* -algebra A in $\mathcal{N}$ with tracial rank zero is asymptotically inner if and only if

$$[\alpha] = [\text{id}_A] \text{ in } KK(A, A)$$

and the rotation related map $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$ is zero.

Let A be a unital AH-algebra (not necessarily simple) and let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ be an automorphism. As an application, we show that the associated crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra if and only if A admits a strictly positive α -invariant tracial state.

1 Introduction

Given two compact metric spaces and two continuous maps from one space to another, one of the fundamental questions is when these two maps are equivalent in certain sense. Equivalently, one may study homomorphisms from the (commutative) algebra of continuous functions on one space to the (commutative) algebra of continuous functions on the other. By the Gelfand transform, every separable commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$ for some compact metric space. One way to make this question (at least partially) non-commutative is to replace the target algebra by a unital C^* -algebra. Let X be a compact metric space and let B be a unital C^* -algebra. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C(X) \rightarrow B$ be two unital monomorphisms. A natural and important question is when φ_1 and φ_2 are unitarily equivalent, i.e., when there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that $\text{ad } u \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$? A classical special case is the case that B is the Calkin algebra, i.e., $B = B(H)/\mathcal{K}$, where H is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $\mathcal{K}$ is the compact operators on H . This problem originated from the study of essentially normal operators on the Hilbert space. It is closely related to perturbation of normal operators and index theory. This classical problem was solved by Brown, Douglass and Fillmore ([3] and [4]). Later it developed into the Kasparov KK-theory and had a profound impact on many related fields.

However, recently it becomes apparent that the problem is especially interesting when B is a unital separable simple C^* -algebra (note that the Calkin algebra is a unital simple C^* -algebra). It also becomes clear that unitary equivalence must be given the way to some more practical and useful relation. When B is assumed to be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of tracial

rank zero, it was shown in [30] that φ_1 and φ_2 are approximately unitarily equivalent, i.e., there exists a sequence of unitaries in B such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u_n \circ \varphi_1(f) = \varphi_2(f)$$

for all $f \in C(X)$, if and only if

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KL(C(X), A) \text{ and } \tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$$

for all tracial states τ of A . This result was developed based on the results and techniques in the study of classification of amenable C^* -algebras and has several immediate applications including in topological dynamical systems.

A stronger relation is the asymptotic unitary equivalence. Let A and B be two unital C^* -algebras and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ be two unital homomorphisms. These two homomorphisms are asymptotically unitarily equivalent if there is a *continuous* path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u_t \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a)$$

for all $a \in A$. Note that φ_1 and φ_2 are “homotopic” by a long path. So it is rather strong equivalence. But it still weaker than that of unitary equivalence. It turns out that, at least in several known occasions, one needs asymptotic unitary equivalence. It is not difficult to see that in order to have φ_1 and φ_2 to be asymptotically unitarily equivalent one must have $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(A, B)$ instead of $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KL(A, B)$. However, in addition of $\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$ for all tracial states τ of B , it requires more to obtain asymptotic unitary equivalence.

A pioneer work in this direction was done by Kishimoto and Kumjian ([18] and [19]) who studied a special case that $A = B$ and A is a unital simple AT-algebra of real rank zero. Among other things, they gave a KK -theoretical necessary and sufficient condition for an automorphisms α on a unital simple AT-algebra of real rank zero to be asymptotically inner. An interesting application was given by Matui. Using Kishimoto and Kumjian’s result, he showed that if A is a unital simple AT-algebra of real rank zero and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ is an automorphism of A then the associated crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra ([36]).

We study homomorphisms from an AH-algebra A (not necessarily simple nor real rank zero) to a unital separable simple C^* -algebra B with tracial rank zero. It should be noted that even in the simple case, a unital simple AH-algebra may have higher stable rank and it may have some other unexpected properties (see [41] and [40]). Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ are two unital homomorphisms. In order for φ_1 and φ_2 to be asymptotically unitarily equivalent (or approximately unitarily equivalent), φ_1 and φ_2 must have the same kernel. Since any quotient of an AH-algebra is again an AH-algebra, to simplify the matter, we may only consider monomorphisms. Suppose that φ_1 and φ_2 are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Then, as mentioned above, $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(A, B)$ and $\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$ for any tracial state τ of B . As in Kishimoto and Kumjian’s case, a rotation related map $\tilde{\eta}$ from $K_1(A)$ to $K_0(B)$ associated with the pair of monomorphisms must vanish. Details of this rotation map will be defined below (3.4). The main result of this paper is to establish that these conditions are also sufficient for φ_1 and φ_2 being asymptotically unitarily equivalent.

The main background tools to establish the main theorem is the similar result for approximate unitary equivalence established in [30] and the so-called Basic Homotopy Lemmas ([33]). Suppose that there is a unitary $u \in U(B)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_1(b), u]\| < \delta$$

for some large set of elements $b \in A$ and sufficiently small δ . One needs to know how to find a continuous path of unitaries $u(t)$ with $u(0) = u$, $u(1) = 1_B$ and $\|[\varphi_1(a), u(t)]\| < \epsilon$ for some

given set of elements $a \in A$. The Basic Homotopy Lemma ([33]) states that it is possible when a map $\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, u)$ vanishes. This type of theorem was first given in [2]. The more general version used here is given in [33]. We also took many ideas from Kishimoto and Kumjian's work ([19]) for granted. It turns out that the proof of the main theorem is considerably shorter in the case that $K_i(A)$ ($i = 0, 1$) is finitely generated (8.2). The general case needs a number of additional facts which require some lengthy work.

Let B be a unital separable amenable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem and let $\alpha : B \rightarrow B$ be an endomorphism. By the main result mentioned above, we show that α is asymptotically inner, i.e., there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of A such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_t^* a u_t = \alpha(a)$$

for all $a \in A$ if and only if $[\alpha] = [\text{id}_A]$ in $KK(A, A)$ and the associated rotation related map $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$ is zero.

Now we turn to quasidiagonal C^* -algebras. Quasidiagonality for crossed products were studied by Voiculescu ([42], [43] and [44]). Let X be a compact metric space and α be a homeomorphism on X . It was proved by Pimsner ([37]) that $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is quasidiagonal if and only if α is pseudo-non-wondering and if and only if $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into an AF-algebra. When A is an AF-algebra, Nate Brown ([5]) proved that $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is quasidiagonal if and only if $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into an AF-algebra. He also gave a K -theoretical necessary and sufficient condition for $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ being embedded into an AF-algebra. This result was generalized by Matui as mentioned above. See [6] for further discussion on this topic.

Let A be a unital AH-algebra (not necessarily simple nor real rank zero) and let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ be an automorphism. We are interested in the problem when the crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra ([32] and [34]).

Suppose that there is a unital embedding $h : A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B$, where B is a unital simple AF-algebra. Let $\tau \in T(B)$ be a tracial state on B . Then $\tau \circ h$ gives a faithful α -invariant tracial state on A . As an application of the main result of the paper, we prove that $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra if and only if A admits a faithful α -invariant tracial state. Note that if A is simple then A always admits a faithful α -invariant tracial state.

There is another question concerning the main result. If φ_1 and φ_2 are asymptotically unitarily equivalent, can one actually find a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that

$$u_0 = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u_t \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A?$$

In other words, φ_1 and φ_2 are asymptotically unitarily equivalent with $u_t \in U_0(A)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

We will show, for example, when $A = C(X)$ and B is a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero, the answer to the question is affirmative (see 12.8). However, in general, this can not be done even in the case that $A = M_n(C(X))$. There is a K -theory obstacle prevent one from choosing a path starting with 1_B . This phenomenon will be discussed in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows:

Section 2 provides some notations and some background materials. In Section 3, we define the rotation related map mentioned above and give a generalized Exel's formula for bott elements concerning a pair of almost commuting unitaries. In Section 4, we show that in order for two unital monomorphisms φ_1 and φ_2 to be asymptotically unitarily equivalent it is necessarily to have $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(C, A)$ and $\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$ as well as the rotation related map associated with these two homomorphisms vanishes. Section 5 discusses a pair of almost commuting unitaries and associated bott element which puts some known results into a more

general setting. In section 6, using classification theory for unital separable amenable simple C^* -algebras of tracial rank zero, we show that for $A = C(X)$, where X is a finite CW complex X , the Bott map can be constructed from prescribed information. In section 7, we generalize the results in section 6 to the case that A is a unital AH-algebra. In section 8, we prove a special case of the main theorem. In section 9, we present a stable version of the so-called Basic Homotopy Lemma and use it to establish certain facts that are needed in the proof of the main theorem. Section 10 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem (10.7). In section 11, we give a couple of applications. In particular, we solve the problem when $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra for all unital AH-algebras. Finally, in the last section, we discuss the problem when the continuous paths in the definition of asymptotic unitary equivalence can be taken in the connected component of the unitary groups which contains the identity.

2 Preliminaries

2.1. Let A be a stably finite C^* -algebra. Denote by $T(A)$ the tracial state space of A and denote by $Aff(T(A))$ the space of all real affine continuous functions on $T(A)$. Suppose $\tau \in T(A)$ is a tracial state. We will also use τ for the trace $\tau \otimes Tr$ on $A \otimes M_k = M_k(A)$ (for every integer $k \geq 1$), where Tr is the standard trace on M_k .

Define $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow Aff(T(A))$ be the positive homomorphism defined by $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$ for each projection p in $M_k(A)$.

2.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Denote by $SA = C_0((0, 1), A)$ the suspension of A .

2.3. A C^* -algebra A is an AH-algebra if $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n, \psi_n)$, where each A_n has the form $P_n M_{k(n)}(C(X_n)) P_n$, where X_n is a finite CW complex (not necessarily connected) and $P_n \in M_{k(n)}(C(X_n))$ is a projection.

We use $\psi_{n,\infty} : A_n \rightarrow A$ for the induced homomorphism. Note that every separable commutative C^* -algebra is an AH-algebra. Every AF-algebra and every AT-algebra are AH-algebras.

2.4. Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the class of separable amenable C^* -algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

2.5. A unital separable simple C^* -algebra A with tracial rank zero is quasi-diagonal, of real rank zero, stable rank one and has weakly unperforated $K_0(A)$. Every simple AH-algebra A with real rank zero, stable rank one and weakly unperforated $K_0(A)$ has tracial rank zero ([27]). We refer to [22] and [29] for the definition of tracial rank zero and its properties. Unital separable simple C^* -algebras in $\mathcal{N}$ with tracial rank zero can be classified by their K -theory (see ([26] and [28])).

2.6. Let A and B be two unital C^* -algebras and let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. One can extend φ to a homomorphism from $M_k(A)$ to $M_k(B)$ by $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_k}$. In what follows we will use φ again for this extension without further notice.

2.7. Let A and B be two C^* -algebras and let $L_1, L_2 : A \rightarrow B$ be a map. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ is a subset and $\epsilon > 0$. We write

$$L_1 \approx_{\epsilon} L_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F},$$

if

$$\|L_1(a) - L_2(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

2.8. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Denote by $U(A)$ the group of unitaries in A and denote by $U_0(A)$ the path connected component of $U(A)$ containing the identity.

By $\text{Aut}(A)$ we mean the group of automorphisms on A . Let $u \in U(A)$. We write $\text{ad } u$ the inner automorphism defined by $\text{ad } u(a) = u^* a u$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.9. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Following Dadarlat and Loring ([8]), denote

$$\underline{K}(A) = \oplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let B be a unital C^* -algebra. If furthermore, A is assume to be separable and satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem ([39]), by [8],

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B)) = KL(A, B).$$

Here $KL(A, B) = KK(A, B)/\text{Pext}(K_*(A), K_*(B))$. (see [8] for details).

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Denote

$$F_k \underline{K}(A) = \oplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus_{n|k} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}).$$

Suppose that $K_i(A)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 2$). It follows from [8] that there is an integer $k \geq 1$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(F_k \underline{K}(A), F_k \underline{K}(B)) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B)). \quad (\text{e 2.1})$$

Definition 2.10. Let A and B be two unital C^* -algebras. Let $h : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism and $v \in U(B)$ such that

$$h(g)v = vh(g) \text{ for all } g \in A.$$

Thus we obtain a homomorphism $\bar{h} : A \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow B$ by $\bar{h}(f \otimes g) = h(f)g(v)$ for $f \in A$ and $g \in C(S^1)$. The tensor product induces two injective homomorphisms:

$$\beta^{(0)} : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_1(A \otimes C(S^1)) \quad (\text{e 2.2})$$

$$\beta^{(1)} : K_1(A) \rightarrow K_0(A \otimes C(S^1)). \quad (\text{e 2.3})$$

The second one is the usual Bott map. Note, in this way, one writes

$$K_i(A \otimes C(S^1)) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta^{(i-1)}(K_{i-1}(A)).$$

We use $\widehat{\beta^{(i)}} : K_i(A \otimes C(S^1)) \rightarrow \beta^{(i-1)}(K_{i-1}(A))$ for the projection to $\beta^{(i-1)}(K_{i-1}(A))$.

For each integer $k \geq 2$, one also obtains the following injective homomorphisms:

$$\beta_k^{(i)} : K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(A \otimes C(S^1), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}), i = 0, 1. \quad (\text{e 2.4})$$

Thus we write

$$K_{i-1}(A \otimes C(S^1), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = K_{i-1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \beta_k^{(i)}(K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})), i = 0, 1. \quad (\text{e 2.5})$$

Denote by $\widehat{\beta_k^{(i)}} : K_i(A \otimes C(S^1), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \beta_k^{(i-1)}(K_{i-1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}))$ similarly to that of $\widehat{\beta^{(i)}}$, $i = 1, 2$. If $x \in \underline{K}(A)$, we use $\beta(x)$ for $\beta^{(i)}(x)$ if $x \in K_i(A)$ and for $\beta_k^{(i)}(x)$ if $x \in K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we have a map $\beta : \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow \underline{K}(A \otimes C(S^1))$ as well as $\widehat{\beta} : \underline{K}(A \otimes C(S^1)) \rightarrow \beta(\underline{K}(A))$. Therefore one may write $\underline{K}(A \otimes C(S^1)) = \underline{K}(A) \oplus \beta(\underline{K}(A))$.

On the other hand $\bar{h}$ induces homomorphisms $\bar{h}_{*i,k} : K_i(A \otimes C(S^1), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_i(B, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, $k = 0, 2, \dots$, and $i = 0, 1$.

We use $\text{Bott}(h, v)$ for all homomorphisms $\bar{h}_{*i,k} \circ \beta_k^{(i)}$. We write

$$\text{Bott}(h, v) = 0,$$

if $\bar{h}_{*i,k} \circ \beta_k^{(i)} = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $i = 0, 1$.

We will use $\text{bott}_1(h, v)$ for the homomorphism $\bar{h}_{1,0} \circ \beta^{(1)} : K_1(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$, and $\text{bott}_0(h, u)$ for the homomorphism $\bar{h}_{0,0} \circ \beta^{(0)} : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_1(B)$.

Since A is unital, if $\text{bott}_0(h, v) = 0$, then $[v] = 0$ in $K_1(B)$.

In what follows, we will use z for the standard generator of $C(S^1)$ and we will often identify S^1 with the unit circle without further explanation. With this identification z is the identity map from the circle to the circle.

2.11. Given a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\text{Bott}(h, v)|_{\mathcal{P}}$$

is well defined, if

$$\|[h(a), v]\| = \|h(a)v - vh(a)\| < \delta_0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}$$

(see 2.10 of [33]).

There is $\delta_1 > 0$ ([35]) such that $\text{bott}_1(u, v)$ is well defined for any pair of unitaries u and v such that $\|[u, v]\| < \delta_1$. As in 2.2 of [13], if $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ are unitaries such that

$$\|[u, v_j]\| < \delta_1/n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$\text{bott}_1(u, v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{bott}_1(u, v_j).$$

By considering unitaries $z \in \widetilde{A \otimes C}$ ($C = C_n$ for some commutative C^* -algebra with torsion K_0 and $C = SC_n$), from the above, for a given unital separable C^* -algebra A and a given finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, one obtains a universal constant $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ satisfying the following:

$$\text{Bott}(h, v_j)|_{\mathcal{P}} \text{ is well defined and } \text{Bott}(h, v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Bott}(h, v_j), \quad (\text{e 2.6})$$

for any unital homomorphism h and unitaries $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ for which

$$\|[h(a), v_j]\| < \delta/n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (\text{e 2.7})$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

If furthermore, $K_i(A)$ is finitely generated, then (e 2.1) holds. Therefore, there is a finite subset $\mathcal{Q} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, such that

$$\text{Bott}(h, v)$$

is well defined if $\text{Bott}(h, v)|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is well defined (see also 2.3 of [33]).

See section 2 of [33] for the further information.

We will use the following theorems frequently.

Theorem 2.12. (Corollary 17.9 of [33]) *Let A be a unital AH -algebra and let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Suppose that B is a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero and $h : A \rightarrow B$ is a unital monomorphism. Then there exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: Suppose that there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that*

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \delta \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(h, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \quad (\text{e 2.8})$$

Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ such that

$$u_0 = u, \quad u_1 = u, \quad \|[h(a), v_t]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } t \in [0, 1],$$

$$\|u_t - u_{t'}\| \leq (2\pi + \epsilon)|t - t'| \text{ for all } t, t' \in [0, 1] \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Length}(\{u_t\}) \leq 2\pi + \epsilon.$$

We will also use the following

Theorem 2.13. (Theorem 3.4 of [30]; also Theorem 3.6 of [34]) *Let C be a unital AH -algebra and let B be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow B$ are two unital monomorphisms. Then there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\}$ of A such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u_n \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C$$

if and only if

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KL(C, B) \text{ and } \tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$$

for all $\tau \in T(B)$.

3 Rotation maps and Exel's trace formula

3.1. Let A and B be two unital C^* -algebras. Suppose that $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are two monomorphisms. Define

$$M_{\varphi, \psi} = \{x \in C([0, 1], B) : x(0) = \varphi(a) \text{ and } x(1) = \psi(a) \text{ for some } a \in A\}. \quad (\text{e } 3.9)$$

When $A = B$ and $\varphi = \text{id}_A$, $M_{\varphi, \psi}$ is the usual mapping torus. We may call $M_{\varphi, \psi}$ the (generalized) mapping torus of φ and ψ .

This notation will be used throughout of this article. Thus one obtains an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow SB \xrightarrow{i} M_{\varphi, \psi} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} A \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{e } 3.10)$$

Suppose that A is a separable amenable C^* -algebra. From (e 3.10), one obtains an element in $\text{Ext}(A, SB)$. In this case we identify $\text{Ext}(A, SB)$ with $KK^1(A, SB)$ and $KK(A, B)$.

Suppose that $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in $KL(A, B)$. The mapping torus $M_{\varphi, \psi}$ corresponds a trivial element in $KL(A, B)$. It follows that there are two exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(B) \xrightarrow{i_*} K_0(M_{\varphi, \psi}) \xrightarrow{(\pi_0)^*} K_0(A) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e } 3.11)$$

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(B) \xrightarrow{i_*} K_1(M_{\varphi, \psi}) \xrightarrow{(\pi_0)^*} K_1(A) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{e } 3.12)$$

which are pure extensions of abelian groups.

Definition 3.2. Suppose that $T(B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in M_l(M_{\varphi, \psi})$ be a unitary which is a piecewise smooth function on $[0, 1]$. For each $\tau \in T(B)$, we denote by τ the trace $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$ on $M_l(B)$, where Tr is the standard trace on M_l as in 2.1. Define

$$R_{\varphi, \psi}(u)(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \tau\left(\frac{du(t)}{dt} u(t)^*\right) dt. \quad (\text{e } 3.13)$$

Since

$$\tau\left(\frac{du(t)}{dt} u(t)^*\right) = -\tau\left(u(t) \frac{du(t)^*}{dt}\right),$$

$R_{\varphi,\psi}(u)(\tau)$ is real.

We now assume that $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in $KL(A, B)$. We also assume that

$$\tau(\varphi(a)) = \tau(\psi(a)) \text{ for all } a \in A \text{ and } \tau \in T(B). \quad (\text{e 3.14})$$

Exactly as in section 2 of [19], one has the following statement:

When $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in $KL(A, B)$ and (e 3.14) holds, there exists a homomorphism

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} : K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi}) \rightarrow Aff(T(B))$$

defined by

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}([u])(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \tau\left(\frac{du(t)}{dt} u(t)^*\right) dt.$$

If p is a projection in $M_l(B)$ for some integer $l \geq 1$, one has $\iota_*([p]) = [u]$, where $u \in M_{\varphi,\psi}$ is a unitary defined by

$$u(t) = e^{2\pi i t} p + (1 - p) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

It follows that

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}(\iota_*([p]))(\tau) = \tau(p) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B).$$

In other words,

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}(\iota_*([p])) = \rho_B([p]).$$

Thus one has, exactly as in 2.2 of [19], the following:

Lemma 3.3. *When $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in $KL(A, B)$ and (e 3.14) holds, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(B) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi}) \\ \rho_B \searrow & & \swarrow R_{\varphi,\psi} \\ & Aff(T(B)) & \end{array}$$

Definition 3.4. If furthermore, $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in $KK(A, B)$ and A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, using Dadarlat-Loring's notation, one has the following splitting exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{K}(SB) \xrightarrow{[\iota]} \underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi}) \xrightarrow{[\pi_0]}_{\theta} \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{e 3.15})$$

In other words there is $\theta \in Hom_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$ such that $[\pi_0] \circ \theta = [\text{id}_A]$. In particular, one has a monomorphism $\theta|_{K_1(A)} : K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})$ such that $[\pi_0] \circ \theta|_{K_1(A)} = (\text{id}_A)_*$. Thus, one may write

$$K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi}) = K_0(B) \oplus K_1(A). \quad (\text{e 3.16})$$

Suppose also that $\tau \circ \varphi = \tau \circ \psi$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Then one obtains the homomorphism

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \theta|_{K_1(A)} : K_1(A) \rightarrow Aff(T(B)). \quad (\text{e 3.17})$$

We say a rotation related map vanishes, if there exists a such splitting map θ such that

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \theta = 0.$$

Note that there is nothing standard about the splitting map θ . To keep the same notation as in [19], when $R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \theta = 0$ for some such θ , we write

$$\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi,\psi} = 0.$$

In what follows whenever we write $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi,\psi} = 0$, we mean $\theta(K_1(A)) \in \ker R_{\varphi,\psi}$ for some θ so that (e 3.15) holds. Here we do not define a map $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi,\psi}$ but simply use $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi,\psi} = 0$ as a notation.

Thus, θ also gives the following:

$$\ker R_{\varphi,\psi} = \ker \rho_B \oplus K_1(A).$$

Under the assumption that $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in $KK(A, B)$ and $\tau \circ \varphi = \tau \circ \psi$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$, and if, in addition, $K_i(A)$ is torsion free, such θ exists whenever $\rho_B(K_0(B)) = R_{\psi,\varphi}(K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$ and the following splits:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \rho_A \rightarrow \ker R_{\varphi,\psi} \rightarrow K_1(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let C be a unital separable amenable C^* -algebra and let B be a unital C^* -algebra. Suppose that $\varphi, \psi : C \rightarrow B$ are two unital monomorphisms such that $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in $KL(C, B)$ and*

$$\tau \circ \varphi = \tau \circ \psi \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B). \quad (\text{e 3.18})$$

Suppose that $u \in M_l(C)$ is a unitary and $w \in U(M_l(B))$ such that

$$\|\varphi(u) \text{ad } w(\psi(u^*)) - 1\| < 2. \quad (\text{e 3.19})$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \tau(\log(\varphi(u) \text{ad } w(\psi(u^*)))) \in R_{\varphi,\psi}(K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})). \quad (\text{e 3.20})$$

Proof. By replacing φ and ψ by $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_l}$ and by $\psi \otimes \text{id}_{M_l}$, respectively, we may reduce the general case to the case that $u \in U(C)$ and $w \in U(B)$. Note that $\varphi(\text{diag}(u, 1)) = \text{diag}(\varphi(u), 1)$ and $\psi(\text{diag}(u, 1)) = \text{diag}(\psi(u), 1)$. Also that

$$\log(\varphi(\text{diag}(u, 1)) \text{ad}(\text{diag}(w, w^*))(\psi(\text{diag}(u^*, 1)))) = \text{diag}(\log(\varphi(u) \text{ad } w(\psi(u^*))), 0).$$

Thus, by replacing u by $\text{diag}(u, 1)$ and w by $\text{diag}(w, w^*)$, we may assume that $w \in U_0(B)$.

It follows that there are $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in B_{s,a}$ with $\|b_j\| \leq 1$ such that

$$w = \prod_{j=1}^m e^{2\pi i b_j}. \quad (\text{e 3.21})$$

There is a smooth branch of $\log$ defined on the $\text{sp}(\varphi(u) \text{ad } w(\psi(u^*)))$. Let

$$h = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\varphi(u) \text{ad } w(\psi(u^*))) \quad (\text{e 3.22})$$

with $\|h\| \leq 1$. Define a unitary $U \in M_{\varphi,\psi}$ as follows

$$U(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(u^*) e^{2(2m+1)\pi i h t} & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2m+1}) \\ e^{-2\pi i(2-(2m+1)t)b_1} \prod_{j=2}^m e^{-2\pi i b_j} \psi(u^*) w & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{2m+1}, \frac{2}{2m+1}) \\ e^{-2\pi i(k+1-(2m+1)t)b_k} \prod_{j=k+1}^m e^{-2\pi i b_j} \psi(u^*) w & \text{if } t \in [\frac{k}{2m+1}, \frac{k+1}{2m+1}) \\ e^{-2\pi i(m+1-(2m+1)t)b_m} \psi(u^*) w & \text{if } t \in [\frac{m}{2m+1}, \frac{m+1}{2m+1}) \\ \psi(u^*) e^{2\pi i(m+1+k-(2m+1)t)b_k} \prod_{j=+1}^m e^{-2\pi i b_j} & \text{if } t \in [\frac{m+k}{2m+1}, \frac{m+k+1}{2m+1}) \\ \psi(u^*) e^{2\pi i(2m+1-(2m+1)t)b_m} & \text{if } t \in [\frac{2m}{2m+1}, \frac{2m+1}{2m+1}). \end{cases} \quad (\text{e 3.23})$$

Note that

$$U(0) = \varphi(u^*) \text{ and } U(1) = \psi(u^*).$$

So $U(t)$ is indeed in $M_{\varphi,\psi}$. Moreover, it is piecewise smooth function on $[0, 1]$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \tau\left(\frac{dU(t)}{dt} U(t)^*\right) dt \in R_{\varphi,\psi}(K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})). \quad (\text{e 3.24})$$

We also compute that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \tau\left(\frac{dU(t)}{dt} U(t)^*\right) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} \int_{\frac{k-1}{2m+1}}^{\frac{k}{2m+1}} \tau\left(\frac{dU(t)}{dt} U(t)^*\right) dt \quad (\text{e 3.25})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (2\pi i \tau(h) + \sum_{k=1}^m 2\pi i \tau(b_k) - \sum_{k=1}^m 2\pi i \tau(b_k)) \quad (\text{e 3.26})$$

$$= \tau(h). \quad (\text{e 3.27})$$

Thus $\tau(h) \in R_{\varphi,\psi}(K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$.

□

The following is a generalization of the Exel trace formula for bott element.

Theorem 3.6. *There is $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: Let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank zero and let $u, v \in U(A)$ be two unitaries such that*

$$\|uv - vu\| < \delta. \quad (\text{e 3.28})$$

Then $\text{bott}_1(u, v)$ is well defined and

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(u, v))(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\tau(\log(vuv^*u^*))) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 3.29})$$

Proof. There is $\delta_1 > 0$ (one may choose $\delta_1 = 2$) such that for any pair of unitaries $\text{bott}_1(u, v)$ is well defined (see 2.11). There is also $\delta_2 > 0$ satisfying the following: if two pair of unitaries u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2 such that

$$\|u_1 - u_2\| < \delta_2, \quad \|v_1 - v_2\| < \delta_2 \quad (\text{e 3.30})$$

as well as

$$\|[u_1, v_1]\| < \delta_1/2 \text{ and } \|[u_2, v_2]\| < \delta_1/2,$$

then

$$\text{bott}_1(u_1, v_1) = \text{bott}_1(u_2, v_2). \quad (\text{e 3.31})$$

We may also assume that

$$\|v_1 u_1 v_1^* u_1 - 1\| < 1 \text{ whenever } \|[u_1, v_1]\| < \delta_2.$$

Let

$$F = \{z \in S^1 : |z - 1| < 1 + 1/2\}.$$

Let $\log : F \rightarrow (-\pi, \pi)$ be a smooth branch of logarithm.

We choose $\delta = \min\{\delta_1/2, \delta_2/2\}$. Now fix a pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$ with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta. \quad (\text{e 3.32})$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta_3 > 0$ such that

$$|\log(t) - \log(t')| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e 3.33})$$

provided that $|t - t'| < \delta_3$ and $t, t' \in F$.

Choose $\epsilon_1 = \min\{\epsilon, \delta_3/4, \delta/2\}$.

Since $TR(A) = 0$, There is a finite dimensional C^* -subalgebra B of A , a projection $p \in A$ with $1_B = p$, unitaries $u', v' \in B$, and $u'', v'' \in (1-p)A(1-p)$ such that

$$\|u' + u'' - u\| < \epsilon_1, \quad \|v' + v'' - v\| < \epsilon_1 \quad (\text{e 3.34})$$

$$\text{and } \tau(1-p) < \epsilon_1 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 3.35})$$

In particular,

$$\text{bott}_1(u' + u'', v' + v'') = \text{bott}_1(u, v). \quad (\text{e 3.36})$$

Therefore

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(u' + u'', v' + v''))(\tau) = \rho_A(\text{bott}_1(u, v))(\tau) \quad (\text{e 3.37})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Note that

$$\|[u', v']\| < \delta \text{ and } \|[u'', v'']\| < \delta. \quad (\text{e 3.38})$$

We also have

$$\|v'u'(v')^*(u')^* - p\| < 2 \text{ and } \|v''u''(v'')^*(u'')^* - (1-p)\| < 2. \quad (\text{e 3.39})$$

Write $B = \oplus_{j=1}^r M_{l(j)}$ and

$$u' = \oplus_{j=1}^r u'(j) \text{ and } v' = \oplus_{j=1}^r v'(j), \quad (\text{e 3.40})$$

where $u'(j), v'(j) \in M_{l(j)}$ are unitaries. By Exel's trace formula ([14]), one has

$$Tr_j(\text{bott}_1(u'(j), v'(j))) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} Tr_j(\log(v'(j)u'(j)v(j)^*u(j)^*)), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad (\text{e 3.41})$$

where Tr_j is the standard trace on $M_{l(j)}$. Suppose that $\tau \in T(A)$ then there are $\lambda_j \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\lambda_j}{l(j)} = 1 \text{ and } \tau|_B = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\lambda_j}{l(j)} Tr_j. \quad (\text{e 3.42})$$

It follows that

$$\tau(\text{bott}_1(u', v')) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \tau(\log(v'u'(v')^*(u')^*)). \quad (\text{e 3.43})$$

We also have

$$\tau(\log((v' + v'')(u' + u'')(v' + v'')^*(u' + u'')^*)) \quad (\text{e 3.44})$$

$$= \tau(\log(v'u'(v')^*(u')^*)) + \tau(\log(v''u''(v'')^*(u'')^*)). \quad (\text{e 3.45})$$

Note that

$$|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\tau(\log(v''u''(v'')^*(u'')^*))| < \tau(1-p) < \epsilon \quad (\text{e 3.46})$$

$$\text{and } \tau(\text{bott}_1(u'', v'')) < \epsilon \quad (\text{e 3.47})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows that

$$|\rho_A(\text{bott}(u, v))(\tau) - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\tau(\log((v' + v'')(u' + u'')(v' + v'')^*(u' + u'')^*))| < 2\epsilon \quad (\text{e 3.48})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

By (e 3.34), we have

$$\|vuv^*u^* - (v' + v'')(u' + u'')(v' + v'')^*(u' + u'')^*\| < 4\epsilon_1. \quad (\text{e 3.49})$$

Thus, by the choice of ϵ_1 and by (e 3.34),

$$|\frac{1}{2\pi i}[\tau(\log((v' + v'')(u' + u'')(v' + v'')^*(u' + u'')^*)) - \tau(\log(vuv^*u))]| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e 3.50})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Thus, by (e 3.50) and (e 3.48),

$$|\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(u, v))(\tau) - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\tau(\log(vuv^*u))| < 3\epsilon \quad (\text{e 3.51})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and for all ϵ . Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(u, v)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\tau(\log(vuv^*u)) \quad (\text{e 3.52})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

□

4 Asymptotic unitary equivalence

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a unital AH-algebra, and let B be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ are two unital homomorphisms such that there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset B$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \quad (\text{e 4.53})$$

Then there is a continuous piecewise smooth path of unitaries $\{w(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset B$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } v(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \quad (\text{e 4.54})$$

Proof. Define $w(t) = u(0)^*u(t)$. Then $w(0) = 1$ and $w(t) \in U_0(B)$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of A whose union is dense in A . Without loss of generality, we may assume that, if $t \geq n$,

$$\text{ad } u(0)w(t) \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{1/2^n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (\text{e 4.55})$$

Let $\delta_n > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_n \subset A$ and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets be required by 2.12 (17.9 of [33]) corresponding to φ_2 , $1/2^n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We may also assume that $\text{Bott}(h, u')|_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ is well-defined for any unital homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ and a unitary $u' \in B$ whenever $\|h(a), u'\| < \delta_n$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}_n$.

Let $\eta_n > 0$ (in place of δ) and let $\mathcal{G}'_n \subset A$ be a finite subset required by Lemma 9.3 of [33] for $L = 2\pi + 1$, $\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\delta_n/2$ (in place of ϵ). We may assume that $\eta_n < \delta_n/2$ and $\mathcal{G}'_n \supset \mathcal{G}_n$.

There are $\{s_n\}$ with $s_{n+1} > s_n \geq n$ such that

$$\text{ad } u(0)w(t) \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\eta_n/2} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{G}'_n. \quad (\text{e 4.56})$$

It follows that

$$\|\varphi_2(a), w(s(n))^*w(t)\| < \delta_n \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}'_n \text{ and } t \geq s(n). \quad (\text{e 4.57})$$

Moreover, since $w(s(n))^*w(s(n)) = 1$, we conclude that

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_2, w(s(n))^*w(s(n+1)))|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = 0 \quad (\text{e 4.58})$$

Combining 2.12 and the proof of Lemma 9.3 of [33], we obtain a continuous and piecewise smooth path $\{z_n(t) : t \in [s(n), s(n+1)]\}$ such that

$$z_n(s(n)) = 1, \quad z_n(s(n+1)) = w(s(n))^*w(s(n+1)) \quad (\text{e 4.59})$$

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), z_n(t)]\| < 1/2^n \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (\text{e 4.60})$$

It is standard to find a piecewise smooth path of unitaries $\{v(t) : t \in [0, s(1)]\}$ such that

$$v(0) = u(0) \text{ and } v(s(1)) = u(0)w(s(n)). \quad (\text{e 4.61})$$

Define $v(t) = u(0)w(s(n))z_n(t)$ if $t \in [s(n), s(n+1))$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It is easy to check, by (e 4.56) and (e 4.60), that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } v(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a)$$

for all $a \in A$.

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be a unital stably finite C^* -algebra and $u(t) \in C([0, 1], A)$ be a unitary which is piecewise smooth. Suppose that $w(t) = u(t)^*zu(t)$ for some unitary $z \in A$. Then*

$$\tau\left(\frac{dw(t)}{dt}w(t)^*\right) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \text{ and for all } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (\text{e 4.62})$$

Proof. Let $y = u(0)$, $z' = yzy^*$ and $v(t) = y^*u(t)$. Then $v(0) = 1$. Therefore by considering $v(t)^*z'v(t)$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $u(0) = 1$.

Let $0 < d < 1$. We obtain $h_1(t), h_2(t), \dots, h_k(t) \in C([0, d], A)$ such that

$$u(t) = \exp(ih_1(t)) \cdot \exp(ih_2(t)) \cdots \exp(ih_k(t))$$

for $t \in [0, d]$. We claim that

$$\tau\left(\frac{dw(t)}{dt}w^*(t)\right) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, d] \quad (\text{e 4.63})$$

and for all $\tau \in T(A)$. We prove this by induction on k . For $k = 1$, we may write $u(t) = \exp(ih(t))$ for $t \in [0, d]$. Thus

$$\tau\left(\frac{dw(t)}{dt}w(t)^*\right) = \tau\left(\left[-\frac{dh(t)}{dt}u(t)^*zu(t) + u^*(t)z\frac{dh(t)}{dt}u(t)\right]u(t)^*z^*u(t)\right) \quad (\text{e 4.64})$$

$$= \tau\left(-\frac{dh(t)}{dt}\right) + \tau\left(u^*(t)z\frac{dh(t)}{dt}z^*u(t)\right) \quad (\text{e 4.65})$$

$$= -\tau\left(\frac{dh(t)}{dt}\right) + \tau\left(\frac{dh(t)}{dt}\right) = 0 \quad (\text{e 4.66})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Suppose that the claim holds for k . Write $u(t) = \exp(ih(t))v(t)$, where $v(t)$ can be written as product of k exponentials. In particular,

$$\tau\left(\frac{d(v(t)^*zv(t))}{dt}(v(t)^*z^*v(t))dt\right) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \text{ and } t \in [0, d]. \quad (\text{e 4.67})$$

Then

$$\tau\left(\frac{dw(t)}{dt}w(t)^*\right) = \tau\left(-\frac{dh(t)}{dt}\right) + \tau\left(e^{-ih(t)}\frac{d(v(t)^*zv(t))}{dt}(v(t)^*z^*v(t))e^{ih(t)}\right) \quad (\text{e 4.68})$$

$$+ \tau\left(e^{ih(t)}v(t)^*zv(t)\frac{dh(t)}{dt}(v(t)^*z^*v(t))\right) \quad (\text{e 4.69})$$

$$= -\tau\left(\frac{dh(t)}{dt}\right) + \tau\left(\frac{d(v(t)^*zv(t))}{dt}(v(t)^*z^*v(t))\right) + \tau\left(\frac{dh(t)}{dt}\right) = 0. \quad (\text{e 4.70})$$

Therefore

$$\tau\left(\frac{dw(t)}{dt}w(t)^*\right) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \text{ and for all } t \in [0, d].$$

It follows that

$$\tau\left(\frac{dw(t)}{dt}w(t)^*\right) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \text{ and } t \in [0, 1].$$

□

The following is a modification of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of 3.1 of [19].

Theorem 4.3. *Let A be a unital separable C^* -algebra satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem and let B be a unital separable C^* -algebra. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ are unital monomorphisms such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A \quad (\text{e 4.71})$$

for some continuous and piecewise smooth path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset B$. Then

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2], \quad \tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \quad (\text{e 4.72})$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0. \quad (\text{e 4.73})$$

Proof. By changing parameter, we may assume that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \text{ad } u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A$$

and for some continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset B$.

Let

$$M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = \{f \in C([0, 1], B) : f(0) = \varphi_1(a) \text{ and } f(1) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

Define $U(t) \in M_2(C([0, 1], B))$ as follows.

$$U(t) = T_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u(0) \end{pmatrix} T_t^{-1}, \quad (\text{e 4.74})$$

for $t \in [0, 1/2)$, where

$$T_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi t) & -\sin(\pi t) \\ \sin(\pi t) & \cos(\pi t) \end{pmatrix}$$

and define

$$U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(2(t - 1/2)) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{e 4.75})$$

Define $\Phi : A \rightarrow M_2(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$ by

$$\Phi(a)(t) = U(t)^* \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 4.76})$$

$$\Phi(a)(1) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_2(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{e 4.77})$$

Φ is a monomorphism. Moreover, $\pi_0 \circ \Phi = \text{id}_A$. This implies that the extension given by the mapping torus M_{φ_1, φ_2} is stably trivial. The map Φ also gives the following splitting exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{K}(SB) \rightarrow \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) \xrightarrow[\leftarrow[\Phi]]{\pi_0} \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(A, B).$$

It is also clear that

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B).$$

To show that $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0$, we note that $U(t)$ is piecewise smooth and continuous.

Let $z \in U(M_{2k}(A))$. We write $\tilde{\Phi}$ for $\Phi \otimes \text{id}_{M_k}$ and

$$\tilde{U}(t) = \text{diag}(\overbrace{U(t), U(t), \dots, U(t)}^k) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z)(t) = \tilde{U}(t)^* z \tilde{U}(t). \quad (\text{e 4.78})$$

It follows from 4.2 that

$$\int_0^1 \tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{dt} \tilde{\Phi}(z)(t)^*\right) dt = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B). \quad (\text{e 4.79})$$

This implies that

$$R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \circ \Phi_{*1} = 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0$. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let A be a unital AH -algebra and let B be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ are two unital monomorphisms which are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Then*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(A, B), \tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 4.80})$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B). \quad (\text{e 4.81})$$

Proof. This follows from 4.3 and 4.1 immediately. □

5 Almost commuting unitaries and bott element

Lemma 5.1. *Let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with real rank zero and stable rank one and weakly unperforated $K_0(A)$. Suppose that $x \in K_0(A)$ and there is a projection $p \in A$ such that*

$$2|\rho_A(x)(\tau)| < \tau(p) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Then there exist two projections $p_1, p_2 \in pAp$ such that

$$[p_1] - [p_2] = x.$$

Proof. It follows from [1] that $\rho_A(K_0(A))$ is dense in $\text{Aff}(T(A))$. Therefore there is a projection $q \in A$ such that

$$|\rho_A(x)(\tau)| < \tau(q) < \frac{\tau(p)}{2} \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Let $y = [q] + x \in K_0(A)$. Then

$$\rho_A(y)(\tau) > 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

It follows that there is a projection $e \in M_K(A)$ for some integer $K \geq 1$ such that $[e] = y$. Then

$$\tau(p) > \tau(e) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

Then there is a projection $e' \in pAp$ such that $[e'] = [e]$ in $K_0(A)$. We may also assume that $q \leq p$. However,

$$[e'] - [q] = x.$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 > \epsilon > 0$. For any unital separable simple C^* -algebra A with real rank zero, stable rank one and weakly unperforated $K_0(A)$, any unitary $u \in U(A)$ with $\text{sp}(u) = S^1$, there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: for any $x \in K_0(A)$ with*

$$|\rho_A(x)(\tau)| < \delta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A), \tag{e 5.82}$$

there exists a unitary $v \in U_0(A)$ such that

$$\|[u, v]\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = x. \tag{e 5.83}$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. There exists an integer $n_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$|\omega_n - 1| < \epsilon/2 \text{ for all } n \geq n_0, \tag{e 5.84}$$

where $\omega_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$.

By 3.3 of [30], for the given unitary u , there is $\delta_1 > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C(S^1)$ satisfying the following: for any other unitary $u_1 \in U(A)$ with

$$[u_1] = [u] \text{ and } |\tau(f(u_1)) - \tau(f(u))| < \delta_1 \tag{e 5.85}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\tau \in T(A)$, then there exists a unitary $W \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|W^*u_1W - u\| < \epsilon/4. \tag{e 5.86}$$

Choose $\delta = \frac{\delta_1}{8n_0}$. There exists a unital AF-algebra C such that

$$(K_0(C), K_0(C)_+, [1_C]) = (\rho_A(K_0(A)), \rho_A(K_0(A))_+, 1). \tag{e 5.87}$$

Suppose that $x \in K_0(A)$ such that

$$|\rho_A(x)(\tau)| < \delta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 5.88})$$

There are projection $p_0^{(1)} \in A$ such that

$$2\delta > \tau(p_0^{(1)}) > 2|\rho_A(x)(\tau)| \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 5.89})$$

It follows from 5.1 that there are two projections $p'_1, p'_2 \in p_0^{(1)} A p_0^{(1)}$ such that

$$[p'_1] - [p'_2] = x. \quad (\text{e 5.90})$$

Put $y = \rho_A(x)$. There is a projection $\bar{p}_0^{(1)} \in C$ such that

$$[\bar{p}_0^{(1)}] = \rho_A([p_0^{(1)}]). \quad (\text{e 5.91})$$

In C , there is a finite dimensional unital C^* -subalgebra $B \subset \bar{p}_0^{(1)} C \bar{p}_0^{(1)}$ and two projections $q_1, q_2 \in B$ such that

$$[j(q_1)] - [j(q_2)] = y \quad (\text{e 5.92})$$

where $j : B \rightarrow C$ is the (unital) embedding. Thus we obtain a unital monomorphism $\psi : B \rightarrow p_0^{(1)} A p_0^{(1)}$ such that

$$\rho_A([\psi(q_1)] - [\psi(q_2)]) = y. \quad (\text{e 5.93})$$

Write $B = M_{n_1} \oplus M_{n_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_K}$. Since C is a simple AF-algebra, we may choose (larger) B so that $n_k \geq n_0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$. We may write

$$\rho_A(\psi_*(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_K)) = y, \quad (\text{e 5.94})$$

where $|k_i| \leq n_i$ are integers, $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$.

Let $E_k = \psi(1_{M_{n_k}})$, where we view $1_{M_{n_k}}$ as a projection in B , $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Let $\{e_{i,j,k}\}$ be a system of matrix unit for M_{n_k} .

Define

$$S_{n_k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } W_{n_k} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{n_k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{n_k}^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \omega_{n_k}^{n_k} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{e 5.95})$$

We compute that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Tr}(\log(W_{n_k} S_{n_k} W_{n_k}^* S_{n_k}^*)) = 1. \quad (\text{e 5.96})$$

Let $n'_0 = \max\{|k_i| : 1 \leq i \leq K\}$. By (e 5.89), there are $n'_0 - 1$ mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections $p_0^{(2)}, p_0^{(3)}, \dots, p_0^{(n'_0)} \in (1 - p_0^{(1)}) A (1 - p_0^{(1)})$ for which $p_0^{(i)}$ is equivalent to $p_0^{(1)}$. Thus there is a unitary $X_i \in A$ such that

$$X_i^* p_0^{(1)} X_i = p_0^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n'_0.$$

Note we assume that $X_1 = 1_A$.

We write $S_{n_k} = \sum_{i,j}^{n_k} a_{i,j} e_{i,j,k}$, where $a_{i,j}$ are zero's or 1. Define $T_s = \sum_{m=1}^{k_s} X_m^* S_{n_k} X_m$ and $e_s = \sum_{m=1}^{k_s} X_m^* p_0^{(1)} X_m$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Define $p = \sum_{s=1}^K e_s$. Define $v_{1,s} = \sum_{m=1}^{k_s} X_m^* (\sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \omega_{n_s}^i e_{i,i,s}) X_m$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Define $u_1 = \sum_{s=1}^K T_s$ and define v_1 to the sum of $v_{1,s}$ or $v_{1,s}^*$ depending k_j is non-negative or negative. Then one computes easily

$$\|u_1 v_1 - v_1 u_1\| \leq \max_k \{|1 - \omega_{n_k}|\} \leq |1 - \omega_{n_0}|. \quad (\text{e 5.97})$$

Moreover, by (e 5.94), the Exel trace formula and (e 5.96),

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(u_1, v_1)) = y. \quad (\text{e 5.98})$$

Let $p_1 \in (1 - p)A(1 - p)$ such that $1 - p - p_1 \neq 0$ and

$$\tau(1 - p - p_1) < \delta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 5.99})$$

Let $p_2, p_3 \in (1 - p - p_1)A(1 - p - p_1)$ be two mutually orthogonal non-zero projections such that $p_2 + p_3 = 1 - p - p_1$.

It follows from 4.3 of [33] that there is a unitary $u_2 \in p_1 A p_1$ with finitely many points in the spectrum of u_2 such that

$$|\tau_1(f(u_2)) - \tau(f(u))| < \delta/2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \quad (\text{e 5.100})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\tau_1(b) = \frac{\tau(b)}{\tau(p_1)}$ for all $b \in p_1 A p_1$. Let $x_1 = x - \text{bott}_1(u_1, v_1)$. Then

$$x_1 \in \ker \rho_A. \quad (\text{e 5.101})$$

It follows from [25] that there exists a unital homomorphism (necessarily injective) $\varphi_1 : C(S^1 \times S^1) \rightarrow p_2 A p_2$ such that

$$\text{bott}_1(\varphi_1(z \otimes 1), \varphi_1(1 \otimes z)) = x_1 \text{ and } (\varphi_1)_{*1} = 0, \quad (\text{e 5.102})$$

where z is the identity function on the unit circle.

There is a unitary $u_3 \in p_3 A p_3$ such that $[u_3] = [u]$.

Now define $U = u_1 \oplus u_2 \oplus \varphi_1(z \otimes 1) \oplus u_3$ and $v' = v_1 \oplus p_2 1 \oplus \varphi_1(1 \otimes z) \oplus p_3$. Since $u_1 \in \psi(B)$, u_2 has finite spectrum and $(\varphi_1)_{*1} = 0$,

$$[U] = [u].$$

One also easily verify that

$$\|[U, v']\| \leq |1 - \omega_n| < \epsilon/2 \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(U, v') = x. \quad (\text{e 5.103})$$

Moreover,

$$|\tau(f(U)) - \tau(f(u))| < \delta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \quad (\text{e 5.104})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. By 3.3 of [30], there is a unitary $W \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|W^* U W - u\| < \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e 5.105})$$

Now define $v = W^* v' W$. It follows that

$$\|uv - vu\| \leq \|[U, v']\| + \|W^* U W - u\| \quad (\text{e 5.106})$$

$$< |1 - \omega_{n_0}| + \epsilon/4 < \epsilon \quad (\text{e 5.107})$$

Moreover,

$$\text{bott}_1(u, v) = x. \quad (\text{e 5.108})$$

□

Remark 5.3. It is the application of 3.3 of [30] that requires δ depending on u . However, if we apply Theorem 4.6 of [30], then we can choose δ independent of u as well as A but δ is required to be dependent on a given measure distribution on the unit circle.

The following is certainly known and follows from 2.6.11 of [24] immediately.

Lemma 5.4. *Let X be a compact proper subset of the unit circle. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following. If A is a unital C^* -algebra, u and v are two unitaries with $\text{sp}(u) \subset X$ and*

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta, \quad (\text{e 5.109})$$

then

$$\text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0. \quad (\text{e 5.110})$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon = 1/2$. Let $d > 0$ so that $S^1 \setminus X$ contains an arc of length d . Let $\delta > 0$ be as in 2.6.11 of [24]. Then, by 2.6.11 of [24], there is a selfadjoint element $h \in A$ such that $\exp(ih) = u$ and

$$\|[\exp(ih), v]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (\text{e 5.111})$$

Let $u(t) = \exp(iht)$. Then $u(0) = 1$ and $u(1) = u$. It follows from (e 5.111) that

$$\text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0.$$

□

6 Finite CW complex

Definition 6.1. Let $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be a degree k map ($k > 1$), i.e., a continuous map with the winding number k . Following 4.2 of [11], denote by $T_{II,k} = D^2 \cup_f S^1$, the connected finite CW complex obtained by attaching a 2-cell D^2 to S^1 via the map f . Then

$$K_0(C(T_{II,k})) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus k\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } K_1(T_{II,k}) = \{0\}. \quad (\text{e 6.112})$$

Let $g : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be a degree k map ($k > 1$). Let $T_{III,k} = D^3 \cup_g S^2$ be the connected finite CW complex obtained by attaching a 3-cell D^3 to S^2 via the map g . Then

$$K_0(C(T_{III,k})) = \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } K_1(C(T_{III,k})) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{e 6.113})$$

(see 4.2 of [11]).

Definition 6.2. A unital AH-algebra is said to be of first kind if it is inductive limit of C^* -algebras with the form $M_l(C(S^1))$ and $PM_l(C(T_{II,k}))P$.

A is said to be of second kind if it is inductive limit of finite direct sums of C^* -algebras with the form $PM_l(C(T_{II,k}))P$ and $PM_l(C(T_{III,k}))P$.

The following statements follows immediately from [11] and [28]

Proposition 6.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{N}$ be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank zero.*

(1) *Suppose that $K_1(A)$ is torsion free ($i = 0, 1$). Then A is a unital AH-algebra of the first kind.*

(2) *Suppose that $K_1(A)$ is torsion. Then A is a unital AH-algebra of the second kind.*

Lemma 6.4. *Let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero.*

(1) *Suppose that there is a homomorphism $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow K_1(A)$. Then there exists a unital simple AH-algebra A_0 of the first kind with tracial rank zero and with $K_1(A_0) = \mathbb{Z}^k$, and there exists a unital monomorphism $\varphi_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A$ such that φ_{*0} gives an order isomorphism and $\varphi_{*1} = \gamma$.*

(2) *There is a unital simple AH-algebra A_1 of the second kind with tracial rank zero with $K_1(A_1) = \text{Tor}(K_1(A))$ and a unital monomorphism $\varphi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A$ such that $(\varphi_1)_{*0}$ is an order isomorphism and $(\varphi_1)_{*1} = \text{id}|_{\text{Tor}(K_1(A))}$.*

Proof. By [11], there is a unital simple AH-algebra A_0 of real rank zero and slow dimension growth such that

$$K_1(A_0) = \mathbb{Z}^k \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 6.114})$$

$$(K_0(A_0), K_0(A_0)_+, [1_{A_0}]) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)_+, [1_A]). \quad (\text{e 6.115})$$

Note that A_0 has tracial rank zero (see [21]). It also follows from 4.6 of [25] that there is a unital monomorphism $\varphi_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A$ such that $(\varphi_0)_{*0}$ is the order isomorphism and $(\varphi_0)_{*1} = \gamma$. This proves (1).

For (2), by [11], there is a unital simple AH-algebra A_1 with real rank zero and slow dimension growth such that

$$K_1(A_1) = \text{Tor}(K_1(A)) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 6.116})$$

$$(K_0(A_1), K_0(A_1)_+, [1_{A_1}]) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)_+, [1_A]). \quad (\text{e 6.117})$$

As the above, one obtains a unital monomorphism $\varphi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A$ such that $(\varphi_1)_{*0}$ gives the order isomorphism and $(\varphi_1)_{*1} = \text{id}|_{\text{Tor}(K_1(A))}$. □

The following theorem follows immediately from a version of the statement in [17]

Lemma 6.5. *Let X be a compact metric space with $K_1(C(X)) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus G_0$, where G_0 is a finite group.*

Let A be unital separable simple C^ -algebra with tracial rank zero and let $\gamma : K_1(C(X)) \rightarrow K_1(A)$. Suppose that $\lambda : C(X)_{s.a.} \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(A))$ is a positive linear map. Then there exists a unital simple AH-algebra A_0 of tracial rank zero with $K_1(A_0) = \mathbb{Z}^k$ and a unital monomorphism $\varphi_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A$ such that φ_{*0} gives an order isomorphism and $\varphi_{*1} = \gamma|_{\mathbb{Z}^k}$. Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset C(X)_{s.a.}$, there exists a unital monomorphism $h : C(X) \rightarrow A_0$ such that*

$$\varphi_0 \circ h_{*1}|_{\mathbb{Z}^k} = \gamma|_{\mathbb{Z}^k}, \quad h_{*1}|_{G_0} = 0 \text{ and } |\tau \circ h(a) - \lambda(a)(\tau)| < \delta \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}. \quad (\text{e 6.118})$$

Proof. Choose two non-zero mutually orthogonal projections $p_1, p_2 \in A_0$ such that

$$\tau(p_j) < \delta/4 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A_0), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (\text{e 6.119})$$

Note that $K_i(pA_0p) = K_i(A_0)$, $i = 0, 1$. It follows from 4.7 of [25] that there is a unital homomorphism $h_0 : C(X) \rightarrow p_1A_0p_1$ such that

$$(h_0)_{*1}|_{\mathbb{Z}^k} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^k}. \quad (\text{e 6.120})$$

There is a non-zero projection $p_1 \in A_0$. It follows from 3.6 of [17] that there is a unital homomorphism $h_{00} : C(X) \rightarrow (1 - p_1 - p_2)A_0(1 - p_1 - p_2)$ with finite dimensional range such that

$$|\tau \circ h_{00}(f) - \lambda(f)(\tau)| < \delta/4 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}. \quad (\text{e 6.121})$$

It is well known that there exists a unital monomorphism $h_1 : C(X) \rightarrow p_2 A_0 p_2$ which factors through $C([0, 1])$. Now define $h : C(X) \rightarrow A_0$ by $h(f) = h_0(f) + h_{00}(f) + h_1(f)$ for all $f \in C(X)$. $\square$

Lemma 6.6. *Let X be a connected finite CW complex with $K_1(C(X)) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus G_0$, where G_0 is a finite group, and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank zero. Let $C = PM_r(C(X))P$, where $l > 0$ is an integer, $P \in M_l(C(X))$ is a projection.*

*Suppose that $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a unital monomorphism. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, there is a projection $p \in A$, a unital monomorphism $\varphi_0 : A_0 \rightarrow pAp$, where A_0 is a unital simple AH-algebra of the first kind with tracial rank zero and with $K_1(A_0) = \mathbb{Z}^k$ such that $(\varphi_0)_{*1} = h_{*1}|_{\mathbb{Z}^k}$, and there is a unital simple AH-algebra A_1 of second kind with tracial rank zero and with $K_1(A_1) = \text{Tor}(K_1(A))$, a unital monomorphism $\varphi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow (1-p)A(1-p)$ with $(\varphi_1)_{*1} = \text{id}_{\text{Tor}(K_1(A))}$ and $(\varphi_1)_{*0}$ is an order isomorphism, and a unital monomorphism $h_1 : C \rightarrow A_0$ with $(h_1)_{*1}|_{\mathbb{Z}^k} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^k}$ and a unital homomorphism $h_2 : C \rightarrow A_0$ with $(h_2)_{*1}|_{\mathbb{Z}^k} = 0$ and $(h_2)_{*1}|_{G_0} = h_{*1}|_{G_0}$ such that*

$$\|\varphi_0 \circ h_1(f) \oplus \varphi_1 \circ h_2(f) - h(f)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 6.122})$$

Proof. We first assume that $C = C(X)$. The general case will be dealt with at the end of this proof.

Write $K_0(C) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus G_{00}$, where $G_{00} = \ker \rho_C$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset C(X)$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ required by 3.3 of [30] for h , ϵ and $\mathcal{F}$. Without loss of generality (by taking even smaller δ for example), we may assume that $\mathcal{G} \subset C(X)_{s.a.}$.

Let $\lambda : C(X)_{s.a.} \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(A))$ be induced by h . Choose a non-zero projection $e \in A$ such that $\tau(e) < \gamma/2$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Let A_0 and φ_0 be as in 6.5 but we replace A by $(1-e)A(1-e)$, and δ by $\gamma/2$. We also use h_1 for h given in 6.5. Define

$$\kappa = [h] - [\varphi_0 \circ h_1] \in KL(C, A) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(A)). \quad (\text{e 6.123})$$

In particular, by identify $\mathbb{Z}^k$ with the free part of $K_1(C)$,

$$\kappa|_{\mathbb{Z}^k} = 0 \text{ and } \kappa|_{G_0} = h_{*1}|_{G_0}. \quad (\text{e 6.124})$$

Moreover, $\kappa([1_C]) = [e]$ and $\rho_A(\kappa(G_{00})) = 0$.

By (2) of 6.4, there is a unital simple AH-algebra A_1 of the second kind with tracial rank zero and with $K_1(A_1) = \text{Tor}(K_1(A))$, and a unital monomorphism $\varphi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow eAe$ such that $(\varphi_1)_{*0}$ is an order isomorphism and

$$(\varphi_1)_{*1} = \text{id}|_{\text{Tor}(K_1(A))}. \quad (\text{e 6.125})$$

From

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K_0(A_1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_0(A_1, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Tor}(K_1(A)) & & \\
& \searrow [\varphi_1] & \downarrow [\varphi_1] & & \swarrow [\varphi_1] & & \\
& & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_1(A) \\
& & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & K_0(A) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_1(A) \\
& \nearrow [\varphi_1] & & & \uparrow [\varphi_1] & & \swarrow [\varphi_1] \\
K_0(A_1) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_1(A_1, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \text{Tor}(K_1(A)) & &
\end{array}$$

one calculates that all maps $[\varphi_1]$ are injective and $[\varphi_1]$ from $K_0(A_1, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ to $K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Since $(h_1)_{*1}$ maps G_0 into $\text{Tor}(K_1(A))$, by (e6.124), then there is $x \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(A_1))$ such that

$$x \times [\varphi_1] = \kappa. \quad (\text{e6.126})$$

Note that $x([1_C]) = [1_{A_1}]$ and $x(G_{00}) \in \ker \rho_{A_1}$. It follows from 4.7 of [25] that there exists a unital homomorphism $h_2 : C \rightarrow A_1$ such that

$$[h_2] = x. \quad (\text{e6.127})$$

Define $h_3 : C(X) \rightarrow A$ by $h_3 = \varphi_0 \circ h_1 \oplus \varphi_1 \circ h_2$. Then,

$$[h_3] = [h] \text{ in } KL(C, A) \quad (\text{e6.128})$$

We also have

$$|\tau \circ h_3(f) - \tau \circ h(f)| < \gamma \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{G}. \quad (\text{e6.129})$$

It follows from 3.3 of [30] that there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\text{ad } u \circ h_3 \approx_\epsilon h_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e6.130})$$

This proves the lemma in the case that $C = C(X)$.

Now consider the case that $C = M_l(C(X))$. Let $e_{1,1} \in M_l(C(X))$ be a constant rank one projection. Let $e_0 = h(e_{1,1})$. By replacing A by $e_0 A e_0$ and h by $h|_{e_{1,1} C e_{1,1}}$, one easily sees that this case reduces to the case that $C = C(X)$.

Now consider the general case that $C = P M_l(C(X)) P$. There is $r \geq 1$ such that there is projection $q \in M_r(C)$ such that $(q + P) M_r(C) (q + P) \cong M_{l_1}(C(X))$. We then first extend h to $h \otimes \text{id}_{M_r} : M_r(C) \rightarrow M_r(A)$. We then obtain the desired homomorphisms $h'_1, h'_2 : M_r(C) \rightarrow M_r(A)$ as well as φ'_0 and φ'_1 . We then restrict h'_1 and h'_2 on $P M_r(C) P = C$. It is easy to see that the general case can be reduced to the case that $C = M_l(C(X))$. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. *Let X be a connected finite CW complex, $C = P M_l(C(X)) P$, where $P \in M_l(C(X))$ is a projection, and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a unital monomorphism. Suppose that $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ such that*

$$\kappa|_{\underline{K}(C)} = [h] \text{ and } \rho_A(\kappa(\beta(K_1(C)))) = 0. \quad (\text{e6.131})$$

Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$, there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(h, u) = \kappa|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C))}. \quad (\text{e6.132})$$

Proof. Suppose that $d = \dim X$. We will prove this for the case that $C = M_r(C(X))$. The general case follows from the fact that there is a projection $Q \in M_{d+1}(C)$ such that $(Q + P) M_{d+1}(C) (Q + P) \cong M_{l'}(C(X))$.

Define $H : C \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow A$ by $H(f \otimes g) = h(f)g(1)$ for all $f \in C$ and $g \in C(S^1)$.

Since $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated, from 2.11, as in 2.10 of [33], there is $\delta_0 > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset C$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \underline{K}(C)$ satisfy the following: for any unital homomorphism $h' : C \rightarrow A$ and any unitary $u' \in U(A)$ with

$$\|[h'(a), u']\| < \delta_0,$$

$\text{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ is well defined and $\text{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ defines $\text{Bott}(h', u')$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ be a finite subset of the unit ball. Denote $S = \{1_{C(S^1)}, z\}$, where $z \in C(S^1)$ is the identity function on the unit circle.

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset C$ be finite subset such that

$$\text{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_0} = \text{Bott}(h'', u'')|_{\mathcal{P}_0}$$

for any two pairs of h', u' and h'', u'' for which

$$\|[h'(a), u']\| < \delta_1 \text{ and } \|[h''(a), u'']\| < \delta_1$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$, provided that

$$h' \approx_{\delta_1} h'' \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and } \|u' - u''\| < \delta_1 \quad (\text{e 6.133})$$

Let $\epsilon_1 = \min\{\epsilon/2, \delta_0/2, \delta_1/2\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$.

Let $\delta > 0, \gamma > 0$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset C$ be a finite subset which are required by 3.3 of [30] for ϵ_1 and $\mathcal{F}_3$ and h above. We may assume that $\delta < \epsilon_1$ and $\mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{F}_3$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is a subset of the unit ball of C .

Define $B = C \otimes C(S^1)$. We may write $K_0(B) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus G_0$, where $G_0 = \ker \rho_B$. Suppose that $k \geq 1$ is an integer such that

$$kx = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \text{Tor}(K_i(B)), \ i = 0, 1. \quad (\text{e 6.134})$$

Put $m = k!$. Then, by 2.11 of [8],

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(F_m \underline{K}(B), F_m \underline{K}(B)) \cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)). \quad (\text{e 6.135})$$

Since A is a simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero, it is easy to find two mutually orthogonal projections $e, e' \in A$ such that

$$\tau(e) < \gamma/3 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \text{ and } [e] + k![e'] = [1_A] \text{ in } K_0(A). \quad (\text{e 6.136})$$

Therefore

$$\overline{[e]} = \overline{[1_A]} \text{ in } K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), \quad (\text{e 6.137})$$

where $\overline{[e]}$ and $\overline{[1_A]}$ are images of $[e]$ and $[1_A]$ in $K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, respectively, $n = 2, 3, \dots, m$.

Let $\kappa' \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(F_m \underline{K}(B), F_m \underline{K}(A))$ be defined by

$$\kappa'([1_B]) = [e], \ \kappa'|_{G_0} = \kappa|_{G_0} \quad (\text{e 6.138})$$

$$\kappa'|_{K_1(B)} = \kappa|_{K_1(B)} \text{ and } \kappa'|_{K_i(B, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})}, \ n = 2, 3, \dots, m. \quad (\text{e 6.139})$$

Note that, by (e 6.137), so defined κ' is indeed in $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(F_m \underline{K}(B), F_m \underline{K}(A))$. Furthermore,

$$\rho_A(\kappa(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in G_0. \quad (\text{e 6.140})$$

By (e 6.135),

$$\kappa' \in KK(B, A)_+. \quad (\text{e 6.141})$$

(see [25]) It follows from 4.7 of [25] that there exists a unital homomorphism $\varphi_1 : B \rightarrow eAe$ such that

$$[\varphi_1] = \kappa'. \quad (\text{e 6.142})$$

Let $e_1 \in (1 - e)A(1 - e)$ be a projection such that $\tau(e_1) < \gamma/3$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

It follows from 2.25 (1) of [34] that there exists a unit monomorphism $\varphi_2 : B \rightarrow e_1 A e_1$ which factors through $M_r(C([0, 1]))$. Let $\xi \in X$ be a point and let $Y = X \setminus \{\xi\}$. Then

$$[\varphi_2|_{M_r(C_0(Y))}] = 0 \text{ in } KL(C_0(Y), A). \quad (\text{e 6.143})$$

It follows from 3.6 of [17] that there exists a unital homomorphism $\varphi_3 : C \rightarrow (1 - e - e_1)A(1 - e - e_1)$ with finite dimensional range such that

$$|\tau \circ \varphi_3(a) - \tau \circ \varphi_3(a)| < \gamma/3 \quad (\text{e 6.144})$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G} \otimes S$ and for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Since φ_3 has finite dimensional range,

$$[\varphi_3|_{M_r(C_0(Y))}] = 0 \text{ in } KL(C_0(Y), A). \quad (\text{e 6.145})$$

Now define $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$ by

$$\varphi(f) = \varphi_1(f) + \varphi_2(f) + \varphi_3(f) \text{ for all } f \in B. \quad (\text{e 6.146})$$

By (e 6.138), (e 6.140), (e 6.143) and (e 6.145), one computes that

$$[\varphi] = \kappa. \quad (\text{e 6.147})$$

Define $\psi : C \rightarrow A$ by $\psi(f) = \varphi(f \otimes 1_{C(S^1)})$ for $f \in C$. Then

$$[h] = [\psi] \text{ and } |\tau(h(f)) - \tau(\psi(f))| < \gamma \quad (\text{e 6.148})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{G}$ and for all $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows from 3.3 of [30] that there exists a unitary $w \in A$ such that

$$w \circ \psi(f) \approx_{\epsilon_1} h(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_3. \quad (\text{e 6.149})$$

Put

$$u = \text{ad } w(\varphi(1 \otimes z)).$$

Then,

$$\|[u, h(a)]\| < \epsilon_1 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_3. \quad (\text{e 6.150})$$

By (e 6.133),

$$\text{Bott}(h, u) = \text{Bott}(\psi, u) = \kappa|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C))}. \quad (\text{e 6.151})$$

□

Lemma 6.8. *Let $C = \oplus_{i=1}^r C_i$, where $C_i = P_i M_{l_i}(C(X_i)) P_i$, $P_i \in M_{l_i}(C(X_i))$ is a projection and $X_i = S^1$, $X_i = T_{II, m_i}$ or $X_i = T_{III, m_i}$. Let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank zero and $\psi : C \rightarrow A$ is a unital monomorphism.*

Let x_i be a generator of $K_1(C_i)$ (if $K_1(C(X_i)) \neq \{0\}$). For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$, there is $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any $y_i \in K_0(A)$, if there is a homomorphism $\kappa_i : K_1(C_i) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ with $\kappa_i(x_i) = y_i$ and

$$|\rho_A(y_i)(\tau)| < \delta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A), \quad (\text{e 6.152})$$

there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[\psi(c), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \rho_A \circ \text{bott}_1(\psi, u)(x_i) = \rho_A(y_i). \quad (\text{e 6.153})$$

Proof. Let $f_i \in C$ be the identity of the i -th summand and let $p_i = \psi(f_i)$. By considering each summand and $p_i A p_i$, one sees that one can reduce the general case to the case that $C = PM_l(C(X))P$, where $P \in M_l(C(X))$ is a projection and $X = S^1$, $X = T_{II,k}$ or $X = T_{III,k}$ for some integer $k > 1$.

The case that $X = S^1$ follows from 5.2 immediately.

Since $K_1(C(T_{II,k})) = \{0\}$, it remains to consider the case that $X = T_{III,k}$. Let $v \in M_R(C)$ be a unitary such that $K_1(C) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $[v]$. Suppose that $y \in K_0(A)$ such that there is a homomorphism $\kappa : K_1(C) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ with

$$\kappa([v]) = y. \quad (\text{e 6.154})$$

Since $k[v] = 0$, it follows that $ky = 0$. Therefore one must have

$$\rho_A(y) = 0. \quad (\text{e 6.155})$$

The lemma then follows when one applies 6.7 to this situation. $\square$

Lemma 6.9. *Let $C \in \mathcal{N}$ be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero, A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero and let $h : C \rightarrow A$ be a unital homomorphism.*

Let G_0 be a subgroup of $K_1(C)$ generated by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$, there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following:

If $\alpha : G_0 \rightarrow K_0(A)$ is a homomorphism such that

$$|\rho_A(\alpha(x_i))(\tau)| < \delta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (\text{e 6.156})$$

then there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \rho_A \circ \text{bott}_1(h, u)(x_i) = \rho_A(\alpha(x_i))(\tau) \quad (\text{e 6.157})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proof. It follows from [28] that C is a unital simple AH-algebra with real rank zero and with no dimension growth. It follows [11] that $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n, \varphi_n)$ where each A_n must be the form: $M_l(C(S^1))$ and $PM_l(C(X))P$, where $P \in M_l(C(X))$ is a projection and X has the form $T_{II,k}$ or $T_{III,k}$. Moreover, φ_n may be assumed to be injective (see also [12]).

There is a finitely generated subgroup $G'_0 \subset K_1(A_n)$ for some large n such that $(\varphi_{n,\infty})_{*1}(G'_0) \supset G_0$. Thus, by considering $h \circ \varphi_{n,\infty}$ and A_n , we effectively reduce the problem to the case that $C = A_n$. The lemma then follows from 6.8. $\square$

Lemma 6.10. *Let $C \in \mathcal{N}$ be a unital amenable separable C^* -algebra with finitely generated $K_i(C)$ ($i = 0, 1$) and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be a finite subset. Then, there is $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset C$ satisfying the following: If A is a unital C^* -algebra and if $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a unital homomorphism and $u \in U(A)$ is a unitary such that*

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \delta \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}, \quad (\text{e 6.158})$$

there is an element $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ such that

$$[h] = \kappa|_{\underline{K}(C)} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(h, u) = \kappa|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C))}. \quad (\text{e 6.159})$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.8 of [33]. □

Lemma 6.11. *Let X be a finite CW complex and let $C = PM_l(C(X))P$, where $P \in M_l(C(X))$ is a projection. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in K_1(C)$ be generators of $K_1(C)$. Let A be a unital simple separable C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero and let $h : C \rightarrow A$ be a unital monomorphism. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$, there is $\delta > 0$ satisfying:*

For any homomorphism $\alpha : K_1(C) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ with

$$|\rho_A(\alpha(x_i))(\tau)| < \delta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (\text{e 6.160})$$

there exists a unitary $u \in U_0(A)$ such that

$$\text{bott}_1(h, u)(x_i) = \alpha(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (\text{e 6.161})$$

and

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 6.162})$$

Proof. By considering each summand, it is clear that we may assume that X is connected. We now write

$$K_1(C) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus G_0, \quad (\text{e 6.163})$$

where G_0 is a finite subgroup and k is a positive integer.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ be given.

By applying 6.6, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$h = \varphi_0 \circ h_1 + \varphi_1 \circ h_2, \quad (\text{e 6.164})$$

where $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, h_1$ and h_2 are as described in 6.6. Let A_0, A_1 and $p \in A$ be as described in 6.6.

Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$, let F_{01} be the subgroup of $K_1(A_0)$ generated by $\{(h_1)_{*1}(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and let F_{02} be the subgroup generated by $\{(h_2)_{*1}(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset K_0(C)$ be a finite subset which includes $[1_C]$.

There is $\alpha_i : K_1(A_0) \rightarrow K_0(A)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\alpha_1 \circ (h_1)_{*1}|_{\mathbb{Z}^k} = \alpha|_{\mathbb{Z}^k} \text{ and } \alpha_2 \circ (h_2)_{*2}|_{G_0} = \alpha|_{G_0}. \quad (\text{e 6.165})$$

Let ϵ_1 be δ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$) in 2.11 (for $A = C$).

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be required by 6.9 for A_0, φ_0 , the subgroup generated by $\{(h_1)_{*1}(x_i), (h_1)_{*1}(x_2), \dots, (h_1)_{*1}(x_m)\}$, $\epsilon_1/3$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 \cup h_1(\mathcal{F})$. Let $\delta_2 > 0$ be required by 6.9 for A_1, φ_1 , the subgroup generated by $\{(h_2)_{*1}(x_i), (h_2)_{*1}(x_2), \dots, (h_2)_{*1}(x_m)\}$, $\epsilon_1/3$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 \cup h_2(\mathcal{F})$.

Choose $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Suppose (e 6.160) holds.

By applying 6.9, there are unitaries $u_1 \in pAp$ and $u_2 \in (1-p)A(1-p)$ such that

$$\|\varphi_0(a), u_1\| < \epsilon_1/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}'_1, \quad \rho_A \circ \text{bott}_1(\varphi_0, u_1)|_{F_{01}} = \rho_A \circ \alpha_1|_{F_{01}}, \quad (\text{e 6.166})$$

where $\mathcal{F}'_1 = h_1(\mathcal{F})$, and

$$\|\varphi_1(b), u_2\| < \epsilon_1/2 \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{F}''_1 \text{ and } \rho_A \circ \text{bott}_1(\varphi_1, u_2)|_{F_{02}} = \rho_A \circ \alpha_2|_{F_{02}}. \quad (\text{e 6.167})$$

Put $u' = u_1 + u_2$. It is then easy to see (with the assumption (e 6.164)) that

$$\|[h(a), u']\| < \epsilon_1/2 \text{ and } \rho_A \circ \text{bott}_1(h, u') = \rho_A \circ \alpha. \quad (\text{e 6.168})$$

We also assume that $\text{Bott}(h, u')$ is well defined.

There is $\kappa_1 \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(A))$ such that

$$\kappa_1|_{K_1(C)} = -\alpha + \text{bott}_1(h, u') \text{ and } \kappa_1|_{K_0(C)} = \text{bott}_0(h, u'). \quad (\text{e 6.169})$$

Define $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{A})$ such that

$$\kappa|_{\underline{K}(C)} = [h] \text{ and } \kappa(\beta(K(C))) = \kappa_1. \quad (\text{e 6.170})$$

In particular,

$$\rho_A(\kappa(\beta(K_1(C)))) = \{0\}. \quad (\text{e 6.171})$$

It follows from 6.7 that there is $u'' \in U(A)$ such that

$$\text{Bott}_1(h, u'') = \kappa|_{\beta(K(C))} \quad (\text{e 6.172})$$

Put $u = u'(u'')^*$. Then, $u \in U_0(A)$. Moreover, one computes that

$$\text{bott}_1(h, u) = \text{bott}_1(h, u') - \text{bott}_1(h, u'') \quad (\text{e 6.173})$$

$$= \text{bott}_1(h, u') + \alpha - \text{bott}_1(h, u') = \alpha. \quad (\text{e 6.174})$$

□

7 AH-algebras

We will generalize the results in the previous section to the case that C is a unital AH-algebra. Let $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n, \psi_n)$, where $A_n = P_n M_{l(n)}(C(X_n)) P_n$, where X_n is a finite CW complex, $P_n \in M_{l(n)}(C(X_n))$ is a projection. The main issue is that the maps ψ_n may not be injective so $\varphi_{n,\infty}(A_n)$ has the form $\varphi_{n,\infty}(P_n) M_{l(n)}(C(Y_n)) \varphi_{n,\infty}(P_n)$, where Y_n is a compact subset of X_n which can no longer be assumed to be a finite CW complex.

Lemma 7.1. *Let X be a finite CW complex, let $C = P M_l(C(X)) P$ and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a unital homomorphism and $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ is the monomorphism induced by h , where $D = C/\ker h$ which has the form $P_0 M_l(C(F)) P_0$ and F is a compact subset of X .*

Then, there exists a decreasing sequence of finite CW complex $X_i \subset X$ which contains F and a sequence of unital monomorphism $h_n : C_n \rightarrow A$, where $C_n = P_n M_l(C(X_i)) P_n$, $P_n = \pi^{(n)}(P)$ and $\pi^{(n)} : P M_l(C(X)) P \rightarrow C_n$ is the quotient map, such that

$$[\pi_n] \times [\varphi] = [h_n] \text{ in } KK(C_n, A) \text{ and } [h_n] = [s_n] \times [h_{n+1}] \text{ in } KK(C_n, A), \quad (\text{e 7.175})$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\pi_n : C_n \rightarrow D$ and $s_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ are the quotient maps. Moreover

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_n \circ \pi^{(n)}(f) - h(f)\| = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 7.176})$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_n \circ \pi^{(n)}(f) - h_{n+1} \circ s_n \circ \pi^{(n)}(f)\| = 0 \quad (\text{e 7.177})$$

for all $f \in C$.

Proof. It is easy to check that the lemma for the general case follows from the case that $C = M_l(C(X))$. It is then easy to see that it further reduces to the case that $C = C(X)$. It is also clear that we may reduce the general case to the case that X is connected. Let F be the spectrum of h . So F is a compact subset of X . Put $D = C(F)$.

Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $C(X)$ whose union is dense in $C(X)$.
Let $\sigma_n > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(x')| < \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_n, \quad (\text{e 7.178})$$

provided that $\text{dist}(x, x') < \sigma_n$. One easily finds a finite CW complex $X_n \subset X$ such that

$$X_n \subset \{x \in X : \text{dist}(x, F) < \sigma_n\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{e 7.179})$$

We may assume that $X_n \supset X_{n+1}$. Note that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = F$.

Let $\pi^{(n)} : C \rightarrow C_n$, $\pi_n : C(X_n) \rightarrow C(F)$ and $s_n : C(X_n) \rightarrow C(X_{n+1})$ be the quotient maps. Define $\varphi_n : C(X_n) \rightarrow A$ so that $\varphi_n \circ \pi^{(n)}(f) = h(f)$ for all $f \in C(X)$. One also has $\varphi \circ \pi_n = \varphi_n$.

Lemma 13.7 of [33] provides a monomorphism $h_n : C(X_n) \rightarrow A$ such that

$$h_n \circ \pi^{(n)} \approx_{1/2^n} h \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (\text{e 7.180})$$

The limit formula (e 7.177) also follows.

Moreover, in the proof of Lemma 13.7 of [33] (see (e13.29) and the notation there),

$$[h_{00}^{(i)}] = [\varphi_n|_{C_0(\Omega_i)}] \text{ in } KK(C_0(\Omega_i), A).$$

It follows that

$$[h_n] = [\varphi_n] = [\pi_n] \times [h] \text{ in } KL(C_n, A). \quad (\text{e 7.181})$$

□

Corollary 7.2. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a unital monomorphism.*

Then, there exists a sequence of C^ -algebras C_n with the form $C_n = P_n M_{l_n}(C(X_n)) P_n$, where $P_n \in M_{l_n}(C(X_n))$ is a projection and X_n is a finite CW complex satisfying the following:*

$$C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n, \psi_n), \quad (\text{e 7.182})$$

where each ψ_n is a unital homomorphism, and there is a sequence of unital monomorphisms $h_n : C_n \rightarrow A$ such that

$$[h_n] = [\psi_{n,\infty}] \times [h|_{\psi_{n,\infty}(C_n)}] \text{ in } KK(C_n, A) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 7.183})$$

$$[h_n] = [\psi_n] \times [h_{n+1}] \text{ in } KK(C_n, A), \quad (\text{e 7.184})$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$ Moreover

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h \circ \psi_{k,\infty}(a) - h_n \circ \psi_{k,n}(a)\| = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 7.185})$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_{n+1} \circ \psi_{k,n+1}(a) - h_n \circ \psi_{k,n}(a)\| = 0 \quad (\text{e 7.186})$$

for all $a \in C_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. We may write $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B_n, \varphi_n)$, where C_n has the form $B_n = P_n M_{k(n)}(C(X_n)) P_n$, where X_n is a finite CW complex, $k(n) \geq 1$ is an integer and $P_n \in M_{k(n)}(C(X_n))$ is a projection. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_n \subset B_n$ such that $\varphi_n(\mathcal{F}_n) \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{F}_n)$ is dense in C .

Let $D_n = \varphi_{n,\infty}(B_n)$. Then $D_n = P'_n M_{k(n)}(C(F_n)) P'_n$, where $F_n \subset X_n$ is a compact subset of X_n and $P'_n = \varphi_{n,\infty}(P_n)$. By 7.1, there exists a finite CW complex $Y_n \subset X_n$ such that $Y_n \supset F_n$ and $C_n = Q_n M_{k(n)}(Y_n) Q_n$ and a surjective homomorphism $s_n : B_n \rightarrow C_n$ and a unital monomorphism $h_n : C_n \rightarrow A$ such that

$$[h_n] = [\gamma_n] \times [h|_{\gamma_n(C_n)}] \text{ in } KK(C_n, A), \quad (\text{e 7.187})$$

where $\gamma_n : C_n \rightarrow D_n$ is the quotient map.

Let $j_n : D_n \rightarrow D_{n+1}$ be the injective homomorphism. From the proof of 7.1, we may also assume that $\ker s_n \subset \ker s_{n+1} \circ \varphi_n$. Thus we may assume that there is a homomorphism $\psi_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ such that

$$s_{n+1} \circ \varphi_n = \psi_n \circ s_n \text{ and } j_n \circ \gamma_n = \gamma_{n+1} \circ \psi_n \text{ } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{e 7.188})$$

Moreover,

$$h_n \approx_{1/2^n} h \circ \gamma_n \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (\text{e 7.189})$$

From (e 7.188), one shows that $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n, \psi_n)$. Moreover, $\psi_{n,\infty} = \gamma_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It is then easy to see from (e 7.189) that (e 7.185) and (e 7.186) hold. Moreover, (e 7.183) and (e 7.184) follow from (e 7.187). $\square$

Lemma 7.3. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra, let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero and let $h : C \rightarrow A$ be a unital monomorphism. Write $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n, \psi_n)$ so that C_n and ψ_n satisfy the conditions in 7.2. For any $\epsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in K_1(C)$, there exist $\delta > 0$, an integer $n \geq 1$ with $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in (\psi_{n,\infty})_{*1}(K_1(C_n))$ satisfying the following:*

*For any $\alpha : (\psi_{n,\infty})_{*1}(K_1(C_n)) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ with*

$$|\rho_A(\alpha \circ (\psi_{n,\infty})_{*1}(y_j))| < \delta \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad (\text{e 7.190})$$

where $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ forms a set of generators of $K_1(C_n)$, there is a unitary $u \in U_0(A)$ such that

$$\text{bott}_1(h, u)(x_i) = \alpha(x_i), \text{ } i = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad (\text{e 7.191})$$

and

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 7.192})$$

Proof. Let $\{C_n\}$ and ψ_n be as in 7.2. We may assume that $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \subset (\psi_{n_0,\infty})_{*1}(K_1(C_{n_0}))$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset C_{n_0}$ is a finite subset so that $\psi_{n_0,\infty}(\mathcal{F}_1) \supset \mathcal{F}$.

There is $\eta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_2 \subset C_{n_0}$ such that

$$\text{bott}_1(h', v') = \text{bott}_1(h'', v''),$$

provided that $\|[h'(a), v']\| < \eta$, $\|[h''(a), v'']\| < \eta$ and

$$\|h'(a) - h''(a)\| < \eta \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_2$$

for any unitaries v' and v'' and homomorphisms h', h'' from C_{n_0} above.

We now assume that $\mathcal{F}_2 \supset \mathcal{F}_1$.

There is $n > n_0$ such that

$$h_n \approx_\eta h \circ \psi_{n,\infty} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_2, \quad (\text{e 7.193})$$

where $h_n : C_n \rightarrow A$ is a unital monomorphism given by 7.2.

Suppose that $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\} \subset K_1(C_n)$ is a set of generators. Let $\epsilon/2 > \eta > 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ be as in 6.11 associated with $C_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ (in place of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$) and with η (in place of ϵ) and $\mathcal{F}_2$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$). By 6.11, there is a unitary $u \in U_0(A)$ such that

$$\|[h_n(a), u]\| < \epsilon/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 7.194})$$

$$\text{bott}_1(h_n, u)(y_j) = \alpha \circ (\psi_{n,\infty})_{*1}(y_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (\text{e 7.195})$$

Let $x_j = (\psi_{n_0,\infty})_{*1}(z_j)$ for some $z_j \in K_1(C_{n_0})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By (e 7.194) and the choice of η , we conclude that

$$\text{bott}_1(h \circ \psi_{n_0,\infty}, u)(z_j) = \alpha \circ (\psi_{n_0,\infty})_{*1}(z_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (\text{e 7.196})$$

It follows that

$$\text{bott}_1(h, u)(x_i) = \alpha(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (\text{e 7.197})$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 7.198})$$

□

Lemma 7.4. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra, let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero and let $h : C \rightarrow A$ be a unital monomorphism. Write $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n, \psi_n)$ so that C_n and ψ_n satisfy the conditions in 7.2. For any $\epsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$, there is an integer $n \geq 1$ with $\mathcal{P} \subset [\psi_{n,\infty}](\underline{K}(C_n))$ and an integer $k(n) \geq n$ satisfying the following:*

For $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)} \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ with

$$\kappa|_{\underline{K}(C_{k(n)})} = [h \circ \psi_{k(n),\infty}] \text{ and } \rho_A \circ \kappa|_{\beta(K_1(C_{k(n)}))} = 0, \quad (\text{e 7.199})$$

there is a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\text{Bott}(h \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, u) = \kappa \circ [\psi_{n,k(n)}]|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C_n))}, \quad (\text{e 7.200})$$

and

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 7.201})$$

Proof. Let $\{C_n\}$ and ψ_n be as in 7.2. We may assume that $\mathcal{P} \subset [\psi_{n,\infty}](\underline{K}(C_n))$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset C_n$ is a finite subset so that $\psi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{F}_1) \supset \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset C_n$ be a finite subset and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{Bott}(h', u') = \text{Bott}(h'', u') \quad (\text{e 7.202})$$

for any pair of unital homomorphisms $h', h'' : C_n \rightarrow A$ and any unitary $u' \in A$, provided that

$$h' \approx_\delta h'' \text{ on } \mathcal{G},$$

$$\|[h'(a), u']\| < \delta \text{ and } \|[h''(a), u']\| < \delta$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$.

We may assume that $\mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\delta < \epsilon/2$. Choose a sufficiently large $k(n) \geq n$ such that $h_{k(n)} : C_{k(n)} \rightarrow A$ is the monomorphism given by 7.2 so that

$$h_{k(n)} \circ \psi_{n,k(n)} \approx_\delta h \circ \psi_{n,\infty} \text{ on } \mathcal{G}. \quad (\text{e 7.203})$$

Then, by 6.7, there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\text{Bott}(h_{k(n)}, u) = \kappa|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)}))} \text{ and } \|[h_{k(n)}(a), u]\| < \epsilon/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (\text{e 7.204})$$

It follows from (e 7.203) that we may assume that

$$\text{Bott}(h \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, u) = \kappa \circ [\psi_{n,k(n)}]|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C_n))} \text{ and } \|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 7.205})$$

□

Lemma 7.5. *Let C be a unital AH -algebra, let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero and let $h : C \rightarrow A$ be a unital monomorphism. Write $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n, \psi_n)$ so that C_n and ψ_n satisfy the conditions in 7.2. For any $\epsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$, there is $\delta > 0$ and an integer $n \geq 1$ with $\mathcal{P} \subset [\psi_{n,\infty}](\underline{K}(C_n))$ and an integer $k(n) \geq n$ satisfying the following:*

For $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)}) \otimes C(S^1), \underline{K}(A))$ with

$$\kappa|_{\underline{K}(C_{k(n)})} = [h \circ \psi_{k(n),\infty}] \text{ and } |\rho_A(\kappa(\beta(x_i))(\tau))| < \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad (\text{e 7.206})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$, where $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ forms a set of generators for $K_1(C_{k(n)})$, then there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(h \circ \varphi_{n,\infty}, u) = \kappa \circ [\psi_{n,k(n)}] \circ \beta. \quad (\text{e 7.207})$$

Proof. This is a combination of 7.3 and 7.4. Fix $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ as in the lemma. Let n and $k(n) \geq n$ be in 7.4 associated with $\epsilon/2$ and $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $\eta > 0$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset C_{k(n)}$ be a finite subset such that both $\text{Bott}(h', u')$ and $\text{Bott}(h'', u')$ are well defined and

$$\text{Bott}(h', u') = \text{Bott}(h'', u') \quad (\text{e 7.208})$$

for any pair of unital homomorphisms $h', h'' : C_{k(n)} \rightarrow A$ and a unitary $u' \in U(A)$ provided that

$$h' \approx_\delta h'' \text{ on } \mathcal{G} \quad (\text{e 7.209})$$

$$\|[h'(a), u']\| < \eta \text{ and } \|[h''(a), u']\| < \eta$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$. We may assume that $\psi_{k(n),\infty}(\mathcal{G}) \supset \mathcal{F}$.

Let k be the largest order of finite order elements in $K_i(C_{k(n)})$ ($i = 0, 1$). Let $\mathcal{Q} \in F_{k!}\underline{K}(C_{k(n)})$ be a finite generator set (see 2.9, 2.10)). Let δ_0 and $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset C_{k(n)}$ be a finite subset required by 2.11 associated with $\mathcal{Q}$. We may also assume that $\eta < \min\{\delta_0/4, \epsilon/2\}$ and $\mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{G}_1$.

Choose $n_1 \geq k(n)$ such that

$$h_K \approx_\eta h \circ \psi_{K,\infty} \text{ on } \psi_{k(n),K}(\mathcal{G}) \quad (\text{e 7.210})$$

for all $K \geq n_1$. Let $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\}$ form a set of generators of $K_1(C_{k(n)})$. Let $z'_j = (\psi_{k(n),\infty})_{*1}(z_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let $\delta > 0$ and $k(n)' \geq n_1$ (in place of n) associated with η (in place of ϵ), $\psi_{k(n),\infty}(\mathcal{G})$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$) and $\{z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_m\}$ (in place of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$) as in 7.3.

Suppose that $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)'} \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ with

$$\kappa|_{\underline{K}(C_{k(n)'})} = [h \circ \psi_{k(n)', \infty}] \text{ and } |\rho_A(\kappa(\beta(x_j))(\tau))| < \delta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A), \quad (\text{e 7.211})$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ forms a set of generators of $K_1(C_{k(n)'})$. Let $\alpha : (\psi_{k(n)', \infty})_{*1}(K_1(C_{k(n)'}) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ be defined by $\alpha \circ (\psi_{k(n)', \infty})_{*1} = \kappa|_{K_1(C_{k(n)'})}$.

It follows from 7.3 that there is a unitary $v \in U_0(A)$ such that

$$\|[h(a), v]\| < \eta \text{ for all } a \in \psi_{k(n), \infty}(\mathcal{G}) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 7.212})$$

$$\text{bott}_1(h, v)((\psi_{k(n), \infty})_{*1}(z_j)) = \alpha((\psi_{k(n), \infty})_{*1}(z_j)) \quad (\text{e 7.213})$$

$$= \kappa \circ ((\psi_{k(n), k(n)'})_{*1}(z_j)), \quad (\text{e 7.214})$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By the choice of η and $\mathcal{G}$, there exists $\kappa' \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)} \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ such that

$$\text{Bott}(h \circ \psi_{k(n), \infty}, u) = \kappa'|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)}))}. \quad (\text{e 7.215})$$

Let

$$\kappa_1 = \kappa \circ [\psi_{k(n), k(n)'} \otimes \text{id}_{C(S^1)}] - \kappa' \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)} \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A)). \quad (\text{e 7.216})$$

Since $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\}$ generates $K_1(C_{k(n)})$, by (e 7.214),

$$\rho_A(\kappa_1 \circ \beta(K_1(C_{k(n)}))) = 0. \quad (\text{e 7.217})$$

Now by applying 7.4, we obtain a unitary $u_1 \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[h(a), u_1]\| < \epsilon/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(h \circ \psi_{n, \infty}, u_1) = \kappa_1|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C_n))}. \quad (\text{e 7.218})$$

Finally, put $u = vu_1$. Then, since $\eta < \delta_0/4$, by (e 7.218), (e 7.216), (e 7.215) and (e 7.214),

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 7.219})$$

$$\text{Bott}(h \circ \psi_{n, \infty}, u) = \text{Bott}(h \circ \psi_{k(n), \infty} \circ \psi_{n, k(n)}, v) + \text{Bott}(h \circ \psi_{n, \infty}, u_1) \quad (\text{e 7.220})$$

$$= \kappa'|_{\beta([\psi_{n, k(n)}](\underline{K}(C_n))} + (\kappa_1)|_{\beta([\psi_{n, k(n)}](\underline{K}(C_n))} \quad (\text{e 7.221})$$

$$= \kappa|_{\beta([\psi_{n, k(n)'}](\underline{K}(C_n)))}. \quad (\text{e 7.222})$$

We use $k(n)'$ for $k(n)$ in the statement. □

Corollary 7.6. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra with finitely generated $K_i(C)$ ($i = 0, 1$) and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a unital monomorphism. Suppose that $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ such that*

$$\kappa|_{\underline{K}(C)} = [h] \text{ and } \rho_A(\kappa(\beta(K_1(C)))) = 0. \quad (\text{e 7.223})$$

Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$, there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(h, u) = \kappa|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C))}. \quad (\text{e 7.224})$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ be a finite subset and let $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ satisfying (e 7.223) be given. Write $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n, \psi_n)$ as in 7.2. Moreover, let $h_n : C_n \rightarrow A$ be the monomorphism described in 7.2. Since $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 1$), there is $k \geq 1$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(F_k \underline{K}(C), F_k \underline{A}) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(A)).$$

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be a finite subset which generates $F_k \underline{K}(C)$. We may well assume that $\mathcal{P} \subset [\psi_{n,\infty}](\underline{K}(C_n))$. Let $k(n) \geq n$ be as in 7.4 (for $\epsilon, \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{P}$).

Define $\kappa_{k(n)} \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)} \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ as follows:

$$(\kappa_{k(n)})|_{\underline{K}(C_{k(n)})} = [h \circ \psi_{k(n),\infty}] \text{ and } (\kappa_{k(n)})|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C_{k(n)}))} = \kappa \circ \beta([\psi_{k(n),\infty}](\underline{K}(C_{k(n)}))). \quad (\text{e 7.225})$$

Note that

$$\rho_A(\circ \kappa_{k(n)})|_{\beta(K_1(C_{k(n)}))} = 0.$$

We then apply 7.4.

*

□

8 AH-algebras with finitely generated K -theory

Lemma 8.1. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ are two unital monomorphisms. Suppose that*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KL(C, A), \quad (\text{e 8.226})$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 8.227})$$

$$R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})) = \rho_A(K_0(A)). \quad (\text{e 8.228})$$

Then, for any increasing sequence of finite subsets $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of C whose union is dense in C , any increasing sequence of finite subsets $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ of $K_1(C)$ with $\cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{P}_n = K_1(C)$ and any decreasing sequence of positive number $\{\delta_n\}$ with $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \delta_n < \infty$, there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\}$ in $U(A)$ such that

$$\text{ad } u_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n \quad (\text{e 8.229})$$

and

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, u_n^* u_{n+1})(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{P}_n \quad (\text{e 8.230})$$

for all sufficiently large n .

Proof. By (e 8.226), it follows from 2.13 (see 3.4 of [30]) that there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \subset A$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } v_n \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C. \quad (\text{e 8.231})$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_n = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ and we may also assume that $\mathcal{F}_n$ are in the unit ball of C and $\cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in the unit ball of C and we write that $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n, \psi_n)$ (associated $h = \varphi_1$) satisfying the conclusion of 7.2.

Let $1/2 > \epsilon'_n > 0$ so that $\text{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ is well defined for any unital monomorphism $h' : C \rightarrow A$ and any unitary $u' \in A$ for which

$$\|[h'(a), u']\| < \epsilon'_n \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (\text{e 8.232})$$

Moreover, we may assume that $\text{Bott}(h' \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, u')$ is well defined whenever (e 8.232) holds.

Put $\epsilon_n'' = \min\{\epsilon_n'/2, 1/2^{n+1}, \delta_n/2\}$.

Let $\delta_n' > 0$ be as δ in 7.3 and integer $k(n)$ (in place of n) corresponding to $\epsilon_n''/2$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{F}_n$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$) and $\mathcal{P}_n = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ (in place of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$). Note here we assume that $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\} \subset (\psi_{k(n),\infty})_*1(K_1(C_{k(n)}))$. So there are $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in K_1(C_{k(n)})$ such that $(\psi_{k(n),\infty})_*1(y_i) = z_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Suppose that $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m(n)}\}$ ($m(n) \geq n$) forms a set of generators of $K_1(C_{k(n)})$. Put $\epsilon_n = \min\{\epsilon_n''/2, \delta_n'/2\}$.

We may assume that

$$\text{ad } v_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\epsilon_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{k(n)} \quad (\text{e 8.233})$$

Moreover we may assume that

$$\|[\varphi_1(a), v_n v_{n+1}^*]\| < \epsilon_n \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_{k(n)} \quad (\text{e 8.234})$$

Thus $\text{bott}_1(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{k(n),\infty}, v_n v_{n+1}^*)$ is well defined.

Let $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n, \dots, w_{m(n)} \in M_l(C_{k(n)})$ for some $l \geq 1$ be unitaries such that $[w_i] = (\psi_{k(n),\infty})_*1(y_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, m(n)$. Put

$$\bar{v}_n = \text{diag}(\overbrace{v_n, v_n, \dots, v_n}^l).$$

We will continue to use φ_i for $\varphi_i \otimes \text{id}_{M_l}, i = 1, 2$.

By choosing larger finite subset $\mathcal{F}_{k(n)}$ in (e 8.233) and (e 8.234), we may also assume that, for $1 \leq j \leq m(n)$,

$$\|\varphi_2(w_j) \text{ad } \bar{v}_n(\varphi_1((w_j)^*)) - 1\| < (1/4) \sin(2\pi\epsilon_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{e 8.235})$$

Put

$$h_{j,n} = \log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \varphi_2(w_j) \text{ad } \bar{v}_n(\varphi_1((w_j)^*))\right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots, m(n), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then for any $\tau \in T(A)$,

$$\tau(h_{j,n}) < \epsilon_n < \delta_n', \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m(n). \quad (\text{e 8.236})$$

By the assumption that $R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$ and by applying 3.5, we conclude that

$$\widehat{h_{j,n}}(\tau) = \tau(h_{j,n}) \in \rho_A(K_0(A)). \quad (\text{e 8.237})$$

By applying 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 of [34], and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we obtain a homomorphism $\alpha_n' : K_1(C_n) \rightarrow \rho_A(K_0(A))$ such that

$$\alpha_n'(y_j)(\tau) = \widehat{h_{j,n}}(\tau) = \tau(h_{j,n}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m(n). \quad (\text{e 8.238})$$

Since $\alpha_n'(K_1(C_n))$ is free, it follows from (e 8.237) that there is a homomorphism $\alpha_n : K_1(C_n) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ such that

$$\rho_A \circ \alpha_n(y_j)(\tau) = \tau(h_{j,n}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m(n). \quad (\text{e 8.239})$$

It follows from 7.3 that there exists a unitary $U_n \in U_0(A)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), U_n]\| < \epsilon_n''/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad (\text{e 8.240})$$

$$\text{and } \rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, U_n))(z_j) = -\rho_A \circ \alpha_n(z_j), \quad (\text{e 8.241})$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

By the Exel trace formula 3.6 and (e 8.238), we have

$$\tau(h_{j,n}) = -\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, U_n))(z_j)(\tau) \quad (\text{e 8.242})$$

$$= -\tau\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\bar{U}_n \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{U}_n^* \varphi_2(w_j^*))\right) \quad (\text{e 8.243})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where

$$\bar{U}_n = \text{diag}(\overbrace{U_n, U_n, \dots, U_n}^l) \quad (\text{e 8.244})$$

Define $u_n = v_n U_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Put

$$\bar{u}_n = \text{diag}(\overbrace{u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n}^l).$$

By 6.1 of [34]), and by (e 8.241) and (e 8.243), we compute that

$$\tau\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\varphi_2(w_j) \text{ad } \bar{u}_n(\varphi_1(w_j^*)))\right)\right) \quad (\text{e 8.245})$$

$$= \tau\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\bar{U}_n \varphi_2(w_j^*) \bar{U}_n^* \bar{v}_n^*(\varphi_1(w_j)) \bar{v}_n)\right)\right) \quad (\text{e 8.246})$$

$$= \tau\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\bar{U}_n \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{U}_n^* \varphi_2(w_j^*) \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{v}_n^*(\varphi_1(w_j^*)) \bar{v}_n)\right)\right) \quad (\text{e 8.247})$$

$$= \tau\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\bar{U}_n \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{U}_n^* \varphi_2(w_j^*))\right)\right) \quad (\text{e 8.248})$$

$$+ \tau\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\varphi_2(w_j) \bar{v}_n^*(\varphi_1(w_j^*)) \bar{v}_n)\right) \quad (\text{e 8.249})$$

$$= \rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, U_n))(z_j)(\tau) + \tau(h_{j,n}) = 0 \quad (\text{e 8.250})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Let

$$b_{j,n} = \log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \bar{u}_n \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{u}_n^* \varphi_1(w_j^*)\right) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 8.251})$$

$$b'_{j,n} = \log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{u}_n^* \bar{u}_{n+1} \varphi_2(w_j^*) \bar{u}_{n+1}^* \bar{u}_n\right), \quad (\text{e 8.252})$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We have, by (e 8.250),

$$\tau(b_{j,n}) = \tau\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \bar{u}_n \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{u}_n^* \varphi_1(w_j^*)\right)\right) \quad (\text{e 8.253})$$

$$= \tau\left(\log \frac{1}{2\pi i} \bar{u}_n^* \bar{u}_n \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{u}_n^* \varphi_1(w_j^*) \bar{u}_n\right) \quad (\text{e 8.254})$$

$$= \tau\left(\log \frac{1}{2\pi i} \varphi_2(w_j) \bar{u}_n^* \varphi_1(w_j^*) \bar{u}_n\right) = 0 \quad (\text{e 8.255})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Note also $\tau(b_{j,n+1}) = 0$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Note that

$$\bar{u}_n^* e^{2\pi i b'_{j,n}} \bar{u}_n = e^{2\pi i b_{j,n}} e^{-2\pi i b_{j,n+1}}. \quad (\text{e 8.256})$$

Thus, by 6.1 of [34] and by (e 8.256), we compute that

$$\tau(b'_{j,n}) = \tau(b_{j,n}) - \tau(b_{j,n+1}) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 8.257})$$

It follows the Exel trace formula (3.6) and (e 8.257) that

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, u_n^* u_{n+1}))(z_j^*)(\tau) \quad (\text{e 8.258})$$

$$= \tau(\log(\bar{u}_n^* \bar{u}_{n+1} \varphi_2(w_j^*) \bar{u}_{n+1}^* \bar{u}_n \varphi_2(w_j))) \quad (\text{e 8.259})$$

$$= \tau(\log(\varphi_2(w_j) \bar{u}_n^* \bar{u}_{n+1} \varphi_2(w_j^*) \bar{u}_{n+1}^* \bar{u}_n)) = 0 \quad (\text{e 8.260})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows that (e 8.230) holds. By (e 8.233) and (e 8.240), one concludes that (e 8.229) also holds. $\square$

Theorem 8.2. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra with finitely generated $K_i(C)$ ($i = 0, 1$) and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ are two unital monomorphisms. Suppose that*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KL(C, A) \quad (\text{e 8.261})$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 8.262})$$

$$R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})) = \rho_A(K_0(A)). \quad (\text{e 8.263})$$

Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{U(t) : t \in [1, \infty)\}$ of A such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } U(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C. \quad (\text{e 8.264})$$

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of C which is dense in C and $\{\epsilon_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n < \infty$.

Let $\delta_n > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_n \subset C$ be a finite subset and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be a finite subset required by 2.12 associated with A , φ_2 , $\epsilon_n/2$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$.

We may assume that $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\delta_n > \delta_{n+1}$ and $\delta_n < \epsilon_n/2$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $K_1(C)$ is finitely generated, let $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$ be a set of generators of $K_1(C)$.

Since $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated, there is $k \geq 1$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(F_k \underline{K}(C), F_k \underline{K}(A)) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(A)).$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a finite subset of generators of $F_k \underline{K}(C)$. We may assume that $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $\delta > 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_0$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$) be as in 2.11 associated with C and $\delta_n < \delta/3$.

By 8.1, there is a sequence of unitaries $u_n \in U(A)$ such that

$$\text{ad } u_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta_n/4} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{G}_n \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 8.265})$$

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, u_n^* u_{n+1})(z_j)) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (\text{e 8.266})$$

Since $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 1$), we may also assume, without loss of generality, that $\text{Bott}(\varphi_2, u_n^* u_{n+1})$ is well defined. Moreover, we may also assume, by 6.10, that there is $\kappa_n \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ such that

$$(\kappa_n)|_{\underline{K}(C)} = [\varphi_2] \text{ and } (\kappa_n)|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C))} = \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, u_n^* u_{n+1}). \quad (\text{e 8.267})$$

By (e 8.266),

$$\rho_A(\kappa_n(K_1(C))) = 0. \quad (\text{e 8.268})$$

We now construct V_n as follows. Define $V_1 = u_1$. It follows from 7.6 that there exists a unitary $w_1 \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), w_2]\| < \delta_2/4 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_2 \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, w_2) = \kappa_2 \circ \beta. \quad (\text{e 8.269})$$

Define $V_2 = u_2 w_2^*$. Then

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), V_1^* V_2]\| < \delta_1/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (\text{e 8.270})$$

Moreover,

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_2, V_1^* V_2) = \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, u_1^* u_2) + \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, w_2^*) \quad (\text{e 8.271})$$

$$= \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, u_1^* u_2) - \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, w_2) = 0. \quad (\text{e 8.272})$$

Now by applying 2.12, there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{Z_1(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ such that

$$Z_1(0) = 1, \quad Z_1(1) = V_1^* V_2 \text{ and } \|[\varphi_2(a), Z_1(t)]\| < \epsilon_1/2 \quad (\text{e 8.273})$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Suppose W_n and V_n have been constructed which satisfying the following:

$$V_n = u_n W_n^*, \quad \|[\varphi_2(a), W_n]\| < \delta_n/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_n \quad (\text{e 8.274})$$

$$\text{and } \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, W_n) = \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, V_{n-1}^* u_n). \quad (\text{e 8.275})$$

Moreover,

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, W_n)) = 0 \text{ and } \|[\varphi_2(a), V_{n-1}^* V_n]\| < \delta_{n-1}/2 \quad (\text{e 8.276})$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$.

It follows from 7.6 that there exists $W_{n+1} \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), W_{n+1}]\| < \delta_{n+1}/4 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 8.277})$$

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_2, W_{n+1}) = \kappa_{n+1} \circ \beta + \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, W_n). \quad (\text{e 8.278})$$

Note also that

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, W_{n+1})) = 0.$$

Define $V_{n+1} = u_{n+1} W_{n+1}^*$. Then $(\delta/3 < \delta_n)$

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_2, V_n^* V_{n+1}) = \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, V_n^* u_{n+1}) + \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, W_{n+1}^*) \quad (\text{e 8.279})$$

$$= -\text{Bott}(\varphi_2, W_n) + \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, u_n^* u_{n+1}) - \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, W_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (\text{e 8.280})$$

Moreover,

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), V_n^* V_{n+1}]\| < \delta_n/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_n. \quad (\text{e 8.281})$$

By induction, we obtain a sequence of $\{W_n\}$ and $\{V_n\}$ with $V_n = u_n W_n^*$ which satisfy (e 8.273) and (e 8.274).

By applying ? of [33], there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{Z_n(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ such that

$$Z_n(0) = 1, \quad Z_n(1) = V_n^* V_{n+1} \quad (\text{e 8.282})$$

$$\text{and } \|[\varphi_2(a), Z_n(t)]\| < \epsilon_n/2 \quad (\text{e 8.283})$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Define $U(1) = V_1$ and

$$U(t+n) = V_n Z_n(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{e 8.284})$$

We compute by (e 8.265), (e 8.269) and (e 8.281) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } U(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C. \quad (\text{e 8.285})$$

□

9 Stable homotopy

The results of this section will be applied to the case that $A = B \otimes C_k$ for a unital separable simple C^* -algebra B and a commutative C^* -algebra C_k with torsion $K_0(C_k)$ and trivial $K_1(C_k)$.

The following is a special case of Theorem 5.9 of [23] and it also follows from a result of Dadarlat and Loring ([9]).

Theorem 9.1. *Let X be a finite CW complex, let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let $P \in M_k(C(X))$ be a projection. Let $C = PM_k(C(X))P$. Suppose that A is a unital C^* -algebra and suppose that $x \in KK(C, A)$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$, there is an integer $N_1 > 0$ and an ϵ - $\mathcal{F}$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L : C \rightarrow M_{N_1+1}(A)$ and a homomorphism $h_0 : C \rightarrow M_{N_1}(\mathbb{C})$ with finite dimensional range such that*

$$[L]|_{\mathcal{P}} = (x + [h_0])|_{\mathcal{P}} \quad (\text{e 9.286})$$

By now several version (and more general version) of the next theorem are known. In the following, the integer N is allowed to depend on A as well as L_1 and L_2 among other things.

Theorem 9.2. *Let C be as in 9.1. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset C$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ satisfying the following: Suppose that A is a unital C^* -algebra and $L_1, L_2 : C \rightarrow A$ are two unital δ - $\mathcal{G}$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps such that*

$$[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [L_2]|_{\mathcal{P}}. \quad (\text{e 9.287})$$

Then, there exists an integer $N \geq 1$, and a unitary $U \in U_0(M_{N+1}(A))$ such that

$$\text{ad } U \circ (\text{diag}(L_1, \Psi) \approx_{\epsilon} \text{diag}(L_2, \Psi) \text{ on } \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e 9.288})$$

where $\Psi : C \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that the general case can be reduced to the case that $C = C(X)$. Suppose that the theorem were false. We then obtain $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset C$, a sequence of finite subsets $\mathcal{P}_n \in \underline{K}(C)$ with $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ and $\cup_n \mathcal{P}_n = \underline{K}(C)$, and a sequence of unital C^* -algebras $\{A_n\}$, a sequence of unital contractive completely positive linear maps $\{L_2^{(n)}\}$ and $\{L_1^{(n)}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_j^{(n)}(a)L_j^{(j)}(b) - L_j^{(n)}(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in C \quad (\text{e 9.289})$$

$$[L_1^{(n)}]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = [L_1^{(n)}]|_{\mathcal{P}_n}, \quad (\text{e 9.290})$$

$$\inf\{\sup\{\|\text{ad } U \circ (\text{diag}(L_1^{(n)}(a), \Psi_n(a)) - \text{diag}(L_2^{(n)}(a), \Psi_n(a))\| : a \in \mathcal{F}_0\}\} \geq \epsilon_0, \quad (\text{e 9.291})$$

where infimum is taken among all integer $k \geq 1$, all possible unital homomorphisms $\Psi_n : C \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and all possible unitary $U \in M_{k+1}(A_n)$. We may assume that $1_C \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

Define $B_n = A_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $B = \prod_{n=1} B_n$ and $Q = B / \oplus_{n=1} B_n$. Let $\pi : B \rightarrow Q$ be the quotient map. Define $\varphi_j : C \rightarrow B$ by $\varphi_j(a) = \{L_j^{(n)}(a)\}$ and define $\varphi'_j = \pi \circ \varphi_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Note that $\varphi'_j : C \rightarrow Q$ are homomorphisms. By 2.9 of [15] (see also p.990 of [16]), since B_n is stable,

$$K_i(B) = \prod_{n=1} K_i(B_n), \quad K_i(B, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = \prod_{n=1} K_i(B_n, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}), \quad (\text{e 9.292})$$

$$K_i(Q) = \prod_{n=1} K_i(B_n) / \bigoplus K_i(B_n) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 9.293})$$

$$K_i(Q, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = \prod_{n=1} K_i(B_n, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) / \bigoplus K_i(B_n, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}). \quad (\text{e 9.294})$$

Then (e 9.290) (and above) implies that

$$[\bar{\varphi}'_1] = [\bar{\varphi}'_2] \text{ in } KK(C, Q). \quad (\text{e 9.295})$$

Denote by p_n the unit of $\tilde{B}_n$ and $q_n = p_n - 1_{A_n}$. Fix a point $\xi \in X$ define $\varphi_0^{(n)} : C \rightarrow \tilde{B}_n$ by $\varphi_0^{(n)}(f) = f(\xi)q_n$ for $f \in C(X)$. Put $\bar{\varphi}_j = \bar{\varphi}'_j \oplus \pi \circ (\{\varphi_0^{(n)}\})$. Then

$$[\bar{\varphi}_1] = [\bar{\varphi}_2] \text{ in } KK(C, \tilde{Q}). \quad (\text{e 9.296})$$

By [7], for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $K > 0$, a unitary $u \in M_{1+K}(Q)$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi : C \rightarrow M_K(\mathbb{C}) \subset M_K(\tilde{Q})$ such that

$$\text{ad } u \circ \text{diag}(\bar{\varphi}_1, \psi) \approx_{\epsilon/4} \text{diag}(\bar{\varphi}_2, \psi) \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_0. \quad (\text{e 9.297})$$

There is a unitary $V = \{V_n\} \in \tilde{B}$ such that $\pi(U) = u$. It follows that (identifying $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ with a C^* -subalgebra of $M_K(\tilde{B}_n)$) for all sufficiently large n ,

$$\text{ad } V_n \circ \text{diag}(L_1^{(n)} \oplus \varphi_0^{(n)}, \psi) \approx_{\epsilon/2} \text{diag}(L_2^{(n)} \oplus \varphi_0^{(n)}, \psi) \quad (\text{e 9.298})$$

on $\mathcal{F}_0$. Put $E_n = 1_{\tilde{B}_n} \oplus 1_{M_{1+K}(\tilde{B}_n)}$. Then, since $1_C \in \mathcal{F}_0$,

$$\|[V_n, E_n]\| < \epsilon/2 \quad (\text{e 9.299})$$

for all sufficiently large n . Therefore, without loss of generality, to simplify notation, we may assume that V_n is a unitary in $E_n M_{1+K}(\tilde{B}_n) E_n$ and

$$\text{ad } V_n \circ \text{diag}(L_1^{(n)} \oplus \varphi_0^{(n)}, \psi) \approx_{\epsilon_0/4} \text{diag}(L_2^{(n)} \oplus \varphi_0^{(n)}, \psi) \quad (\text{e 9.300})$$

on $\mathcal{F}_0$. Denote by $e'_{n,k} = \text{diag}(\overbrace{1_{A_n}, 1_{A_n}, \dots, 1_{A_n}}^k) \in A_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and

$$e''_{n,k} = \text{diag}(\overbrace{e'_{n,k}, e'_{n,k}, \dots, e'_{n,k}}^K) \in M_K(B_n). \quad (\text{e 9.301})$$

Note that $e''_{n,k}$ commutes with ψ and $e'_{n,k}$ commutes with $\varphi_0^{(n)}$. Put $e_{n,k} = e'_{n,k} \oplus e''_{n,k}$ in $E_n M_{1+K}(B_n) E_n$. Note that $V_n \in E_n M_{1+K}(\tilde{B}_n) E_n$. Since $\{e_{n,k}\}$ forms an approximately central approximate identity for $M_{1+K}(B_n)$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|[V_n, e_{n,k}]\| = 0. \quad (\text{e 9.302})$$

Thus there is a unitary $U_{n,k} \in e_k M_{1+K}(\tilde{B}_n) e_k$ for each k and n such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|e_{n,k} V_n e_{n,k} - U_{n,k}\| = 0. \quad (\text{e 9.303})$$

Note that there is an integer N such that

$$M_N(A_n) = ((e'_{n,k} - 1_{A_n}) \oplus e''_{n,k}) M_{1+K}(B_n) ((e'_{n,k} - 1_{A_n}) \oplus e''_{n,k}).$$

We define $\Psi_n(f) = (e'_{n,k} - 1_{A_n}) \varphi_0^{(n)}(f) (e'_{n,k} - 1_{A_n}) \oplus e_{n,k} \psi(f) e_{n,k}$ for $f \in C$. Thus, for large k , there is a unitary $U_n \in M_{1+N}(A_n)$ such that

$$\text{ad } U_n \circ \text{diag}(L_1^{(n)}, \Psi_n) \approx_{\epsilon_0/2} \text{diag}(L_2^{(n)}, \Psi_n) \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_0. \quad (\text{e 9.304})$$

This contradicts (e 9.291).

To see that one can choose $U \in U_0(M_{N+1}(A))$, Write

$$\Psi(f) = \sum_{j=1}^m f(\xi_j) p_j \text{ for all } f \in C, \quad (\text{e 9.305})$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m$ are fixes points and $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$ are mutually orthogonal projections. By replacing Ψ by Ψ' , where $\Psi'(f) = \Psi(f) \oplus f(\xi_1) \cdot 1_{M_L}$ for all $f \in C$ for some suitable integer $L \geq N + 1$, and by replacing U by $\text{diag}(U, 1)$, we may assume that $p_1 = q_1 \oplus 1_{M_{N+1}}$. Define $U' = U \text{diag}(1_{M_{N+1}}, U^*, 1_{M_{L-N-1}})$. Then $U' \in U_0(M_{1+N'}(A))$, where $N' = N + L$. $\square$

Remark 9.3. Note that $\Psi(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n f(\xi_j) p_j$ for all $f \in C$, where $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal projections and $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\}$ is a finite subset of X . In case that $C = M_k(C(X))$, by choosing larger $N = Kk$, adding another homomorphism with finite dimensional range (and replacing U by $U \oplus 1$), we can choose

$$\Psi(f) = \text{diag}(f(\xi_1), f(\xi_2), \dots, f(\xi_K))$$

for all $f \in C$, where $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_K\}$ is some ϵ -dense subset of X for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 9.4. *Let C be as in Theorem 9.1. For any $\epsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$, a unital homomorphism $h : C \rightarrow A$ and $\kappa \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(SA))$. Then there is an integer $N \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $h_0 : C \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ with finite dimensional range and a unitary $u \in U(M_{1+N}(A))$ such that*

$$\|[H(a), u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(H, u) = \kappa, \quad (\text{e 9.306})$$

where $H(c) = \text{diag}(h(c), h_0(c))$ for all $c \in C$.

Proof. Let $S = \{z, 1_{C(S^1)}\} \subset C(S^1)$, where z is the identity function on the unit disk. Define $x \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1)), A)$ as follows

$$x|_{\underline{K}(C)} = [h] \text{ and } x|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C))} = \kappa.$$

Fix a finite subset $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \beta(\underline{K}(C))$. Choose $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset C$ satisfying the following:

$$[L']|_{\mathcal{P}_1} = [L'']|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \quad (\text{e 9.307})$$

for any pair of ϵ_1 - $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes S$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $L', L'' : C \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow B$ (for some unital C^* -algebra B), provided that

$$L' \approx_{\epsilon_1} L'' \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes S. \quad (\text{e 9.308})$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ be given finite subset. Put $\epsilon_2 = \min\{\epsilon/2, \epsilon_1\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_1$.

Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset C$ be a finite subset and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be required by 9.2 for $\epsilon_2/2$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are in the unit ball of C and $\delta < \epsilon/2$.

Fix another finite subset $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset (\underline{K}(C))$ and define $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P} \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1)$ (as a subset of $\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1))$).

It follows from 9.1 that there are integers $N_1 \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $h_1 : C \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow M_{N_1-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and a $\delta/2$ - $\mathcal{G} \otimes S$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L : C \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow M_{N_1}(A)$ such that

$$[L]|_{\mathcal{P}_2} = (x + [h_1])|_{\mathcal{P}_2}. \quad (\text{e 9.309})$$

Define $H_1 : C \rightarrow M_{N_1+1}(A)$ by

$$H_1(f) = h(f) \oplus h_1(f \otimes 1) \text{ for all } f \in C. \quad (\text{e 9.310})$$

Define $L_1 : C \rightarrow M_{N_1}(A)$ by $L_1(f) = L(f \otimes 1)$ for all $f \in C$. Note that

$$[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [H_1]|_{\mathcal{P}} \quad (\text{e 9.311})$$

It follows from 9.2 that there exists an integer $N_2 \geq 1$, a unitary $W \in M_{(N_2+1)N_1}(A)$ and a unital homomorphism $h_2 : C \rightarrow M_{N_2N_1}(\mathbb{C})$ with finite dimensional range such that

$$W^*(L_1(f) \oplus h_2(f))W \approx_{\epsilon/4} H_1(f) \oplus h_2(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_2. \quad (\text{e 9.312})$$

Put $N = (N_2 + 1)N_1 - 1$. Now define $h_0 : C \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $H : C \rightarrow M_{1+N}(A)$ by

$$h_0(f) = h_1(f \otimes 1) \oplus h_2(f) \text{ and } H(f) = h(f) \oplus h_0(f) \text{ for all } f \in C \quad (\text{e 9.313})$$

Define

$$u = W^*(L(1 \otimes z) \oplus 1_{M_{N_2N_1}})W. \quad (\text{e 9.314})$$

Then, by (e 9.312),

$$\|[H(f), u]\| \leq \|(H(f) - \text{ad } W \circ (L_1(f) \oplus h_2(f)))u\| + \quad (\text{e 9.315})$$

$$+ \|[\text{ad } W \circ (L_1(f) \oplus h_2(f)), u]\| + \|u(W \circ (L_1(f) \oplus h_2(f)) - H(f))\| \quad (\text{e 9.316})$$

$$< \epsilon/3 + \delta/2 + \epsilon/3 < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_2. \quad (\text{e 9.317})$$

Define $L_2 : C \rightarrow M_{N+1}(A)$ by $L_2(f) = L_1(f) \oplus h_2(f)$ for $f \in C$. By (e 9.309), we compute that

$$\text{Bott}(H, u)|_{\mathcal{P}_1} = \text{Bott}(\text{ad } W \circ L_2, u)|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \quad (\text{e 9.318})$$

$$= \text{Bott}(L_2, L(1 \otimes z) \oplus 1_{M_{N_2N_1}})|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \quad (\text{e 9.319})$$

$$= \text{Bott}(L_1, L(1 \otimes z))|_{\mathcal{P}_1} + \text{Bott}(h_2, 1_{M_{N_2N_1}}) \quad (\text{e 9.320})$$

$$= \text{Bott}(L_1, L(1 \otimes z))|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \quad (\text{e 9.321})$$

$$= (x + [h_1])|_{\beta(\mathcal{P}_1)} = \kappa. \quad (\text{e 9.322})$$

Since $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 1$), by 2.11 and by choosing a sufficiently large $\mathcal{P}_1$, we may write from (e 9.322) that

$$\text{Bott}(H, u) = \kappa. \quad (\text{e 9.323})$$

□

The following is a stable version of so-called Basic Homotopy Lemma (see [2] and [33]).

Theorem 9.5. *Let X be a finite CW complex, let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let $P \in M_k(C(X))$ be a projection. Let C be a quotient of $PM_k(C(X))P$ with the form $QM_k(C(F))Q$, where F is a compact subset of X . For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$, there is $\delta > 0$ a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset C$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ satisfying the following:*

Suppose that A is a unital C^ -algebra, suppose $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a unital homomorphism and suppose that $u \in U(A)$ is a unitary such that*

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \delta \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(h, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\}. \quad (\text{e 9.324})$$

Then there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ and a continuous path of unitaries $\{U(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ in $M_{N+1}(A)$ such that

$$U(0) = u', \quad U(1) = 1_{M_{N+1}(A)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|[h'(a), u']\| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e 9.325})$$

where

$$u' = \text{diag}(u, H_0(1 \otimes z))$$

and $h'(f) = h(f) \oplus H_0(f \otimes 1)$ for $f \in C$, where $H_0 : C \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ is a unital homomorphism (with finite dimensional range) and $z \in C(S^1)$ is the identity function on the unit circle.

Moreover,

$$\text{Length}(\{U(t)\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e 9.326})$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ be given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is in the unit ball of C .

Let $\delta_1 > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset C \otimes C(S^1)$, $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1))$ be required by 9.2 for $\epsilon/4$ and $\mathcal{F} \otimes S$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}'_1 \otimes S$, where $\mathcal{G}'_1$ is in the unit ball of C and $S = \{1_{C(S^1)}, z\} \subset C(S^1)$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2 \cup \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}_2 \subset \underline{K}(C)$ and $\mathcal{P} \subset \beta(\underline{K}(C))$. Furthermore, we may assume that any δ_1 - $\mathcal{G}_1$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map L' from C to a unital C^* -algebra well defines $[L']|_{\mathcal{P}_1}$.

Let $\delta_2 > 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset C$ be a finite subset required by 2.8 of [33] for $\delta_1/2$ and $\mathcal{G}'_1$ above.

Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_2/2, \delta_1/2, \epsilon/2\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}_2$.

Suppose that h and u satisfy the assumption with above δ , $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{P}$. Thus, by 2.8 of [33], there is $\delta_1/2$ - $\mathcal{G}_1$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L : C \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|L(f \otimes 1) - h(f)\| < \delta_1/2 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{G}'_1 \quad (\text{e 9.327})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta_1/2. \quad (\text{e 9.328})$$

Define $y \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(A))$ as follows:

$$y|_{\underline{K}(C)} = [h]|_{\underline{K}(C)} \quad \text{and} \quad y|_{\beta(\underline{K}(C))} = 0.$$

Then

$$[L]|_{\mathcal{P}} = y|_{\mathcal{P}}. \quad (\text{e 9.329})$$

Define $H : C \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow A$ by

$$H(f \otimes g) = h(f) \cdot g(1) \cdot 1_A$$

for all $f \in C$ and $g \in C(S^1)$, where S^1 is identified with the unit circle (and $1 \in S^1$).

It follows that

$$[H]|_{\mathcal{P}} = y|_{\mathcal{P}} = [L]|_{\mathcal{P}}. \quad (\text{e 9.330})$$

It follows from 9.2 that there is an integer $N \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $H_0 : C \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ with finite dimensional range and a unitary $W \in U(M_{1+N}(A))$ such that

$$W^*(H(f) \oplus H_0(f)W) \approx_{\epsilon/4} L(f) \oplus H_0(f) \quad (\text{e 9.331})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F} \otimes S$.

Since H_0 has finite dimensional range, it is easy to construct a continuous path $\{V' : t \in [0, 1]\}$ in a finite dimensional C^* -subalgebra of $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$V'(0) = H_0(1 \otimes z), \quad V'(1) = 1_{M_N(A)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{e 9.332})$$

$$H_0(f \otimes 1)V'(t) = V'(t)H_0(f \otimes 1) \quad (\text{e 9.333})$$

for all $f \in C$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover,

$$\text{Length}(\{V'(t)\}) \leq \pi. \quad (\text{e 9.334})$$

Now define $U(1/4 + 3t/4) = W^* \text{diag}(1, V'(t))W$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$u' = u \oplus H_0(1_A \otimes z) \quad \text{and} \quad h'(f) = h(f) \oplus H_0(f \otimes 1)$$

for $f \in C$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\|u' - U(1/4)\| < \epsilon/4 \quad \text{and} \quad \|[U(t), h'(a)]\| < \epsilon/4 \quad (\text{e 9.335})$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$ and $t \in [1/4, 1]$. The theorem follows by connecting $U(1/4)$ with u' with a short path as follows: There is a self-adjoint element $a \in M_{1+N}(A)$ with $\|a\| \leq \frac{\epsilon\pi}{8}$ such that

$$\exp(ia) = u'U(1/4)^* \quad (\text{e 9.336})$$

Define $U(t) = \exp(i(1 - 4t)a)U(1/4)$ for $t \in [0, 1/4]$.

□

Lemma 9.6. *There is $1/2 > \delta > 0$ satisfying the following: Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, $m \geq 1$ be an integer and let $z \in U(M_m(A))$ be a unitary. Suppose that $V(t) \in C([0, 1 - d], M_m(A))$ is a unitary such that*

$$\|[V(1 - d), z]\| < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad V(0) = 1 \quad (\text{e 9.337})$$

for some $1/4 > d > 0$. Suppose that there is a continuous path of unitaries $W(t, s) \in C([0, 1], M_m(\widetilde{SA}))$ ($s \in [0, 1]$) such that

$$W(1, 0) = 1, \quad \|W(t, 0) - 1\| < \delta \quad \text{for all } t \in [1 - d, 1], \quad (\text{e 9.338})$$

$$W(t, 0) = z^*V(t)^*zV(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1 - d] \quad \text{and} \quad W(t, 1) = 1 \quad (\text{e 9.339})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$\text{bott}_1(z, V(1 - d)) = 0. \quad (\text{e 9.340})$$

Proof. We may view $W(t, 0)$ as an element in $M_m(\widetilde{SA})$. So W is a continuous path of unitaries in $M_m(\widetilde{SA})$ such that W at 0 is $W(t, 0)$ and W at 1 is 1. In other words, $W(t, 0) \in U_0(M_m(\widetilde{SA}))$.

It is standard (see Lemma 9.3 of [33], for a stronger statement) that $W(t, s)$ can be replaced by one with additional property: there is a positive number $M > 0$ such that

$$\|W(t, s) - W(t, s')\| \leq M|s - s'| \quad (\text{e 9.341})$$

for all $s, s' \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. It follows that $W(t, s) \in C([0, 1] \times [0, 1], M_m(A))$.

Since $W(t, s) \in M_m(\widetilde{SA})$, for each s ,

$$W(0, s) = e^{2\pi i\lambda(s)} = W(1, s)$$

for some continuous function $\lambda(s) \in C([0, 1], M_m)_{s.a}$ with $\lambda(0) = 1 = \lambda(1)$.

Replacing $W(t, s)$ by $e^{-2\pi i \lambda(s)} W(t, s)$, we may assume that

$$W(0, s) = W(1, s) = 1. \quad (\text{e 9.342})$$

Thus we may assume that $W(t, s) \in C([0, 1], \widetilde{SM_m(A)})$. Therefore, to simplify notation, by denoting $M_m(A)$ by A , we may assume $m = 1$ for the rest of the proof.

Put $Z(t, s) = zW(t, s)$. Then $Z(t, s) \in C([0, 1] \times [0, 1], A)$,

$$Z(1, 0) = z, \quad \|Z(t, 0) - z\| < \delta \text{ for all } t \in [1 - d, 1], \quad (\text{e 9.343})$$

$$Z(t, 0) = V(t)^* z V(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1 - d], \quad Z(t, 1) = z \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 9.344})$$

$$Z(0, s) = Z(1, s) = z. \quad (\text{e 9.345})$$

Note that, for each $s \in [0, 1]$, z and $Z(t, s)$ are in

$$\{f(t) \in C([0, 1], A) : f(0) = f(1)\} \cong C(S^1, A).$$

Moreover,

$$\|Z(t, s) - Z(t, s')\| \leq M|s - s'| \quad (\text{e 9.346})$$

for all $t, s \in [0, 1]$.

Let $1 > \epsilon > 0$. We assume that $\text{bott}_1(u, v)$ is well-defined whenever $\|[u, v]\| < \epsilon$. Moreover, we assume that if $\|v - v'\| < \epsilon$ then $\text{bott}(u, v') = \text{bott}(u, v)$ (for any such unitaries u, v, v').

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ required by 9.5 for $\epsilon/2$ above and for $X = S^1$.

Put $\delta = \min\{\delta_1/4, \epsilon/4\}$.

There is $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\|Z(t, s) - Z(t', s')\| < \delta/4, \quad (\text{e 9.347})$$

if $|t - t'| < \eta$ and $|s - s'| < \eta$.

Let $P_1 :$

$$0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = 1$$

be a partition such that

$$\|Z(t, s) - Z(t, s_j)\| < \delta/4 \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{e 9.348})$$

if $s \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In particular, we assume that $\max\{|s_j - s_{j-1}| : j = 1, 2, \dots, n\} < \eta$.

Note that, for each $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$[z] = [Z(t, s)] \text{ in } K_1(S^1, A). \quad (\text{e 9.349})$$

It follows from 9.2 that there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that for each $s \in (0, 1)$, there exists $X(t, s) \in U(M_{N+1}(C([0, 1], A)))$ such that

$$X(t, s)^* z' X(t, s) \approx_{\delta/4} Z'(t, s) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 9.350})$$

$$X(0, s) = X(1, s), \quad (\text{e 9.351})$$

where

$$z' = \text{diag}(z, e^{2\pi i/N}, e^{4\pi i/N} \dots e^{2N\pi i/N}) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 9.352})$$

$$Z'(t, s) = \text{diag}(Z(t, s), e^{2\pi i/N}, e^{4\pi i/N} \dots e^{2N\pi i/N}). \quad (\text{e 9.353})$$

Since $Z'(t, s)$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, there exists $1 > T_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|Z'(t, s) - z'\| < \delta/4 \text{ for all } 0 < t \leq T_0 \text{ and for all } s \in [0, 1]. \quad (\text{e 9.354})$$

Note also

$$\|Z'(t, s) - z'\| < \delta \text{ for all } 1 - d < t < 1 \text{ and for all } s \in [0, 1]. \quad (\text{e 9.355})$$

By choosing even smaller $T_0 > 0$ we may also assume that

$$\|V(t) - 1\| < \delta/4 \text{ for all } 0 < t \leq T_0. \quad (\text{e 9.356})$$

Define

$$Y'(t, s) = X(T_0, s)^* X(t, s). \quad (\text{e 9.357})$$

Put

$$V'(t) = \text{diag}(V(t), \overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^N) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1 - d]. \quad (\text{e 9.358})$$

Note that, by (e 9.350) and (e 9.348),

$$\|V'(t)^* z' V'(t) X(t, s_1)^* z'^* X(t, s_1) - 1\| < \delta/4 + \delta/4 = \delta/2 \quad (\text{e 9.359})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1 - d]$ and by (e 9.348)

$$\|X(t, s_j)^* z' X(t, s_j) X(t, s_{j+1})^* z'^* X(t, s_{j+1}) - 1\| < \delta/4 \quad (\text{e 9.360})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. It follows that

$$\|X(t, s_1) V'(t)^* z' V'(t) X(t, s_1)^* - z'\| < \delta/2 \quad (\text{e 9.361})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1 - d]$ and

$$\|X(t, s_{j+1}) X(t, s_j)^* z' X(t, s_j) X(t, s_{j+1})^* - z'\| < \delta/4 \quad (\text{e 9.362})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. It follows from (e 9.361), (e 9.350) and (e 9.354) that

$$\|Y'(t, s_1) V'(t)^* z' V'(t) Y'(t, s_1)^* - z'\| < \delta/2 + \delta/4 \quad (\text{e 9.363})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1 - d]$ and

$$\|Y'(t, s_{j+1}) Y'(t, s_j)^* z' Y'(t, s_j) Y'(t, s_{j+1})^* - z'\| < (\delta/2 + \delta/4) + \delta/2 = 5\delta/4 \quad (\text{e 9.364})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. It follows from (e 9.356) and (e 9.357) that

$$\text{bott}_1(z', Y'(T_0, s_1)(V(T_0)')^*) = \text{bott}_1(z', (V(T_0)')^*) = 0. \quad (\text{e 9.365})$$

Since $Y'(t, s_1), V'(t, s_1) \in C([0, 1 - d], M_{N+1}(A))$ we conclude (by (e 9.363)) and (e 9.365) that

$$\text{bott}_1(z', Y'(t, s_1) V'(t)^*) = \text{bott}_1(z', Y'(T_0, s_1)(V(T_0)')^*) = 0 \quad (\text{e 9.366})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1 - d]$.

We also have

$$\text{bott}_1(z', Y'(T_0, s_{j+1})Y'(T_0, s_j)^*) \quad (\text{e 9.367})$$

$$= \text{bott}_1(z', Y'(T_0, s_{j+1})) - \text{bott}_1(z', Y'(T_0, s_j)) = 0. \quad (\text{e 9.368})$$

Since $Y'(t, s_{j+1})Y'(t, s_j)^*$ is continuous on $[0, 1]$, by (e 9.364) and (e 9.368),

$$\text{bott}_1(z', Y'(t, s_{j+1})Y'(t, s_j)^*) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (\text{e 9.369})$$

Thus, by 9.5, there is an integer $K \geq 1$ and, for each j , there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{U_j(1-d, s) : s \in [0, 1]\}$ in $M_{(1+N)(K+1)}(A)$ such that

$$U_j(1-d, 1) = Y(1-d, s_{j+1})Y(1-d, s_j)^*, \quad U_j(1-d, 0) = 1 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 9.370})$$

$$\|[z'', U_j(1-d, s)]\| < \epsilon/2 \quad (\text{e 9.371})$$

for all $s \in [0, 1]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, also,

$$U_0(1-d, 1) = Y(1-d, s_1)V''(1-d)^*, \quad U_0(1-d, 0) = 1 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 9.372})$$

$$\|[z'', U_0(1-d, s)]\| < \epsilon/2 \quad (\text{e 9.373})$$

for all $s \in [0, 1]$, where

$$z'' = \text{diag}(z', \Phi(z \otimes 1)), \quad (\text{e 9.374})$$

$$V''(1-d, s_j) = \text{diag}(V'(1-d, s), \overbrace{1_{M_{1+N}}, 1_{M_{1+N}}, \dots, 1_{M_{1+N}}}^K), \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 9.375})$$

$$Y(1-d, s) = \text{diag}(Y'(1-d, s), \Phi(1 \otimes z)), \quad (\text{e 9.376})$$

where $\Phi : C(S^1 \times S^1) \rightarrow M_{(1+N)K}(\mathbb{C})$ is a unital homomorphism.

Define $U(t, s) : s \in [0, n]$ as follows

$$U(t, s) = U_0(t, s)V(t), \quad U(t, s+j) = U_j(t, s)Y(t, s_j) \text{ for all } s \in [0, 1], \quad (\text{e 9.377})$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Note that

$$\|[z'', U(1-d, s)]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } s \in [0, n]. \quad (\text{e 9.378})$$

We have

$$U(1-d, n) = Y(1-d, 1) \text{ and } U(1-d, 0) = V''(1-d) \quad (\text{e 9.379})$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover,

$$\|[z'', Y(t, 1)]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \|[z'', V''(1-d)]\| < \epsilon. \quad (\text{e 9.380})$$

Thus (by (e 9.378) and (e 9.379)),

$$\text{bott}_1(z'', V''(1-d)) = \text{bott}_1(z'', Y(1-d, 1)) \quad (\text{e 9.381})$$

$$= \text{bott}_1(z'', Y(T_0, 1)) = 0. \quad (\text{e 9.382})$$

It follows that

$$\text{bott}_1(z, V(1-d)) = 0. \quad (\text{e 9.383})$$

□

Lemma 9.7. *There is $1/4 > \delta > 0$ satisfying the following: Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let $u \in U(A)$ be a unitary. Suppose that $w(t) \in \widetilde{SA}$ is a unitary such that*

$$w(1) = w(0) = 1_A, w(t) = u^* v(t)^* u v(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1-d] \quad (\text{e 9.384})$$

for some $0 < d < 1/2$, where $v(t) \in C([0, 1-d], A)$ and

$$\|w(1) - w(t)\| < \delta \text{ for all } t \geq 1-d. \quad (\text{e 9.385})$$

Suppose also that

$$\text{bott}_1(u, v(1-d)) = 0. \quad (\text{e 9.386})$$

Then $[w] = 0$ in $K_1(SA)$.

Proof. Let $1/4 > \epsilon > 0$. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ and N be as in 9.5 required for ϵ . Let $\delta = \delta_1/2$. Suppose that u, w and v are as in the lemma for δ . From inequality (e 9.385) and (e 9.386), by applying 9.5, we obtain a continuous path of unitaries $\{V(t) : t \in [1-d, 1]\} \subset M_{1+N}(A)$ such that

$$V(1-d) = \begin{pmatrix} v(1-d) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_N & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_N^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \omega_N^N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{e 9.387})$$

where $\omega_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$,

$$V(1) = 1_{M_{1+N}(A)} \text{ and } \|[V(t), u']\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e 9.388})$$

for all $t \in [1-d, 1]$, where

$$u' = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_N & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_N^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \omega_N^N \end{pmatrix}.$$

define

$$V(t) = \begin{pmatrix} v(t) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_N & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_N^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \omega_N^N \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{e 9.389})$$

for $t \in [0, 1-d]$. Define $W(t) = (u')^* V(t)^* u' V(t)$ and $W'(t) = w(t) \oplus 1_{M_N(A)}$.

Put $T_s \in M_2(M_{1+N}(A))$ as follows:

$$T_s = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\pi s}{2}) & -\sin(\frac{\pi s}{2}) \\ \sin(\frac{\pi s}{2}) & \cos(\frac{\pi s}{2}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{e 9.390})$$

Since $V(0) = V(1) = 1$, for each $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$W_s(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} T_s \begin{pmatrix} V(t)^* & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} T_s^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* T_s \begin{pmatrix} V(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} T_s^{-1} \in M_{2(1+N)}(\widetilde{SA}). \quad (\text{e 9.391})$$

Moreover,

$$W_0(t) = W(t) \oplus 1_{M_N(A)} \text{ and } W_1(t) = 1_{M_{2N}(A)}. \quad (\text{e 9.392})$$

It follows that $[W(t)] = 0$ in $K_1(SA)$.

On the other hand, for $t \in [0, 1 - d]$,

$$W(t) = W'(t) \quad (\text{e 9.393})$$

and for $t \in [1 - d, 1]$,

$$\|W'(t) - W(t)\| \leq \|W'(t) - 1\| + \|1 - (u')^* V(t)^* u' V(t)\| < \delta + \epsilon < 1/2. \quad (\text{e 9.394})$$

Therefore

$$[W'(t)] = 0 \text{ in } K_1(SA).$$

It follows that $[w(t)] = 0$ in $K_1(SA)$. □

10 The Main Theorem

In 8.2, $K_i(C)$ is assumed to be finitely generated ($i = 0, 1$). Therefore $KK(C, A) = KL(C, A)$. In particular, one may write that $K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) = K_0(A) \oplus K_1(C)$. Moreover, one may write $K_1(C) = \text{Tor}(K_1(C)) \oplus G_1$ for some finitely generated abelian free group G_1 . Note that $\text{Tor}(K_1(C)) \subset \ker R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$. It is then easy to check that $R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$ implies that $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0$. Theorem 8.2 is a special case of 10.7 below and the proof of 10.7 does not depend on that of 8.2. However, 8.2 is handy as soon as 8.1 and 7.6 are available. It perhaps also helps to understand the additional problems involved in the proof of 10.7. Note that, the approximation argument in the proof of 8.2 considers a finite subset of C at a time. So as in 2.13, it suffices to consider $KL(C, A)$. It is therefore interesting to understand that this could not be done for the general situation. However, using $KK(C, A)$ (instead of $KL(C, A)$) enables us to have a consistent information on $KK(C_{n+1}, A)$. It should also be noted that the full power of $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0$ will be used. In other words, we have to use more information about rotation maps than what is used in the proof of 8.1. It probably worth to point out that the proof would be simpler if homomorphisms ψ_n from C_n to C_{n+1} is injective. Among other things, one could apply 8.2. Unfortunately, in general, AH-algebra can not be written such a way so that each homomorphism from C_n to C_{n+1} is injective.

Lemma 10.1. *Let C and A be unital C^* -algebras and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ be two unital monomorphisms. Let $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$. Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is a positive number and $c_{ij} \in C$ such that*

$$\|\pi_0((a_{ij})) - (\varphi_1(c_{ij}))\| < \epsilon. \quad (\text{e 10.395})$$

Then

$$\|\pi_1((a_{ij})) - (\varphi_2(c_{ij}))\| < \epsilon. \quad (\text{e 10.396})$$

Proof. This is almost evident. In fact there are $(b_{ij}) \in M_n(C)$ such that

$$\pi_0((a_{ij})) = \varphi_1((b_{ij})). \quad (\text{e 10.397})$$

Here we continue to use φ_1 for $\varphi_1 \otimes \text{id}_{M_n}$. Then this and (e 10.395) imply that

$$\|(b_{ij}) - (c_{ij})\| < \epsilon, \quad (\text{e 10.398})$$

since φ_1 is injective. But by (e 10.397)

$$\pi_1((a_{ij})) = (\varphi_2(b_{ij})).$$

Using φ_2 for $\varphi_2 \otimes \text{id}_{M_n}$, it then follows from (e 10.398) that

$$\|\pi_1((a_{ij})) - \varphi_2((c_{ij}))\| < \epsilon.$$

□

10.2. Now let A be a unital AH-algebra and B be a unital C^* -algebra. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ are two unital monomorphisms.

Suppose that $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(A, B)$. Then the following exact sequence splits:

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{K}(B) \rightarrow \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) \xrightarrow[\theta]{\pi_0} \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow 0$$

Lemma 10.3. *Let A and B and φ_1 , and φ_2 be as in 10.2. Moreover, we assume that $\tilde{\eta}(\eta)(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = 0$.*

Let $C = PM_k(C(X))P$, where X is a finite CW complex, $k \geq 1$ is an integer, $P \in M_k(C(X))$ is a projection, and let $\psi : C \rightarrow A$ be a unital homomorphism.

For any $1/2 > \epsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$, there are integers $N_1 \geq 1$, an $\epsilon/2$ - $\mathcal{F}$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L : \psi(C) \rightarrow M_{1+N_1}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$, a unital homomorphism $h_0 : \psi(C) \rightarrow M_{N_1}(\mathbb{C})$, and a continuous path of unitaries $\{V(t) : t \in [0, 1-d]\}$ of $M_{1+N_1}(B)$ for some $1/2 > d > 0$, such that $[L]|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined, $V(0) = 1_{M_{1+N_1}(B)}$,

$$[L \circ \psi]|_{\mathcal{P}} = (\theta \circ [\psi] + [h_0 \circ \psi])|_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (\text{e 10.399})$$

$$\pi_t \circ L \circ \psi \approx_{\epsilon} \text{ad } V(t) \circ ((\varphi_1 \circ \psi) \oplus (h_0 \circ \psi)) \text{ on } \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e 10.400})$$

for all $t \in (0, 1-d]$,

$$\pi_t \circ L \circ \psi \approx_{\epsilon} \text{ad } V(1-d) \circ ((\varphi_1 \circ \psi) \oplus (h_0 \circ \psi)) \text{ on } \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e 10.401})$$

for all $t \in (1-d, 1)$, and

$$\pi_1 \circ L \circ \psi \approx_{\epsilon} \varphi_2 \circ \psi \oplus h_0 \circ \psi \text{ on } \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e 10.402})$$

where $\pi_t : M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \rightarrow B$ is the point-evaluation at $t \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ be a finite subset.

Let $\delta_1 > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset C$ be a finite subset and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be a finite subset required by 9.5 for $\epsilon/4$ and $\mathcal{F}$ above.

Let $\epsilon_1 = \min\{\delta_1/2, \epsilon/4\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}_1$. We may assume that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is in the unit ball of C . We may also assume that $[L']|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined for any ϵ_1 - $\mathcal{F}_1$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map from C to (any unital C^* -algebra).

Let $\delta_2 > 0$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset C$ be a finite subset and $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be finite subset required by 9.2 for $\epsilon_1/2$ and $\mathcal{F}_1$. We may assume that $\delta_2 < \delta_1/2$, $\mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 \supset \mathcal{P}$. We also assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is in the unit ball of C .

It follows from 9.1 that there exists an integer $K_1 \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $h'_0 : \psi(C) \rightarrow M_{K_1}(\mathbb{C})$ and a $\delta_2/2$ - $\mathcal{G}$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L_1 : \psi(C) \rightarrow M_{K_1+1}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$ such that

$$[L_1 \circ \psi]|_{\mathcal{P}_1} = (\theta \circ [\psi] + [h'_0 \circ \psi])|_{\mathcal{P}_1}. \quad (\text{e 10.403})$$

Note that $\pi_0 : M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \rightarrow B$ has an image in $\varphi_0(A)$. We also have, by viewing π_0 as a homomorphism from M_{φ_1, φ_2} to A ,

$$[\pi_0] \circ \theta \circ [\psi] = [\psi] \text{ in } KK(C, A). \quad (\text{e 10.404})$$

Moreover, by viewing π_0 as a map from M_{φ_1, φ_2} to B ,

$$[\pi_0] \circ \theta \circ [\psi] = [\varphi_1 \circ \psi] \text{ in } KK(C, B). \quad (\text{e 10.405})$$

Furthermore, for each $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$[\pi_t] \circ \theta \circ [\psi] = [\varphi_1 \circ \psi]. \quad (\text{e 10.406})$$

By 9.2, we obtain an integer K_0 , a unitary $V_{00} \in U(M_{1+K_1+K_0}(\varphi_1(A)))$ and a unital homomorphism $h : C \rightarrow M_{K_0}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\text{ad } V_{00} \circ (\pi_0 \circ L_1 \oplus h) \approx_{\epsilon_1/2} (\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus h) \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (\text{e 10.407})$$

Write $V_{00} = (\varphi_1(a_{ij}))$ for $a_{ij} \in A$. Since φ_1 is injective, it follows that $(a_{ij}) \in U(M_{K_1+K_0}(A))$. Let $V'_{00} = \varphi_2((a_{ij}))$. The assumption that $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ implies that $[V_{00}] = [V'_{00}]$ in $K_1(B)$. By adding another h in (e 10.407) and replacing V_{00} by $V_{00} \oplus 1_{M_{K_0}}$, if necessary, we may assume that V_{00} and V'_{00} are in the same component of $U(M_{1+K_1+K_0}(B))$. One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{Z(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ in $M_{1+K_1+K_0}(B)$ such that

$$Z(0) = V_{00} \text{ and } Z(1) = V'_{00}. \quad (\text{e 10.408})$$

It follows that $Z \in M_{1+K_1+K_0}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$. By replacing L_1 by $\text{ad } Z \circ (L_1 \oplus h)$ and using a new h'_0 , we may assume that

$$\pi_0 \circ L_1 \approx_{\epsilon_1/2} \varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (\text{e 10.409})$$

It follows from 10.1 that we may also assume that

$$\pi_1 \circ L_1 \approx_{\epsilon_1/2} \varphi_2 \oplus h'_0 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (\text{e 10.410})$$

There is a partition:

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1 \quad (\text{e 10.411})$$

such that

$$\pi_{t_i} \circ L_1 \approx_{\delta_2/2} \pi_t \circ L_1 \text{ on } \mathcal{G} \quad (\text{e 10.412})$$

for all $t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

By applying 9.2 again, we obtain an integer $K_2 \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $h_{00} : C \rightarrow M_{K_2}(\mathbb{C})$, and a unitary $V_i \in M_{1+K_1+K_2}(B)$ such that

$$\text{ad } V_i \circ (\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus h_{00}) \approx_{\epsilon_1/2} (\pi_{t_i} \circ L_1 \oplus h_{00}) \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (\text{e 10.413})$$

Note that, by (e 10.412), (e 10.413) and (e 10.409)

$$\|[\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus h_{00}(a), V_1]\| < \delta_2/2 + 2\epsilon_1 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1.$$

Put $K_3 = K_1 + K_2$ and $H_0 = \varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus h_{00}$.

It follows from 9.4 that there is an integer $J_1 \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $F_1 : C \rightarrow M_{J_1}(\mathbb{C})$ and a unitary $W_1 \in U(M_{K_3+1+J_1}(B))$ such that

$$\|[H_1(a), W_1]\| < \delta_2/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and } \text{Bott}(H_1, W_1) = \text{Bott}(H_0, V_1), \quad (\text{e 10.414})$$

where $H_1 = \varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus h_{00} \oplus F_1$. Define $V'_1 = W_1^* \text{diag}(V_1, 1_{M_{J_1}})$. Note that

$$\|[H_1(a), V'_1]\| < \delta_2 + 2\epsilon_1 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and } \text{Bott}(H_1, V'_1) = 0. \quad (\text{e 10.415})$$

Therefore,

$$\|[H_1(a), W_1^* \text{diag}(V_1, 1_{M_{J_1}}) \text{diag}(V_2^*, 1_{M_{J_1}})]\| < \delta_2 + 2\epsilon_1 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (\text{e 10.416})$$

It follows from 9.4 that there is an integer $J_2 \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $F_2 : C \rightarrow M_{J_2}(\mathbb{C})$ and a unitary $W_2 \in U(M_{J_2+J_1+K_3+1}(B))$ such that

$$\|[H_2(a), W_2]\| < \delta_2/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and } \text{Bott}(H_2, W_2) = \text{Bott}(H_1(a), \bar{V}'_1 \bar{V}_2^*), \quad (\text{e 10.417})$$

where $H_2 = H_1 \oplus F_2$, $\bar{V}'_1 = \text{diag}(V'_1, 1_{M_{J_2}(B)})$ and $\bar{V}_2 = \text{diag}(V_2, 1_{M_{J_1+J_2}(B)})$. Thus

$$\|[H_2(a), \bar{V}'_1 \bar{V}_2^* W_2^*]\| < \delta_2 + 2\epsilon_1 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 10.418})$$

$$\text{Bott}(H_2, \bar{V}'_1 \bar{V}_2^* W_2^*) = 0. \quad (\text{e 10.419})$$

Put $V'_2 = W_2 \bar{V}_2$. By continuing this process, we obtain an integer $N_0 \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $F_0 : C \rightarrow M_{N_0}(\mathbb{C})$, and unitaries $v_i \in M_{1+K_2+N_0}(B)$ such that

$$\text{ad } v_i \circ (\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus F_{00}) \approx_{\delta_2+2\epsilon_1} \pi_{t_i} \circ (L_1 \oplus F_{00}) \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_1, \quad (\text{e 10.420})$$

$$\|[\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus F_{00}(a), v_i v_{i+1}^*]\| < \delta_2 + 2\epsilon_1 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1 \quad (\text{e 10.421})$$

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus F_{00}, v_1) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus F_{00}, v_i v_{i+1}^*) = 0. \quad (\text{e 10.422})$$

It follows from 9.5 that there is an integer $N'_1 \geq 1$, a unital homomorphism $F'_0 : C \rightarrow M_{N'_1}(\mathbb{C})$ and a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_i(t) : t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]\}$ such that

$$w_1(0) = 1, \quad w_1(t_1) = v'_1, \quad w_i(t_{i-1}) = v'_{i-1}(v'_i)^*, \quad w_i(t_i) = 1, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 10.423})$$

$$\|[\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus F_{00} \oplus F'_0(a), w_i(t)]\| < \epsilon/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (\text{e 10.424})$$

where $v'_i = \text{diag}(v_i, 1_{M_{N'_1}(B)})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Define $V(t) = w_i(t)v'_i$ for $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $V(t) \in C([0, 1-d], M_{N'_1}(B))$. Moreover,

$$\text{ad } V(t) \circ (\varphi_1 \oplus h'_0 \oplus F_{00} \oplus F'_0) \approx_{\epsilon/2} \pi_t \circ L_1 \oplus F_{00} \oplus F'_0 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 10.425})$$

Define $h_0 = h'_0 \oplus F_{00} \oplus F'_0$, $L = L_1 \oplus F_{00} \oplus F'_0$ and $d = 1 - t_{n-1}$. Then, by (e 10.425), (e 10.400) and (e 10.401) hold. From (e 10.410), (e 10.402) also holds. □

Let C be a unital separable C^* -algebra and A be a unital C^* -algebra. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ are two unital monomorphisms.

Define

$$M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = \{f \in C([0, 1], A) : f(0) = \varphi_1(a) \text{ and } f(1) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for some } a \in C\}.$$

Denote by $\pi_t : M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \rightarrow A$ the point-evaluation at t ($t \in [0, 1]$). We will also use π_t for the homomorphism from $M_n(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) \rightarrow M_n(A)$. Note also π_0 gives a surjective homomorphism from M_{φ_1, φ_2} onto C and $\ker \pi_0 = SA$.

10.4. Let C be a unital separable amenable C^* -algebra in $\mathcal{N}$ with finitely generated $K_i(C)$ ($i = 0, 1$), let A be a unital separable C^* -algebra and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ be two unital homomorphisms. In what follows, we will continue to use φ_1 and φ_2 for the induced homomorphisms from $M_k(C)$ to $M_k(A)$. Suppose that $v \in U(A)$ and

$$\|v^* \varphi_1(z_j)v - \varphi_2(z_j)\| < \epsilon < 1/2, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (\text{e 10.426})$$

for some $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in U(M_k(C))$. Define $W_j(t) \in U(M_2(C([0, 1], M_k(A)))$ as follows

$$W_j(t) = (T_t V T_t^{-1})^* \text{diag}(\varphi_1(z_j), 1_{M_k}) T_t V T_t^{-1}, \quad (\text{e 10.427})$$

where

$$V = \text{diag}(v, 1_{M_k}) \quad \text{and} \quad T_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi t) & -\sin(\pi t) \\ \sin(\pi t) & \cos(\pi t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $W_j(0) = \text{diag}(v^* \varphi_1(z_j)v, 1)$ and $W_j(1) = \text{diag}(\varphi_1(z_j), 1)$. Connecting $W_j(0)$ with $\text{diag}(\varphi_2(z_j), 1)$ by a short path, we obtain a continuous path of unitary $Z_j(t)$ such that $Z_j(0) = \text{diag}(\varphi_2(z_j), 1)$, $Z(1/4) = W(0)$ and $Z_j(1) = \text{diag}(\varphi_1(z_j), 1)$ and $\|Z_j(t) - Z_j(1/4)\| < 1/2$ for $t \in [0, 1/4]$. Thus $Z \in M_{2k}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$. Note that, if $Z_j \in M_{2k}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$ such that $Z'_j(0) = Z_j(0)$, $Z'_j(t) = Z_j(t)$ for all $t \in [1/4, 1]$ and

$$\|Z'_j(t) - Z'_j(1/4)\| < 1/2$$

for all $t \in [0, 1/4]$. Then $(Z'_j)^* Z_j \in U_0(M_2(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}))$. Thus the map $\gamma : K_1(C) \rightarrow K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$ defined by $\gamma([z_j]) = [Z_j]$ is well defined. One easily verifies that, with sufficiently small ϵ , since $K_1(C)$ is finitely generated, γ defines a homomorphism. Let

$$h_j = \text{diag}(\log(\varphi_2(z_j)^* V^* \varphi_1(z_j) V), 1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (\text{e 10.428})$$

We may specifically use

$$Z_j(t) = \text{diag}(\varphi_2(z_j), 1) \exp(i4th_j) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1/4]. \quad (\text{e 10.429})$$

If we use φ_1 and φ_2 for the induced homomorphisms from $M_k(C \otimes C')$ to $A \otimes C'$, for some commutative C^* -algebra C' with finitely generated $K_i(C')$ ($i = 0, 1$), we obtain a homomorphism $\gamma : K_1(C \otimes C') \rightarrow K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \otimes C')$ provided that ϵ is small (and z_j are in $M_k(C \otimes C')$).

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ be a finite subset and $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that there is a unitary $v \in U(A)$ such that

$$\text{ad } v \circ \varphi_1 \approx_\epsilon \varphi_2 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 10.430})$$

Let $U(t)' = T_t V T_t^{-1}$. Define

$$L(c)(t) = (U(\frac{4t-1}{3})')^* \text{diag}(\varphi_1(c), 0) U((\frac{4t-1}{3})') \quad \text{for all } t \in [1/4, 1] \quad (\text{e 10.431})$$

and $L(c)(t) = 4tL(c)(1/4) + (1-4t)\text{diag}(\varphi_2(c), 0)$. Note L maps C into $M_2(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$. Thus, since $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 1$), as in Proposition 2.4 of [33], if ϵ is sufficiently small and $\mathcal{F}$ is sufficiently large, there is $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}))$ such that

$$[L]|_{\mathcal{P}} = \gamma|_{\mathcal{P}} \quad (\text{e 10.432})$$

for a given finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$. Since $K_i(C)$ is finitely generated, we may assume that $[L] = \gamma$. It should be noted that, with sufficiently small ϵ and sufficiently large $\mathcal{F}$,

$$[\pi_0] \circ \gamma = [\text{id}_C]. \quad (\text{e 10.433})$$

Using section 2 and section 3, one also computes that

$$\int_0^1 \tau\left(\frac{dZ_j(t)}{dt} Z_j(t)\right) dt = \tau(h_j) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 10.434})$$

Therefore, if $R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \circ \gamma(K_1(C)) = 0$, then

$$\tau(h_j) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 10.435})$$

On the other hand, for any given $\eta > 0$ and a finite set $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ of generators of $K_1(C)$, by (e 10.428),

$$\tau(h_j) < \eta \quad (\text{e 10.436})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$, provided that ϵ is sufficiently small and $\mathcal{F}$ is sufficiently large.

Thus we have the following:

Lemma 10.5. *Let C be a unital separable amenable C^* -algebra in $\mathcal{N}$ with finitely generated $K_i(C)$ ($i = 0, 1$). Let A be a unital separable C^* -algebra and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ be two unital homomorphisms. Suppose $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\} \subset U(M_k(C))$ which forms a set of generators of $K_1(C)$ and $\eta > 0$ is a positive number.*

Then, there is $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ satisfying the following: Suppose that A is a unital separable C^ -algebra and suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ are two unital homomorphisms such that*

$$\text{ad } v \circ \varphi_1 \approx_\epsilon \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{e 10.437})$$

Then it defines a homomorphism $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}))$ as in (10.4). Moreover,

$$\tau(\log(\varphi_2(z_j)^* V^* \varphi_1(z_j) V)) < \eta, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (\text{e 10.438})$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$, where $V = \text{diag}(\overbrace{v, v, \dots, v}^k)$.

10.6. Let C and A be as in 10.4, and $\varphi_1 : C \rightarrow A$ be a unital homomorphism. Suppose that $v \in U(A)$ and

$$\|v^* \varphi_1(z_j) v - \varphi_1(z_j)\| < \epsilon < 1/2 \quad (\text{e 10.439})$$

for some $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in U(M_k(C))$. Define $W_j(t) \in U(M_2(C([0, 1], M_k(A)))$ as follows

$$W_j(t) = \text{diag}(\varphi_1(z_j), 1)(T_t V T_t^{-1})^* \text{diag}(\varphi_1^*(z_j), 1) T_t V T_t^{-1}, \quad (\text{e 10.440})$$

where

$$V = \text{diag}(\overbrace{v, v, \dots, v}^n, 1_{M_k}) \text{ and } T_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi t) & -\sin(\pi t) \\ \sin(\pi t) & \cos(\pi t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Put $b_j = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\varphi_1(z_j)^* v^* \varphi_1(z_j) v), 1)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Note that $W_j(0) = \text{diag}(\varphi_1(z_j) v^* \varphi_1(z_j) v, 1)$ and $W_j(1) = 1_{M_{2k}}$. We obtain a continuous path of unitary $Z_j(t)$ such that $Z_j(t) = \exp(i2\pi 4tb_j)$ for $t \in [0, 1/4]$ and $Z(t) = W(\frac{4t-1}{3})$. Thus $Z \in M_{2k}(\tilde{S}A)$. Suppose that

$$\|[\varphi_1(a), v]\| < \delta \text{ for all } \mathcal{F}$$

for a sufficiently large finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of C and a sufficient small δ . We may assume that $\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, v)$ is well defined. Exactly as in 10.4 and 10.5, we obtain a homomorphism in $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(SA))$. It will be denoted by $\Gamma(\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, v))$.

Suppose that $\Theta : \underline{K}(C) \rightarrow \underline{K}(SA)$ is another homomorphism. Suppose there is $V \in C([0, 1 - d], A)$ (for some $0 < d < 1/4$) has the following property: Suppose that $z \in U(M_k(\tilde{C} \otimes C_n))$ (in $U(M_k(C))$, or in $U(M_k(\tilde{S}(C \otimes C_n)))$), where C_n is a separable commutative C^* -algebra with $K_0(C_n) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(C_n) = \{0\}$, such that $\Theta([z])$ can be represented by a unitary $\theta(z) \in M_k(\tilde{S}(A \otimes C_n))$ (in $M_k(C)$, or in $M_k(\tilde{S}(C \otimes C_n))$) such that

$$\theta(z)(t) = \varphi_1(z)V^*(t)\varphi_1(z)^*V(t) \quad (\text{e 10.441})$$

for $t \in [0, 1 - d]$ and, for $t \in [1 - d, 1]$,

$$\|\theta(z)(t) - \varphi_1(z)V(1 - d)^*\varphi_1(z)^*V(1 - d)\| < \delta < 1/2, \quad (\text{e 10.442})$$

where $\varphi_1 = \varphi_1 \otimes \text{id}$. By 4.2, if $\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, u)([z]) = \text{bott}_1(V, \varphi_1(z))$, then $\Theta([z]) = 0$ (with sufficiently small δ). Conversely, if $\Theta([z]) = 0$, by 9.6, $\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, u)([z]) = 0$. It follows that $\Gamma(\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, u))$ is defined independent of the choice of the path $V(t)$ and $\Gamma(\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, u)) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, u) = 0$.

Using section 3 and section 2, one computes that

$$\int_0^1 \tau\left(\frac{dZ_j(t)}{dt}Z_j(t)\right) = \tau(b_j) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 10.443})$$

If φ_1 and φ_2 are as in 10.4, then

$$R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(Z_j(t))(\tau) = \tau(b_j) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 10.444})$$

In particular, if $\rho_A(\text{Bott}(\varphi, v)) = 0$, then

$$\Gamma(\text{Bott}(\varphi, v))(K_1(C)) \subset \ker R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}. \quad (\text{e 10.445})$$

Theorem 10.7. *Let C be a unital AH -algebra and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ are two unital monomorphisms. Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset A$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u(t) \circ \varphi_1(c) = \varphi_2(c) \text{ for all } c \in C \quad (\text{e 10.446})$$

if and only if

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(C, A), \quad (\text{e 10.447})$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \text{ and } \tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = \{0\}. \quad (\text{e 10.448})$$

Proof. The “only if” part follows from 4.4. We need to show that “if” part of the theorem.

Let $C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n, \psi_n)$ be as in 7.2. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of C such that $\cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in C .

Define

$$M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = \{f \in C([0, 1], A) : f(0) = \varphi_1(a) \text{ and } f(1) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for some } a \in C\}.$$

Denote by $\pi_t : M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \rightarrow A$ the point-evaluation at t ($t \in [0, 1]$). Note also π_0 gives a surjective homomorphism from M_{φ_1, φ_2} onto C and $\ker \pi_0 = SA$.

Since C satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, the assumption that $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(C, A)$ implies the following exact sequence splits:

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{K}(SA) \rightarrow \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) \xrightarrow[\theta]{\pi_0} \underline{K}(C) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{e 10.449})$$

Furthermore, since $\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0$, we may also assume that

$$R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(\theta(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in K_1(C). \quad (\text{e 10.450})$$

By [8], one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\underline{K}(C_n), [\psi_n]) = \underline{K}(C). \quad (\text{e 10.451})$$

Since each $K_i(C_n)$ is finitely generated, there is an integer $K(n) \geq 1$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(F_{K(n)}\underline{K}(C_n), F_{K(n)}\underline{K}(A)) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_n), \underline{K}(A)). \quad (\text{e 10.452})$$

Let $\delta'_n > 0$, $\mathcal{G}'_n \subset C$ and $\mathcal{P}'_n \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be finite subsets corresponding to $1/2^{n+2}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ required by 2.12. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{G}'_n \subset \psi_{n, \infty}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ and $\mathcal{P}'_n = [\psi_{n, \infty}](\mathcal{P}_n)$ for some finite subset $\mathcal{G}_n$ of C_n and for some finite subset $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \underline{K}(C_n)$. We may assume that $\mathcal{P}_n$ contains a set of generators of $F_{K(n)}\underline{K}(C_n)$, $\delta'_n < 1/2^{n+3}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{G}'_n$. We also assume that $\text{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ is well defined whenever $\|h'(a), u'\| < \delta'_n$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}'_n$ and for any unital homomorphism h' and unitary u' . Note that $\text{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ defines $\text{Bott}(h', u')$.

We further assume that

$$\text{Bott}(h, u)|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \text{Bott}(h', u)|_{\mathcal{P}_n} \quad (\text{e 10.453})$$

provided that $h \approx_{\delta'_n} h'$ on $\mathcal{G}'_n$.

We may also assume that δ'_n is smaller than $\delta/3$ for that δ in 2.11 for C_n and $\mathcal{P}_n$.

Let $k(n) \geq n$ and $\eta_n > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by 7.5 for $\psi_{n, \infty}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$), $\mathcal{P}_n$ (in place of $\mathcal{P}$) and $\delta'_n/4$ (in place of ϵ). For C_n , since $K_i(C_n)$ ($i = 0, 1$) is finitely generated, by choosing larger $k(n)$, we may assume that $(\varphi_{k(n), \infty})_{*i}$ is injective on $(\varphi_{n, k(n)})_{*i}(K_i(C_n))$, $i = 0, 1$. Since $K_i(C_n)$ is finitely generated, by (e 10.452), we may further assume that $[\varphi_{k(n), \infty}]$ is injective on $[\varphi_{n, k(n)}](\underline{K}(C_n))$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

By passing to a subsequence, to simplify notation, we may assume that $k(n) = n + 1$.

Let $\delta_n = \min\{\eta_n, \delta_n/2'\}$.

By 8.1, there are unitaries $v_n \in U(A)$ such that

$$\text{ad } v_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta_{n+1}/4} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \psi_{n+1, \infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1}), \quad (\text{e 10.454})$$

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, v_n^* v_{n+1}))(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \psi_{n+1, \infty}(K_1(C_{n+1})) \text{ and } \quad (\text{e 10.455})$$

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), v_n^* v_{n+1}]\| < \delta_{n+1}/2 \text{ for all } a \in \psi_{n+1, \infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1}) \quad (\text{e 10.456})$$

($K_1(C_{n+1})$ is finitely generated).

Note that, by (e 10.453), we may also assume that

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_1, v_{n+1} v_n^*)|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \text{Bott}(v_n^* \varphi_1 v_n, v_n^* v_{n+1})|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \text{Bott}(\varphi_2, v_n^* v_{n+1})|_{\mathcal{P}_n}. \quad (\text{e 10.457})$$

In particular,

$$\text{bott}_1(v_n^* \varphi_1 v_n, v_n^* v_{n+1})(x) = \text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, v_n^* v_{n+1})(x) \quad (\text{e 10.458})$$

for all $x \in \psi_{n+1, \infty}(K_1(C_{n+1}))$.

By applying 10.5, without loss of generality, we may assume that φ_1 and v_n define $\gamma_n \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{n+1}), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}))$. Note that $[\pi_0] \circ \gamma_n = [\text{id}_{C_{n+1}}]$. Furthermore, by 10.5, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\tau(\log(\varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n+1, \infty}(z_j)^* V_n^* \varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n+1, \infty}(z_j) V_n)) < \delta_{n+1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r(n), \quad (\text{e 10.459})$$

where $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{r(n)}\} \subset U(M_k(C_{n+1}))$ which forms a set of generators of $K_1(C_{n+1})$ and where

$$V_n = \text{diag}(\overbrace{v_n, v_n, \dots, v_n}^k).$$

Let $H_n = [\varphi_{n+1}](\underline{K}(C_{n+1}))$. Since $\cup_{n=1}[\varphi_{n+1, \infty}](\underline{K}(C_n)) = \underline{K}(C)$ and $[\pi_0] \circ \gamma_n = [\text{id}_{C_{n+1}}]$, we conclude that

$$\underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) = \underline{K}(SA) + \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(H_n). \quad (\text{e } 10.460)$$

Thus, by passing to a subsequence, we may further assume that

$$\gamma_{n+1}(H_n) \subset \underline{K}(SA) + \gamma_{n+2}(H_{n+1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{e } 10.461)$$

By identifying H_n with $\gamma_{n+1}(H_n)$, we may write $j_n : \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_n \rightarrow \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_{n+1}$. By (e 10.460), the inductive limit is $\underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$.

From the definition of γ_n , we note that, $\gamma_n - \gamma_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+1}]$ maps $\underline{K}(C_{n+1})$ into $\underline{K}(SA)$.

By 10.6

$$\Gamma(\text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n+2, \infty}, v_n v_{n+1}^*))|_{H_n} = (\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_{n+2} \circ [\psi_{n+2}])|_{H_n}$$

gives a homomorphism $\xi_n : H_n \rightarrow \underline{K}(SA)$. Put $\zeta_n = \gamma_{n+1}|_{H_n}$. Then

$$j_n(x, y) = (x + \xi_n(y), [\psi_{n+2}](y)) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_n. \quad (\text{e } 10.462)$$

Thus, we obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & \underline{K}(SA) & \rightarrow & \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_n & \rightarrow & H_n & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \parallel & & \parallel \swarrow \xi_n \downarrow [\psi_{n+2}] & & \downarrow [\psi_{n+2}] & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \underline{K}(SA) & \rightarrow & \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_{n+1} & \rightarrow & H_{n+1} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \parallel & & \parallel \swarrow \xi_{n+1} \downarrow [\psi_{n+3}] & & \downarrow [\psi_{n+3}] & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \underline{K}(SA) & \rightarrow & \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_{n+2} & \rightarrow & H_{n+2} & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By the assumption that $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0$, θ also gives the following

$$\ker R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = \ker \rho_A \oplus K_1(C).$$

Define $\theta_n = \theta \circ [\psi_{n+2, \infty}]$ and $\kappa_n = \zeta_n - \theta_n$. Note that

$$\theta_n = \theta_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+2}]. \quad (\text{e } 10.463)$$

We also have that

$$\zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+2}] = \xi_n. \quad (\text{e } 10.464)$$

Since $[\pi_0] \circ (\zeta_n - \theta_n) = 0$, κ_n maps H_n into $\underline{K}(SA)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_n - \kappa_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+2}] &= \zeta_n - \theta_n - \zeta_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+2}] + \theta_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+2}] \\ &= \zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+2}] = \xi_n \end{aligned} \quad (\text{e } 10.465)$$

It follows from 10.3 that there are integers $N_1 \geq 1$, a $\frac{\delta_{n+1}}{4}$ - $\psi_{n+1}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L_n : \psi_{n+1, \infty}(C_{n+1}) \rightarrow M_{1+N_1}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})$, a unital homomorphism $h_0 : \psi_{n+1, \infty}(C_{n+1}) \rightarrow M_{N_1}(\mathbb{C})$, and a continuous path of unitaries $\{V_n(t) : t \in [0, 3/4]\}$ of $M_{1+N_1}(A)$ such that $[L_n]|_{\mathcal{P}'_{n+1}}$ is well defined, $V_n(0) = 1_{M_{1+N_1}(A)}$,

$$[L_n \circ \psi_{n+1, \infty}]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = (\theta \circ [\psi_{n+1, \infty}] + [h_0 \circ \psi_{n+1, \infty}])|_{\mathcal{P}_n}, \quad (\text{e } 10.466)$$

$$\pi_t \circ L_n \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty} \approx_{\delta_{n+1}/4} \text{ad } V_n(t) \circ ((\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty}) \oplus (h_0 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty})) \quad (\text{e 10.467})$$

on $\psi_{n+1,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})$ for all $t \in (0, 3/4]$,

$$\pi_t \circ L_n \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty} \approx_{\delta_{n+1}/4} \text{ad } V_n(3/4) \circ ((\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty}) \oplus (h_0 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty})) \quad (\text{e 10.468})$$

on $\psi_{n+1,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})$ for all $t \in (3/4, 1)$, and

$$\pi_1 \circ L_n \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty} \approx_{\delta_{n+1}/4} \varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty} \oplus h_0 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty} \quad (\text{e 10.469})$$

on $\psi_{n+1,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})$, where $\pi_t : M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \rightarrow A$ is the point-evaluation at $t \in (0, 1)$.

Note that $R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(\theta(z)) = 0$ for all $x \in \varphi_{n+1,\infty}(K_1(C_{n+1}))$. As computed in 10.4,

$$\tau(\log((\varphi_2(z) \oplus h_0(z)^* V_n(3/4)^* (\varphi_1(z) \oplus h_0(z)) V_n(3/4))) = 0 \quad (\text{e 10.470})$$

for $z = \psi_{n+1,\infty}(y)$, where y is in a set of generators of $K_1(C_{n+1})$ and for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Define $W'_n = \text{diag}(v_n, 1) \in M_{1+N_1}(A)$. Then $\text{Bott}((\varphi_1 \oplus h_0) \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty}, W'_n(V_n(3/4)^*))$ defines a homomorphism $\tilde{\kappa}_n \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{n+1}), \underline{K}(A))$. By (e 10.459)

$$\tau(\log((\varphi_2 \oplus h_0) \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty}(z_j)^* \tilde{V}_n^* (\varphi_1 \oplus h_0) \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty}(z_j) \tilde{V}_n)) < \delta_{n+1}, \quad (\text{e 10.471})$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, r(n)$, where $\tilde{V}_n = \text{diag}(V_n, 1)$. Then, by (e 10.470), exactly as in the argument from (e 8.253)–(e 8.257), we compute that

$$\rho_A(\tilde{\kappa}_n(z_j))(\tau) < \delta_{n+1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{e 10.472})$$

It follows from 7.5 that there is a unitary $w'_n \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_1(a), w'_n]\| < \delta'_{n+1}/4 \text{ for all } a \in \psi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_n) \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 10.473})$$

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w'_n)|_{K_1(C_n)} = -\tilde{\kappa}_n|_{[\psi_n](K_1(C_n))}. \quad (\text{e 10.474})$$

By (e 10.453),

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, v_n^* w'_n v_n)|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = -\tilde{\kappa}_n|_{[\psi_n](\underline{K}(C_n))}. \quad (\text{e 10.475})$$

Put $w_n = v_n^* w'_n v_n$.

It follows from 10.6 that

$$\Gamma(\text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w'_n)) = -\kappa_n \text{ and } \Gamma(\text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty}, w'_{n+1})) = -\kappa_{n+1}. \quad (\text{e 10.476})$$

We also have

$$\Gamma(\text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, v_n v_{n+1}^*))|_{H_n} = \zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+2}] = \xi_n. \quad (\text{e 10.477})$$

But, by (e 10.465),

$$-\kappa_n + \xi_n + \kappa_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+2}] = 0. \quad (\text{e 10.478})$$

It follows from (e 10.476), (e 10.477), (e 10.478) and 10.6 that

$$-\text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w'_n) + \text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, v_n v_{n+1}^*) + \text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w'_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (\text{e 10.479})$$

Define $u_n = v_n w_n^*$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, by (e 10.454) and (e 10.473),

$$\text{ad } u_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta'_n/2} \varphi_2 \text{ for all } a \in \psi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_n). \quad (\text{e 10.480})$$

From (e 10.457), (e 10.453) and (e 10.479), we compute that

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, u_n^* u_{n+1}) \quad (\text{e 10.481})$$

$$= \text{Bott}(\varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w_n v_n^* v_{n+1} w_{n+1}^*) \quad (\text{e 10.482})$$

$$= \text{Bott}(\varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w_n) + \text{Bott}(\varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, v_n^* v_{n+1}) \quad (\text{e 10.483})$$

$$+ \text{Bott}(\varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w_{n+1}^*) \quad (\text{e 10.484})$$

$$= \text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w_n') + \text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, v_{n+1} v_n^*) \quad (\text{e 10.485})$$

$$+ \text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, (w_{n+1}')^*) \quad (\text{e 10.486})$$

$$= -[-\text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w_n') + \text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, v_n v_{n+1}^*)] \quad (\text{e 10.487})$$

$$+ \text{Bott}(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, w_{n+1}') \quad (\text{e 10.488})$$

$$= 0 \quad (\text{e 10.489})$$

Therefore, by 2.12, there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{z_n(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ of A such that

$$z_n(0) = 1, \quad z_n(1) = u_n^* u_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{e 10.490})$$

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), z_n(t)]\| < 1/2^{n+2} \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ and } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (\text{e 10.491})$$

Define

$$u(t + n - 1) = u_n z_{n+1}(t) \quad t \in (0, 1].$$

Note that $u(n) = u_{n+1}$ for all integer n and $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ is a continuous path of unitaries in A . One estimates that, by (e 10.480) and (e 10.491),

$$\text{ad } u(t + n - 1) \circ \varphi_1 \quad \approx_{\delta'_n} \quad \text{ad } z_{n+1}(t) \circ \varphi_2 \quad (\text{e 10.492})$$

$$\approx_{1/2^{n+2}} \varphi_2 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_n \quad (\text{e 10.493})$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

It then follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u^*(t) \varphi_1(a) u(t) = \varphi_2(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in C. \quad (\text{e 10.494})$$

□

11 Applications

Theorem 11.1. *Let C be a unital AH -algebra with real rank zero and let B be a unital separable C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow B$ are two unital monomorphisms. Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in C$$

if and only if

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \quad \text{in } KK(C, B) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0. \quad (\text{e 11.495})$$

Proof. To prove the “if” part of the theorem, it suffices to show that

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1(a) = \tau \circ \varphi_2(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in C \quad \text{and} \quad \text{for all } \tau \in T(A). \quad (\text{e 11.496})$$

It then suffices to show that (e 11.496) holds for all $a \in C_{s.a.}$. The assumption that $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(C, A)$ implies that (e 11.496) holds for the case that a is a projection, whence for any self-adjoint elements with finite spectrum. Since C is assumed to have real rank zero, one concludes that (e 11.496) holds for all self-adjoint elements.

□

Corollary 11.2. *Let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero and satisfying the UCT. Suppose that $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is a unital endomorphism. Then α is asymptotically inner if and only if*

$$[\alpha] = [\text{id}] \text{ in } KK(A, A) \text{ and } \tilde{\eta}_{\text{id}_A, \alpha} = 0. \quad (\text{e 11.497})$$

Now we consider the problem when $C \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra, where C is a unital AH-algebra and α is an automorphism (see [42], [43], [44], [5], [6], [36], [37] and [34] for the background).

Lemma 11.3. *Let A and B be two unital separable C^* -algebras and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ be two unital monomorphisms. Suppose that A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem and*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(A, B) \text{ and } \tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Suppose that $K_1(B) = 0$ and $K_0(B) = \rho_B(K_0(B))$ is torsion free and divisible. Suppose also that

$$\rho_A(K_0(A)) = R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2})).$$

Then, there is $\theta \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))$ such that the following exact sequence splits:

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{K}(B) \xrightarrow{[i]} \underline{K}(M_{\varphi, \psi}) \xrightarrow{[\pi_0]}_{\theta} \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \circ \theta = 0.$$

Consequently $\tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0$.

Proof. From the assumption there is $\theta \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))$ such that the following exact sequence splits:

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{K}(SB) \xrightarrow{[i]} \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) \xrightarrow{[\pi_0]}_{\theta} \underline{K}(A) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{e 11.498})$$

Homomorphism $\theta|_{K_1(A)}$ gives the following splitting exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(B) \xrightarrow{i_*} K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) \xrightarrow{(\pi_0)_*1}_{\theta|_{K_1(A)}} K_1(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using θ , we may write

$$K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}) = K_0(B) \oplus K_1(A). \quad (\text{e 11.499})$$

Since $K_0(B) = \rho_B(K_0(B)) = R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(K_1(M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}))$, there is an isomorphism $\gamma : K_1(A) \rightarrow \ker R_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$ such that $(\pi_0)_*1 \circ \gamma = \text{id}_{K_1(A)}$. Therefore

$$(\theta|_{K_1(A)} - \gamma)(x) \in K_0(B) \text{ for all } x \in K_1(A). \quad (\text{e 11.500})$$

However, since $K_0(B)$ is torsion free, if $x \in \text{Tor}(K_1(A))$ then

$$(\theta|_{K_1(A)} - \gamma)(x) = 0. \quad (\text{e 11.501})$$

Let ρ_k^1 be the map from $K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(B, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. Then since $K_0(B)$ is divisible,

$$\rho_k^1 = 0. \quad (\text{e 11.502})$$

In particular,

$$\rho_k^1 \circ (\theta|_{K_1(A)} - \gamma) = 0. \quad (\text{e 11.503})$$

Define θ' as follows $\theta'|_{K_1(A)} = \gamma$, $\theta'|_{K_0(A)} = \theta|_{K_0(A)}$ and $\theta'|_{K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})} = \theta|_{K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})}$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $k = 2, 3, \dots$

Now put $C = M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$. Since $\theta|_{K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})} = \theta'|_{K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})}$, to show that $\theta' \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{A}, \underline{C})$, it suffices to show the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_1(A) & & \\
 \uparrow & \searrow \theta & \downarrow \theta & & \swarrow \gamma & & \\
 & K_0(C) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_0(C, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_1(C) & \\
 & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & K_0(C) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_1(C) & \\
 & \swarrow \theta & & \uparrow \theta & & \swarrow \gamma & \\
 K_0(A) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_1(A) & &
 \end{array}$$

From (e 11.498), since $K_1(B) = 0$ and $K_0(B)$ is torsion free and divisible, we may write that

$$K_0(C, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = K_1(B, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{e 11.504})$$

$$K_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = K_0(B, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}). \quad (\text{e 11.505})$$

Thus, by (e 11.501), (e 11.503), (e 11.499), (e 11.504) and (e 11.505), one checks the above 12-term diagram is commutative.

Thus, by replacing θ by θ' , the lemma follows. $\square$

We will need the following:

Lemma 11.4. (Corollary 5.5 of [34]) *Let A be a unital AH-algebra, let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ and let $B \in \mathcal{N}$ be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that there is a unital monomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{U(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } U(t) \circ h \circ \alpha(a) = h(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A. \quad (\text{e 11.506})$$

Then $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra.

Theorem 11.5. *Let A be a unital AH-algebra and let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ be an automorphism. Then $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra if and only if A admits a faithful α -invariant tracial state τ .*

Proof. Only “if” part needs a proof. Now let t be an α -invariant faithful tracial state of A . It follows from Theorem 4.1 of [34] that there is a unital separable simple AF-algebra B_0 with a unique tracial state τ with

$$(K_0(B_0), K_0(B_0)_+, [1_{B_0}]) = (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{D}_+, 1),$$

where $\mathbb{D} = \tau(K_0(B_0))$ a countable dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, and a unital monomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B_0$ such that

$$\tau \circ h = t.$$

By embedding B_0 to $B_0 \otimes Q$ for the UHF-algebra with $K_0(Q) = \mathbb{Q}$, we may further assume that $\mathbb{D}$ is divisible.

Since $t(\alpha(a)) = t(a)$ for all $a \in A$, we have

$$\tau \circ h(a) = \tau \circ h \circ \alpha(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

This implies that

$$h_{*0} = (h \circ \alpha)_{*0}.$$

Since $K_1(B_0) = \{0\}$ and $K_0(B_0)$ is torsion free, we conclude that

$$[h] = [h \circ \alpha] \text{ in } KL(A, B_0).$$

However, since $K_0(B_0)$ is divisible (and $K_1(B_0) = \{0\}$), we actually have

$$[h] = [h \circ \alpha] \text{ in } KK(A, B_0).$$

Let

$$M_{h,h\circ\alpha} = \{f \in C([0, 1], B_0) : f(0) = h(a) \text{ and } f(1) = h \circ \alpha(a) \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

We have

$$K_1(M_{h,h\circ\alpha}) = K_1(SB_0) \oplus K_1(A) = K_0(B_0) \oplus K_1(A). \quad (\text{e 11.507})$$

Let $\mathbb{D}_1$ be a divisible countable dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ which contains $\mathbb{D}$ and $R_{h,h\circ\alpha}(K_1(M_{h,h\circ\alpha}))$. There exists a unital separable simple AF-algebra B with

$$(K_0(B), K_0(B)_+, [1_B]) = (\mathbb{D}_1, (\mathbb{D}_1)_+, 1).$$

There is a unital embedding $j : B_0 \rightarrow B$ which induces the natural embedding $j_* : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_1$. We continue to use τ for the unique tracial state of B .

Let

$$\tilde{M} = \{f \in C([0, 1], B) : f(0) = h(a) \text{ and } f(1) = h \circ \alpha(a) \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

The embedding j induces a unital embedding $\tilde{j} : M_{h,h\circ\alpha} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$. We also have

$$[j \circ h] = [j \circ h \circ \alpha] \text{ in } KK(A, B) \text{ and } \tau \circ j \circ h = \tau \circ j \circ h \circ \alpha. \quad (\text{e 11.508})$$

Note that, we may write that

$$K_1(\tilde{M}) = K_0(B) \oplus K_1(A). \quad (\text{e 11.509})$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that $\tilde{j}_{*1}|_{K_0(B_0)} = j_{*0}$. Let $z \in M_l(\tilde{M})$. Then there is $l' \geq l$ and unitary $z' \in M_{l'}(M_{h,h\circ\alpha})$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (z')^* \in K_1(SB) = K_0(B)$$

for some unitary $z' \in M_{l'}(M_{j\circ h, j\circ h\circ\alpha})$. In particular,

$$\text{im} R_{j\circ h, j\circ h\circ\alpha} \subset \text{im} R_{h, h\circ\alpha} + \mathbb{D}_1 \subset \mathbb{D}_1. \quad (\text{e 11.510})$$

It follows from 11.3 that

$$\tilde{\eta}_{j\circ h, j\circ h\circ\alpha} = 0. \quad (\text{e 11.511})$$

By 10.7, there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{U(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad} U(t) \circ j \circ h(a) = j \circ h \circ \alpha(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \quad (\text{e 11.512})$$

It follows from 11.4 that $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF-algebra.

□

Corollary 11.6. *Let A be a unital simple AH -algebra and let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ be an automorphism. Then $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into a unital simple AF -algebra.*

Proof. Since A is simple, it is well-known that there exists at least one α -invariant tracial state which is faithful. □

12 Strong asymptotic unitary equivalence

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ be two unital monomorphisms which are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. A natural question is: can one find a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that

$$u_0 = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u_t \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A?$$

It certainly desirable to have an affirmative answer. Corollary 12.8 could be easily proved from the main theorem 10.7 (without introducing $H_1(K_0(C), K_1(B))$). However, in general, unfortunately, the answer is negative. In this section we give a detailed discussion of this phenomenon.

Definition 12.1. Let A and B be two unital C^* -algebra. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \rightarrow B$ are two unital homomorphisms. We say that φ_1, φ_2 are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent if there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that

$$u_0 = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u_t \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a.$$

If the answer to the above question is negative, then when they φ_1 and φ_2 are strong asymptotically unitarily equivalent?

Definition 12.2. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and B be another C^* -algebra. Recall ([31]) that

$$H_1(K_0(A), K_1(B)) = \{x \in K_1(B) : \varphi([1_A]) = x, \varphi \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), K_1(B))\}.$$

Exactly as in 3.3 of [31], we have the following:

Proposition 12.3. *Let A be a unital separable C^* -algebra and let B be a unital C^* -algebra. Suppose that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a unital homomorphism and $u \in U(B)$ is a unitary. Suppose that there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset B$ such that*

$$u(0) = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u(t) \circ \varphi(a) = \text{ad } u \circ \varphi(a) \tag{e 12.513}$$

for all $a \in A$. Then

$$[u] \in H_1(K_0(A), K_1(B)).$$

Proof. In fact, one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} uu(t)^* \varphi(a) u(t) u^* = \varphi(a) \text{ for all } a \in C \tag{e 12.514}$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Define $\psi_t : A \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow B$ by

$$\psi_t(a \otimes f) = \varphi(a) f(u(t) u^*) \text{ for all } a \in A \text{ and } f \in C(S^1).$$

Note that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|[\varphi(a), u(t) u^*]\| = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_t(bc) - \psi_t(b)\psi_t(c)\| = 0$$

for all $b, c \in A \otimes C(S^1)$. Thus $[\{\psi_t\}] \in KK(C \otimes C(S^1), A)$. We may view that $[\{\psi_t\}] \in Hom_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A \otimes C(S^1)), \underline{K}(B))$. Let $\kappa : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ be defined by

$$\kappa = [\{\psi_t\}] \circ \beta|_{K_0(A)}.$$

Then $\kappa([1_A]) = [u^*]$, since $u(t) \in U_0(B)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. This implies that $-[u] \in H_1(K_0(A), K_1(B))$. Hence $[u] \in H_1(K_0(A), K_1(B))$. $\square$

Lemma 12.4. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ be two monomorphisms such that there is a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \subset A$ such that the conclusions ((e 8.229) and (e 8.230)) of 8.1 hold. Then, in 8.1, we may further require that $u_n \in U_0(A)$, if $H_1(K_0(C), K_1(A)) = K_1(A)$.*

Proof. Let $x_n = [u_n]$ in $K_1(A)$. Then, since $K_1(A) = H_1(K_0(C), K_0(A))$, there is a homomorphism $\kappa_{n,0} : K_0(C) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ such that $\kappa_{n,0}([1_C]) = -x_n$. Let $\kappa_{n,1} : K_1(C) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ be zero map. By the Universal Coefficient Theorem, there is $\kappa_n \in KK(C, A)$ such that

$$(\kappa_n)|_{K_i(C)} = \kappa_{n,i}, \quad i = 0, 1. \quad (\text{e 12.515})$$

There is, for each n , a positive number $\eta_n < \delta_n$ such that

$$\text{ad } u_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\eta_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (\text{e 12.516})$$

It follows from 7.4 that there is a unitary $w_n \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), w_n]\| < (\delta_n - \eta_n)/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 12.517})$$

$$\text{Bott}(\varphi_2, w_n) = \kappa_n. \quad (\text{e 12.518})$$

Put $v_n = u_n w_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, we have

$$\text{ad } v_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n \text{ and}$$

$$\rho_A(\text{bott}_1(\varphi_2, v_n^* v_{n+1})) = 0 \text{ and } [v_n] = [u_n] - x_n = 0.$$

$\square$

Theorem 12.5. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra. Suppose that $K_1(K_0(C), K_1(A)) = K_1(A)$ and suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow A$ are two unital monomorphisms which are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ such that*

$$u(0) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{adu}(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C.$$

Proof. By 4.3, we must have

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(C, A), \quad \tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2.$$

By 12.4, in the proof of 10.7, we may assume that $v_n \in U_0(A)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows that $\xi_n([1_C]) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore $\kappa_n([1_C]) = 0$. This implies that $\gamma_n \circ \beta([1_C]) = 0$.

Hence $w_n \in U_0(A)$. It follows that $u_n \in U_0(A)$. Therefore there is a continuous path of unitary $\{U(t) : t \in [-1, 0]\}$ in A such that

$$U(-1) = 1_A \text{ and } U(0) = u(0).$$

The theorem then follows. $\square$

Corollary 12.6. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra and let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Let $\varphi : C \rightarrow B$ be a unital monomorphism and let $u \in U(A)$. Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{U(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset A$ such that*

$$U(0) = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } U(t) \circ \varphi(a) = \text{ad } u \circ \varphi(a) \text{ for all } a \in C$$

if and only if $[u] \in H_1(K_0(C), K_1(A))$.

Proof. This follows from 12.3 and the proof of 12.5. $\square$

Corollary 12.7. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra with $K_0(C) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [1_C] \oplus G$ and let B be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow B$ are two unital monomorphisms such that*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(C, B), \tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 12.519})$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B). \quad (\text{e 12.520})$$

Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that

$$u_0 = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u_t \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Proof. Let $x \in K_1(B)$. Then one defines $\gamma : K_0(C) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ by $\gamma([1_C]) = x$ and $\gamma|_G = 0$. This implies that

$$H_1(K_0(C), K_1(B)) = K_1(B).$$

Thus the corollary follows from 12.5. $\square$

Corollary 12.8. *Let X be a compact metric space and let B be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C(X) \rightarrow B$ are two unital monomorphisms. Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset B$ such that*

$$u(0) = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a)$$

for all $a \in C(X)$ if and only if

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(C, B), \tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 12.521})$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B). \quad (\text{e 12.522})$$

Proof. Note that $K_0(C(X)) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [1_C] \oplus G$ for some subgroup G . $\square$

Corollary 12.9. *Let A be a unital AH-algebra and let B be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero such that $K_1(B)$ is divisible. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \rightarrow B$ are two unital monomorphisms such that*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(C, B), \tilde{\eta}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e 12.523})$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B). \quad (\text{e 12.524})$$

Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset B$ such that

$$u(0) = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C.$$

Proof. Let $x \in K_1(B)$. Since $K_1(B)$ is divisible, a map from $[1_C]$ to x can be extended to an element in $\text{Hom}(K_0(C), K_1(B))$. So $H_1(K_0(C), K_1(B)) = K_1(B)$. $\square$

Remark 12.10. Let C be a unital AH-algebra and A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of tracial rank zero. Suppose that $\varphi : C \rightarrow B$ is a unital monomorphism. Denote by $ASU(\varphi)$ the class of all unital monomorphisms which are asymptotically unitarily equivalent to φ . Let $\psi \in ASU(\varphi)$. Then

$$\psi(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } u_t \circ \varphi(a) \text{ for all } a \in A$$

for some continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of A . If there is another continuous path of unitaries $\{v_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of A such that

$$\psi(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } v_t \circ \varphi(a) \text{ for all } a \in A,$$

then, as in the proof of 12.3,

$$[u_0 v_0^*] \in H_1(K_0(C), K_1(A)).$$

Define $u(\psi)$ to be the element in $K_1(A)/H_1(K_0(C), K_1(A))$ represented by $[u_0]$. Let ψ_1, ψ_2 be in $ASU(\varphi)$. Then, by 12.5, ψ_1 and ψ_2 are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent if and only if

$$u(\psi_1) = u(\psi_2).$$

Moreover, for any $x \in K_1(B)$, there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that $x = [u]$. Define $\psi = \text{ad } u \circ \varphi$. then $u(\psi) = x$.

Definition 12.11. Let A be a unital separable C^* -algebra. Denote by $\text{Ainn}(A)$ the group of all asymptotically inner automorphisms. A automorphism α is said to be strong asymptotically inner if there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of A such that

$$u(0) = 1_A \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)^* \alpha u(t) = \alpha(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Denote by $\text{Ainn}_0(A)$ the subgroup of all strong asymptotically inner automorphisms.

By 12.10, we have the following:

Theorem 12.12. *Let A be a unital separable amenable simple C^* -algebra with tracial rank zero satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Then*

$$\frac{\text{Ainn}(A)}{\text{Ainn}_0(A)} \cong K_1(A)/H_1(K_0(A), K_1(A)).$$

References

- [1] B. Blackadar and D. Handelman, *Dimension functions and traces on C^* -algebras* J. Funct. Anal. **45** (1982), 297–340.
- [2] O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott, D. Evans and A. Kishimoto, *Homotopy of a pair of approximately commuting unitaries in a simple C^* -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **160** (1998), 466–523.

- [3] L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P.A. Fillmore, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extensions of C^* -algebras* Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theory (Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, N.S., 1973), pp. 58–128. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 345, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [4] L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore *Extensions of C^* -algebras and K -homology*. Ann. of Math. **105** (1977), 265–324.
- [5] N. Brown, *AF embeddability of crossed products of AF algebras by the integers*, J. Funct. Anal. **160** (1998), no. 1, 150–175.
- [6] N. Brown, *On quasidiagonal C^* -algebras. Operator algebras and applications* 19–64, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 38, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
- [7] M. Dădărlat, *Approximately unitarily equivalent morphisms and inductive limit C^* -algebras*, K -Theory **9** (1995), 117–137.
- [8] M. Dădărlat and T. Loring, *A universal multicoefficient theorem for the Kasparov groups*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), 355–377.
- [9] M. Dădărlat and T. Loring *K -homology, asymptotic representations, and unsuspended E -theory*. J. Funct. Anal. **126** (1994), 367–383.
- [10] G. A. Elliott, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **443** (1993), 179–219.
- [11] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero. II*, Ann. of Math. **144** (1996), 497–610.
- [12] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong and L. Li, *Injectivity of the connecting maps in AH inductive limit systems*, Canad. Math. Bull. **48** (2005), 50–68.
- [13] G. A. Elliott and M. Rørdam, *Classification of certain infinite simple C^* -algebras, II*, Comment. Math. Hel **70** (1995), 615–638.
- [14] R. Exel, *The soft torus and applications to almost commuting matrices*, Pacific J. Math., **160** (1993), 207–217.
- [15] G. Gong and H. Lin *Almost multiplicative morphisms and almost commuting matrices*, J. Operator Theory **40** (1998), 217–275.
- [16] G. Gong and H. Lin, *Almost multiplicative morphisms and K -theory*, Internat. J. Math. **11** (2000), 983–1000.
- [17] S. Hu, H. Lin and Y. Xue, *Limits of homomorphisms with finite-dimensional range*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), 807–821.
- [18] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, *The Ext class of an approximately inner automorphism*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 4127–4148.
- [19] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, *The Ext class of an approximately inner automorphism. II*, J. Operator Theory **46** (2001), 99–122.
- [20] L. Li, *Classification of simple C^* -algebras: inductive limits of matrix algebras over one-dimensional spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **192** (2002), 1–51.

- [21] H. Lin, *Tracially AF C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 693–722.
- [22] H. Lin, *Tracial topological ranks of C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **83** (2001), 199–234.
- [23] H. Lin, *Classification of simple tracially AF C^* -algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **53** (2001), 161–194.
- [24] H. Lin, *An introduction to the classification of amenable C^* -algebras*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001. xii+320 pp. ISBN: 981-02-4680-3.
- [25] H. Lin, *Embedding an AH-algebra into a simple C^* -algebra with prescribed KK -data*. *K-Theory* **24** (2001), 135–156.
- [26] H. Lin, *Classification of simple C^* -algebras and higher dimensional noncommutative tori*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 2, 521–544.
- [27] H. Lin, *Simple AH-algebras of real rank zero*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 3813–3819 (electronic).
- [28] H. Lin, *Classification of simple C^* -algebras with tracial topological rank zero*, Duke Math. J., **125** (2004), 91–119.
- [29] H. Lin, *Traces and simple C^* -algebras with tracial topological rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **568** (2004), 99–137.
- [30] H. Lin *Classification of homomorphisms and dynamical systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 859–895.
- [31] H. Lin, *Unitary equivalences for essential extensions of C^* -algebras*, preprint arxiv.org/math.OA/0403236.
- [32] H. Lin, *Embedding crossed products into a unital simple AF-algebra*, preprint, arxiv.org/OA/0604047
- [33] H. Lin, *Approximate homotopy of homomorphisms from $C(X)$ into a simple C^* -algebra*, preprint, arxiv.org/OA/0612125.
- [34] H. Lin, *AF-embedding of crossed products of AH-algebras by $\mathbb{Z}$ and asymptotic AF-embedding*, Indiana J. Math., accepted, arxiv.org/OA/0612529.
- [35] T. Loring, *K -theory and asymptotically commuting matrices*, Canad. J. Math. **40** (1988), 197–216.
- [36] H. Matui, *AF embeddability of crossed products of AT algebras by the integers and its application*, J. Funct. Anal. **192** (2002), 562–580.
- [37] M. Pimsner, *Embedding some transformation group C^* -algebras into AF-algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **3** (1983), 613–626.
- [38] M. Rørdam, *On the structure of simple C^* -algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra. II* J. Funct. Anal. **107** (1992), 255–269.
- [39] J. Rosenberg and C. Schochet, *The Knneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov’s generalized K -functor*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 431–474.

- [40] A. Toms *On the classification problem for nuclear C^* -algebras*, preprint, math.OA/0509103.
- [41] J. Villadsen, *On the stable rank of simple C^* -algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999) 1091–1102.
- [42] D. Voiculescu, *Almost inductive limit automorphisms and embeddings into AF-algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **6** (1986), 475–484.
- [43] D. Voiculescu, *A note on quasi-diagonal C^* -algebras and homotopy*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), 267–271.
- [44] D. Voiculescu, *Around quasidiagonal operators* Integral Equations Operator Theory **17** (1993), 137–149.
- [45] S. Zhang, *K_1 -groups, quasidiagonality, and interpolation by multiplier projections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **325** (1991), 793–818.